## In The Matter Of:

## BROOKLINE ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING

\_\_\_\_\_

## APPEALS HEARING - Vol. 16 November 12, 2014

\_\_\_\_\_\_

## MERRILL CORPORATION

LegaLink, Inc.

101 Arch Street 3rd Floor Boston, MA 02110 Phone: 617.542.0039 Fax: 617.542.2119

Volume: XVI

Pages: 1-177

Brookline Zoning Board of Appeals Hearing

Case Number 20130094

40B Application by Chestnut Hill Realty

The Residences of South Brookline

November 12, 2014 at 7:00 p.m.

Office of Town Counsel

333 Washington Street, 6th floor

Brookline, Massachusetts 02445

Merrill Corporation LegaLink, Inc.

179 Lincoln Street, Suite 401

Boston, Massachusetts 02111

(617) 542-0039 Fax (617) 542-2119

Reporter: Kristen C. Krakofsky

Page 2

```
1
                            Appearances
 2.
      Board Members:
      Jesse Geller, Chairman
      Jonathan Book
 5
      Chris Hussey
      Mark Zuroff, Associate Member
 6
      Avi Liss, Associate Member
 8
 9
      Kathryn Cochrane Murphy,
10
      Esquire, Krokidas & Bluestein
11
      Edith M. Netter, Esquire,
12
      Edith M. Netter & Associates, P.C.
13
      Joseph Geller, Stantec Consulting
14
      Marc Levin, Chestnut Hill Realty
15
      Steven Schwartz, Esquire, Goulston & Storrs
      Robert Michaud, MDM Transportation Consultants
16
17
      Paul Ford, Fire Chief
18
      Andrew McKown, McKown Associates
19
20
      Members of the Public:
21
      Mary Wachowski, 226 Grove Street
22
      Debbie Reches, 26 Asheville Road
23
      Steve Chiumenti, 262 Russett Road
24
      Bill Pu, 249 Beverly Road
```

```
Stanley Spiegel, Coolidge Corner
 1
 2
      Neil Wishinsky
      Betsy DeWitt, 94 Upland Road
      William Varrell, 45 Asheville Road
 5
      Jim Batchelor, Brookline Preservation Commission Chair
 6
      and Neighborhood Conservation District member
      Robin Koocher, 285 Beverly Road
 8
      Jay Talerman, Esquire, Blatman, Bobrowski & Mead, LLC
      Patsy Mittleman, 217 South Street
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	7:05 p.m.
3	MR. JESSE GELLER: Good evening, everyone.
4	This is our continued hearing on the Residences of
5	Chestnut Hill. My name is Jesse Geller. To my left is
6	Chris Hussey, Jonathan Book, Mark Zuroff, and Avi Lis.
7	Tonight's hearing will follow the following
8	priorities: First, we will hear the applicant's
9	revised presentation.
10	Second, we will hear from the applicant's
11	blasting expert, we'll hear from the town's blasting
12	expert, and we will also hear from the town's fire
13	chief.
14	Third, we will have an opportunity to hear
15	from the chief with respect to other public safety
16	issues.
17	Fourth, will be allotted a period of time for
18	the public to offer its testimony.
19	And then last, the board will continue and
20	hopefully complete its deliberations.
21	I would caution everyone that that is a fairly
22	lengthy schedule. And while we are committed to get
23	through the schedule, we do have to keep an eye on the
24	clock. So what I am proposing to do this evening is

Page 5

that we are looking to accomplish the applicant's 1 2 presentation, the presentation about blasting, and the presentation about public safety within the hours of 7:00 to 8:00 p.m.; from 8:00 p.m. to 9:00, we are 5 scheduled to hear testimony from the public; and then from 9:00 until the cows come home, the board will deliberate. Given that schedule, it is exceedingly careful that people try to be concise and give us the most 9 essential information that you have for us. 10 11 So I'd like to call on CHR. 12 MR. LEVIN: Good evening, Chairman Geller, 13 board members, planning staff. I'm Marc Levin, 14 Chestnut Hill Realty. 1.5 Here we have our revised site plan. As you 16 can see, barely see, we've returned the eight-unit 17 building back to a four-unit building, as requested by 18 the board. But we did not replace the parking that had been previously removed when we increased the size of 19 20 the building. At 19 units per acre, the project 21 reflects a reasonable density for a multifamily 40B 22 project, especially in light of the much lower density 23 in the S7. 24 One advantage of the duration of these

Page 6

meetings is that it has given us the opportunity to 1 2 advance the building design, particularly for the apartment building. We've been able to arrive at a design that aids our program requirements and minimizes 5 the apparent scale, especially as viewed from Asheville. As you can see, the building facade now 6 demonstrates attractive articulation, it varies roof treatments, and uses a more creative set of materials. Please note how the two stories of brick mimic the 10 two-story townhouses as well as the two-story infill 11 buildings. 12 I've got some close-ups for you. So here's 13 the new building in the winter months. This is at the 14 intersection of Asheville and Russett Road. And here 15 is the seven months of the year when the leaves are on 16 the trees, same view. Up close you can better see the 17 articulation of the building and the varying roof lines 18 and the creative use of materials. What you see here 19 is what we've added. It's a sloped roof that gives it 20 more of a residential feel and ties it to the units on 21 the fourth floor adjacent to it. 22 Again, here is the -- this is from the 23 property line at Asheville Road. This is the winter 24 months and this is with foliage.

1 The concept for the three-story building over 2 one level of parking has been suggested in some discussions. There are a number of factors which render this idea infeasible. 5 Firstly, there would be no way to enter the building, or we would need to push the building back 6 toward the road in order to enter from the north side. And by that, I mean over here we have reduced -- we pushed this building back to preserve this outcropping and set it back from the road to preserve this area in 10 11 front of the building. This allows for fire access but 12 not for an entrance for a building. We would have to 13 set this -- move the building back to its original 14 position where we had an entrance previously. 1.5 The second problem is that the community space 16 on the south side of the building would need to go 17 entirely into the garage with no feasible access on the south side of the building. Because that is at a 18 19 higher grade, what that community space does, the 20 two-story community space allows for a drop-off, 21 pick-up as well as entrance to that upper level 22 garage. 23 By taking off the third floor, you'd be left 24 with 87 units and less than 70 parking spaces in the

one level of garage, thus the parking ratio falls 1 2 significantly below one to one, and that's unacceptable. We're currently working on garage layouts for 5 the two levels of garage, but because of its complexity involving geotechnical, structural, as well as egress 6 considerations, it's going to take us about another week to finalize those. Here's a revised fourth-floor plan. Please note that we have added back three units to that -- to 10 11 the fourth floor, but we've done it in a location that 12 is not visible from Asheville Road because of the way 13 we set it back. 14 Just as a note -- we talked about it a little 15 bit last time -- the stairwell configurations have changed, and we've been able to eliminate two of the 16 17 internal stairwells, but these stairwells at the ends 18 are fixed because of the distance requirement from a 19 dead-end corridor. 20 Here's a revised summary of the revised Option 21 C as it compares to the original application in the 22 right-hand column. I'd like to point out a few 23 things.

One is, once again we've eliminated 27,000

24

square feet of building area over here, the total 1 2 building area. We've eliminated 27 units, 64 bedrooms, and have now over three acres of functional, useable open space. And again, we have 331 parking spaces, 5 which is the same number that would be required by 6 zoning. If you have any questions, I'd be glad to 8 answer them. MR. HUSSEY: Marc, how many parking spaces do you have in the apartment building now and in the open 10 11 space that's related to the apartment building? 12 MR. LEVIN: Well, we have 107 spaces in the 13 garage. The 224 that's on the site, I believe that 14 there's approximately -- we have 52. 1.5 MR. HUSSEY: Which is what you had before, I 16 believe. 17 MR. LEVIN: Correct. 18 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Levin, there was a 20 discussion at the last hearing about peeling back the 21 fourth floor further back so that it was not visible 22 from Asheville Road. Was anything done with that? 23 MR. LEVIN: Well, we initially started to look 24 at that, but what we discovered was that no matter

where you peeled it off to, you would always see the 1 2 fourth floor. So you could take these units off, which is probably more than a couple, right, but then you would see the facade of the fourth floor the same way. 5 It would be set back, but you would see that fourth floor. So it seemed like, you know, an exercise that really didn't achieve the goal that you were looking for and at the same time just compromising program. So what we did instead was create a roof line 10 that actually makes the building feel both more residential and, in a way, smaller. And, in fact, as 11 12 you look down the -- it makes these look even smaller. 13 You're going from three stories down to three stories 14 here. 1.5 MR. BOOK: The addition of this -- for lack of 16 a better term -- faux roof on the wing closest to us, 17 that -- the idea of -- that masks the units that are behind it? 18 MR. LEVIN: Well, it does, in fact, but if you 19 20 recall from the last visuals that the stair -- I would 21 say that it masks one of the units. The only unit that 22 it masks is this one here, this one bedroom unit, 23 because this stair tower here wasn't visible, and these 24 units are on the same plane or behind, because the view

is from here and you could not see the stair tower. 1 2 MR. BOOK: You don't happen to have the 3 rendering from last week? No? Oh, thank you. 5 Let me ask you another question. So in part of your presentation a moment ago, you indicated that 6 removal of the -- that there was -- to peel back -- and correct me if I'm misstating anything that you said -peeling back any more of the fourth floor would require the -- it was almost an elimination -- or maybe it was 10 11 an elimination of the fourth floor. And you had 12 mentioned 87 units would be left. That would then 13 necessitate the loss of a floor of parking? Is that --14 you had mentioned 70 -- you'd mentioned one level of 15 parking at 70 spaces. I'm just trying to understand 16 the consequence of ... 17 MR. LEVIN: Well, the point that I was making is that eliminating the third floor -- the fourth floor 18 19 will not eliminate the need for the second tray of 20 parking. 21 MR. BOOK: Okay. 22 MR. LEVIN: There are some practical 23 considerations which make the design not work, that is 24 being the entrance. But the parking ratio would fall

- 1 well below what would be accepted.
- 2 MR. BOOK: Okay. And then would you mind just
- 3 elaborating on the -- just so I understand -- you
- 4 presented a lot of information in a short amount of
- 5 time.
- 6 You had mentioned that also a consequence of
- 7 eliminating the fourth floor would require the building
- 8 to move from its current location because you wouldn't
- 9 be able to enter?
- 10 MR. LEVIN: No. Eliminating the lower tray of
- 11 parking would necessitate you moving the building back
- 12 towards the road so that you could create an entrance,
- 13 an at-grade entrance on the north side of the building.
- In other words, right now, this grade is above
- 15 this grade. And right now, at this grade you enter the
- 16 garage and you enter the entrance, that entrance,
- 17 lobby, drop-off, and community space. If you were to
- 18 eliminate that level of parking, the lower level of
- 19 parking, you wouldn't be able to access over there.
- MR. BOOK: Understood.
- 21 MR. LEVIN: So you'd have to access on the
- 22 north side. In order to access on the north side, you
- 23 have to shift the building back.
- MR. BOOK: I see. It was my

- 1 misunderstanding. I thought -- the issue of the lower
- 2 level of parking, that's independent of the existence
- 3 or nonexistence of a fourth floor. You were just
- 4 presenting -- you were addressing two concerns that
- 5 have been advanced in prior hearings. They weren't
- 6 linked.
- 7 MR. LEVIN: No. They were when they were
- 8 discussed. The notion -- I was trying to address the
- 9 notion that by eliminating the fourth floor, you could
- 10 eliminate the tray of parking.
- MR. BOOK: I see. All right. Thank you.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Hussey, do you have
- 13 anything further?
- 14 MR. HUSSEY: No. Not at this time.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Keep in mind, we may
- 16 have -- at a point at which we get later into the
- 17 hearing, we may have further questions, particularly as
- we're discussing this.
- MR. ZUROFF: I have one now. I may not count
- very well, but I now see 12 buildings on the plan.
- 21 Four to the left --
- MR. LEVIN. We four, five, six, seven, eight,
- 23 nine, ten, eleven.
- MR. ZUROFF: Twelve.

1 MR. LEVIN: That's correct. Eleven infill. 2 That's correct. MR. HUSSEY: Including the apartment building. 3 4 MR. LEVIN: Twelve including the apartment 5 building. That is the same as last time. MR. ZUROFF: I just wanted to clarify. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anything else? (No audible response.) 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Thank you. 10 MR. LEVIN: Our blasting consultant seems to 11 have gotten lost. I will call him, but can we do the 12 blasting out of sequence? MR. JESSE GELLER: We could. So we will alter 13 14 the order. Lets have Mr. McKown. 15 Again, I just would note, we've got a fairly limited period of time and I want to make sure we 16 17 accomplish everything we can, so let's be aware of 18 that. 19 MR. MCKOWN: Good evening, ladies and 20 gentlemen. 21 MR. FORD: I just -- Paul Ford, the fire 22 chief. I just want to make mention, as we're being 23 taken out of turn here, that the gentleman has been 24 retained by the town to speak on our behalf and to

guide us in the proper procedures that we want to see 1 2 in place for the safety of our citizens. So that's why he's here today and helping us, assisting with us. Okay? 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. MR. MCKOWN: Thank you, Chief. 6 So I'm hear on behalf of the town. 8 hired as a blasting consultant to take a look at the 9 proposed development and come up with some recommendations for steps to take to minimize impacts 10 11 on the neighbors in particular. 12 My qualifications, I have a bachelor's of 13 science degree in civil engineering from Tufts 14 University, a master's from MIT, both in geotechnical 1.5 engineering. I have about 20 years of experience in the drilling/blasting field, I have a professional 16 17 engineering license in Massachusetts, authored over 20 18 papers, and have consulted for over 35 years in 19 blasting and rock engineering. 20 I'm going to give a short presentation. 21 going to make it a lot shorter given the time 22 restrictions. So I have a lot of slides. I'm going to 23 run through a lot of them very quickly to get to the 24 recommendations at the end. But I'll talk very

briefly, give you an idea about what multiple hole 1 2 delay blasting is all about, talk a little bit about some of the impacts, important issues, and then I'll present my recommendations. 5 So by way of blasting primer, this is just an example of how you might go about drilling and blasting 6 to remove rock. You have a section of rock that's 12 feet deep, 15 by 10 feet, 67 cubic yards to require that you drill a vertical -- generally vertical drill holes. I show nine drill holes. Each one is loaded 10 11 with explosives with stepping on the top, explosives in 12 the bottom of the hole stemming to contain the 13 explosives in the blast hole. And then there's a 14 blasting cap with a timer on it so that all the 1.5 explosives don't go off at the same time. 16 Here are nine holes. In this case, there are 17 nine separate delays. If you look to the right, firing 18 time is 25 milliseconds between the holes, so the nine holes will go off in less than a quarter of a second. 19 20 So here's hole 1 going off, hole 2, hole 3, 4, and so 21 on until you have the rock that's fractured and 22 removed. 23 So what are some of the impacts? 24 detonate explosives in the ground, there's energy

- 1 that's released and you want that energy to go into
- 2 breakage and fragmenting and moving rock in a
- 3 controlled manner. There is a little bit of energy
- 4 that escapes to the air called "airblast
- 5 overpressure." That's sort of like a sonic boom. And
- 6 there is some vibration that's created, these pressure
- 7 waves in the ground that create ground vibration that
- 8 people can feel. So there are elastic ground
- 9 vibrations, airblast overpressure.
- 10 Immediately around the blast area, there also
- 11 can be some permanent non-elastic ground deformations
- 12 that I'll go over briefly.
- 13 And the most important thing to prevent in
- 14 blasting, in my opinion, is flyrock. That really can
- do serious damage and injure people.
- 16 So here's something about vibrations that --
- they're similar to throwing a rock in a pond. You have
- 18 vibrations, waves that travel out. If you have a boat
- in the pond, it moves up and down. It's very small in
- the case of blasting, but it's a similar kind of
- 21 thing.
- You could measure peak particle velocity,
- 23 that's the speed at which the ground moves up and down;
- you could measure the acceleration, how much the ground

moves up and down; you could measure that frequency, 1 2 that's how many waves travel by. And the -- sort of the guide for how much vibration residential type structures can withstand 5 without damage is this chart from the U.S. Bureau of 6 Mines. On the bottom is frequency. That's how many waves travel by. And on the left axis is peak particle velocity. That's the speed that the ground moves up and down as these waves travel by. You can see that --10 if you look at that line from the plaster to the two 11 inch per second, it's got a constant displacement of 12 .008 inches. So if you take a piece of paper and hold 13 it between your hands, that's the amount the ground 14 moves up and down. Very, very small amounts. 1.5 So safe limits are to prevent cosmetic damage 16 to residential type structures. That is plaster 17 cracks, not structural damage. It's not a safe limit for more massive things. It isn't a safe limit for 18 19 massive underground structures, and it's not a safe 20 limit for pipelines. For instance, there are gas 21 pipelines and sewer pipelines. Those can withstand a 22 lot more vibration. They can't move more in the 23 ground, and they're much stronger materials. 24 Here's a chart that shows that same plot, and

you can see that way down at .02 inches per second, 100 1 2 times less than where damage might be caused, people can feel vibrations. And if you go up to about .2 inches per second, 10 times less than where you expect 5 damage, it can become distinctly perceptible to humans. So this blasting will be felt by people in 6 their homes. This is just a short chart about air blasting overpressure. If you look at that axis to the left, 9 10 it's basically to minimize a noise to people from 11 rattling windows, and again, it's well below a limit 12 that might cause breakage to windows. 13 Here's a couple of slides about ground 14 deformation. Basically within about the depth of the 1.5 blast hole you can get some permanent rupture of the 16 ground surface. That causes real displacement, in the 17 inches instead of hundreds of inches, and that's something that needs to be prevented at any blasting 18 19 project. 20 This is just a representative of if you 21 drilled a single blast hole and blasted it, you get 22 this crater rupture. The rupture, it might be the 23 width away from the blast hole equivalent to the blast 24 hole depth. And when you have joints in the rock, you

can get explosive gases into the joints and you can get 1 2 some ground movement even beyond that rupture zone. So what do you need to do? You need to provide good relief, you need to look at the geology, 5 watch for and monitor ground heave, have relatively close hole spacing and good perimeter control blasting, and that is lighter charges, closely spaced holes at the limits of excavation. And that would be important at the apartment building. One of the more important things to prevent is 10 11 flyrock. That's the undesirable throw of rock fragments from a blast round or throw of blasted rock 12 13 beyond the safe zone designated by the blaster. 14 can cause real damage and has definite injury 15 potential. 16 This is just a couple of reasons why flyrock 17 can occur. You can have open joints and seams, you can have overloaded holes, you can have insufficient 18 19 stemming so the energy escapes out through the blast 20 holes, inadequate burden and spacing of the holes, and 21 lack of blasting mats. Blasting mats will be important 22 and will be utilized on this project. 23 So how you prevent it -- use blasting mats, 24 observe the geology, the requirement to videotape all

the blast rounds so you can see little problems before 1 2 they become big, closer hole spacing, and there will be no use of ammonium nitrate/fuel oil. That's a poured explosive. It produces a lot of gasses and, in my 5 opinion, isn't consistent with the kind of safety that's going to be required here. 6 Just some brief case history: This was at 8 Cornell Library where blasting was done right up into an existing classroom building. This is just a couple of shots of the 30-foot-high rock cuts that were done 10 11 actually inside the building. There's the finished 12 building below grade. 13 This was a project at the Maine State House 14 where blasting was required, again, up to and into an 15 existing State House. And blasting, you can see, was 16 done right up to and inside for an elevator and a 17 stairway. 18 And finally, Charles River Park was done right by the Mass General Hospital, 30-foot rock cuts 80 feet 19 20 away from the Mass General Hospital. And there was 21 spinal surgery on the second floor, very sensitive 22 equipment throughout. Blasting was completed without 23 impacts to the hospital. 24 How do you protect against issues? The key

- elements are preblast information meetings, the kind of things we're having today; preblast condition surveys of adjacent structures; periodic progress meetings with the neighbors are always useful.
- Controlled blasting specifications, the kind of stuff that we'll need here: Preblast condition surveys, again, locating existing defects in homes prior to the blasting. It alerts the homeowners of
- 9 existing cracks and provides information to alleviate
  10 fears and concerns.
- Setting reasonable blast vibration limits for the project; we used the U.S. Bureau of Mines safe limits for this project.
- And qualifications of the blasting

  contractor -- there will be a requirement for a minimum

  of five years' experience, experience with closed-in

  blasting, and at least \$5 million of liability

  insurance for blasting.
- The blasting plan needs to be well thought
  out. There needs to be a rock face developed and good
  perimeter controlled blasting is important.
- 22 There will be vibration monitoring. That will 23 be important in the project, and files will have to be 24 kept with all the monitoring. There probably will be

- 1 some crackage monitoring on existing nearby structures
- 2 to show that cracks aren't opening and closing.
- In summary, ground vibrations and airblast can
- 4 be a concern. U.S. Bureau of Mines are what's required
- 5 to prevent that concern. Flyrock is the biggest single
- 6 threat for blasting, and although there can be ground
- 7 heave, it can be prevented.
- 8 So the special conditions that I am
- 9 recommending: First of all, that there be a consultant
- 10 to review the quals of the blasting contractor, review
- 11 the blasting plan, check seismograph placement and
- 12 calibration, do ongoing review of the blast vibration
- data, and consult with the fire department.
- 14 There will be preblast surveys. In this case,
- 15 I'm recommending that they be done within 300 feet from
- 16 the blasting, and around the building 10, the apartment
- building, to a distance of 400 feet. The state only
- 18 requests 250 feet.
- 19 Also, there will be detailed blast plan review
- 20 by myself. There will be blast vibration monitoring at
- 21 five locations around the blast area.
- 22 Hours of drilling: Blasting will be limited
- 23 to 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. to minimize impacts on people
- living and working in the area, and there will be

warning horns for residents prior to each blast. 1 2 Flyrock protection: There will be blasting mats. Driller's logs will be kept and reviewed. won't be any use of ammonium nitrate/fuel oil, and each 5 blasting will be videotaped. There will be requirements for reducing 6 Mufflers will be required on all the drills, there will be maximum noise levels, and noise level measurements will be taken periodically around the site. 10 11 As far as dust, there will be no rock crushers 12 allowed on the site. Rock crushers produce a lot of 13 noise, a lot of dust. There will be dust collectors on 14 all drill rigs, there will be a requirement to wet down 15 the blast muck, put covers on trucks, and there will be also dust level meters around the site to measure dust 16 17 levels at approximately five locations. 18 And finally, stability of rock cuts at the 19 parking garage: There will be a requirement to use 20 what I call perimeter control blasting procedures; 21 again, lightly loaded, closely spaced holes to minimize 22 the overbreak and damage to the rock that remains. 23 And I am available for questions.

MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you very much.

24

me jump in and ask a few questions. 1 2 First of all, this particular site, is it unique such that, in your opinion, there is no safe way to conduct blasting here? MR. MCKOWN: No. I believe there is a safe 5 way to conduct blasting on this site. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: And the degree of blasting, is it unusual from what you've seen? Based on your recommendations and your photographs, it appears to me like some of these projects have had fairly significant 10 11 amounts of blasting. This, it seems to me, is fairly 12 significant too, but these other projects don't seem to be that much, you know --13 14 MR. MCKOWN: I believe this project is 15 significant from the standpoint that there are so many buildings so close. The most significant, obviously, 16 17 is the apartment building because it's going down 30-odd feet into bedrock and there are facilities 18 nearby. So that's the key element of the project. 19 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: And do you -- are there any 21 safety concerns with respect to existing pipes, 22 conduits? And I understand you're making 23 recommendations that I assume, if they are adhered to, 24 would prevent breakage, damage, safety risks, things

1 like that. 2 MR. MCKOWN: Yes. I think it's important to understand that we're protecting homes with plaster on lath construction. These walls are much more 5 susceptible to damage than are high-strength steel pipelines, for instance, the gas pipelines in the 6 area. And I understand some of them may be deteriorated, but they're still a lot stronger than the plastic walls of a house. 10 So we have these residences that are very 11 close by. There are gas pipelines that are hidden 12 close by. It's going to be important to ensure that 13 there aren't any ground heave issues underneath the 14 pipelines. But I believe that as long as we keep the 1.5 vibrations safe at the residences, we're not going to have issues with the gas pipelines or sewer pipelines. 16 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: The recommendations that 18 you make, are they mandated by existing regulations or 19 are these over and above what typically exist for 20 regulations? 21 MR. MCKOWN: Most of these are well over and 22 above the existing regulations. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Good. Okav.

Mr. Hussey?

24

1 MR. HUSSEY: So does their project have to be an absolutely riskless project? 2 MR. MCKOWN: There is no such thing in the world of blasting to have zero risk. 5 MR. HUSSEY: Thank you. And there's been some concern by the neighbors 6 on disturbing rat nests in the immediate area. Are you familiar with that phenomenon? MR. MCKOWN: Well, there -- on any open site 10 that gets developed, there may be rodents present. And those rodents, when they're clearing and grubbing and 11 12 excavation work starts, have to go somewhere. So yeah, 13 I'm familiar with rodent issues on clearing and 14 grubbing and excavating on large sites. 1.5 MR. HUSSEY: And the last question: This, as 16 we understand it, is all puddingstone. Are there 17 different factors on the reaction of the rock and the 18 transmission of vibration and what have you between various types of rock, between granite and sandstone 19 20 and puddingstone? Are there variables there that 21 affect this one way or the other to make it more risky or safer? 22 23 MR. MCKOWN: There are variables in all 24 different rock types. You know, when you have massive

- 1 granitic bedrocks with very few joints, that actually
- 2 can be more prone to some of the most important safety
- 3 risks, such as flyrock, because if the rock doesn't
- 4 break, that energy goes somewhere else.
- 5 But I don't see this particular puddingstone
- 6 as being -- it has joints, like all rocks do, and I
- 7 don't see it as particularly more troublesome than
- 8 other rocks.
- 9 MR. HUSSEY: As I recall, there are different
- 10 kinds of puddingstone too. I mean, I've heard stories
- in the past of puddingstones you can practically break
- 12 apart with a backhoe. That's not the case here,
- 13 clearly, but do you know whether this is a particular
- 14 type of puddingstone that's going to be more vulnerable
- 15 than others, or ...
- 16 MR. MCKOWN: I don't believe it will be more
- vulnerable to issues. I think that what you're
- 18 referring to is that near the top of rock, oftentimes
- 19 the rock is weathered and it is easier to excavate.
- 20 Sometimes it can be excavated with a large excavator.
- 21 And some of this rock -- there is some, based on the
- 22 boring logs I looked at, that is weathered and will be
- able to be excavated. But I believe that most of the
- 24 rock that needs to be excavated, particularly to a

depth more than a few feet, will require blasting. 1 2 MR. HUSSEY: Thank you. MR. MCKOWN: You're welcome. MR. BOOK: Just to clarify, when you commented 5 on the safety with respect to the pipes and -- the sewer pipes and gas pipes in the area, were you taking 6 into consideration that those pipes, some of them are very old? I mean, might be 100 years old. But I suppose they're -- I would suspect that those are more vulnerable than a newly laid pipe? Or is -- was that 10 11 part of the consideration in that statement you made? 12 MR. MCKOWN: Well, 100-year-old pipes 13 oftentimes are more vulnerable than brand-new pipes. 14 And I guess my comment was that even an older pipe is 15 much more resistant to vibration-related damage than, 16 say, the plaster walls of a house for two reasons. 17 One, it can't move any more than the ground moves, and those are very, very, very small movements. 18 I pointed out, you know, less than a hundredth of an 19 20 inch of displacement. And that's elastic 21 displacement. The ground moves up and down and goes 22 back right where it was. 23 And so I -- and the material is much 24 stronger. Even if it's somewhat deteriorated, it's a

lot stronger than the plaster walls of a home, which is 1 2 what these limits, the blasting limits are set for. So yeah, it's my opinion that if we protect the homes, and that's the intent of the regulations and 5 the recommendations that I have put fourth, we will also be protecting the utilities under the streets 6 MR. BOOK: Thank you. In one of your -another question -- one of your slides, your 8 recommendations, I think you had indicated a noise limit of 86 decibels; is that --10 11 MR. MCKOWN: That's correct. 12 MR. BOOK: Can you just -- for my edification, how much noise is that? 13 14 MR. MCKOWN: That's a good question. 15 know, it's something that at nighttime would probably be disturbing to someone's sleep. During the daytime, 16 17 given the cars going by and buses, whatever going by, it's something people will hear but it's intended not 18 to be overly disturbing to people. 19 20 MR. BOOK: Thank you. 21 MR. ZUROFF: Couple questions for you: You 22 said you would be recommending monitoring of the 23 houses, I guess plaster within the houses. Are there 24 going to be actual monitors in nearby houses, some way

of testing whether the plaster is being displaced? 1 2 MR. MCKOWN: Well, the first thing that's going to happen is that there will be what's called "preblast surveys" of all the structures. That is, 5 somebody goes in and actually takes pictures and notes on all defects within the homes. So that'll be done 6 prior to any drilling and blasting to document the 8 existing condition. What I mentioned was, depending on the nature 10 of existing cracks within the structure, there are 11 what's called "crack gauges" that can be put on existing cracks. It's not something that you want to 12 13 put on someone's living room, because it does get 14 attached to the wall, but there may be locations within 1.5 some of the structures where that might be a good idea 16 in order to show people that there aren't existing 17 cracks opening, closing, or extending. 18 MR. ZUROFF: So if a particular homeowner who's adjacent to the project has concerns about a 19 20 particular wall in his house and is amenable to having 21 a crack monitor, would you be recommending that those 2.2 be installed? 23 MR. MCKOWN: I think that would be a good idea 24 to allay concerns.

1 MR. ZUROFF: Will you be recommending the same 2. kind of monitors for gas pipes, water pipes? I know that you understand the damages that can occur from the blast, but is there any way for you to precheck certain 5 gas lines or pipe lines to see if they are sound enough to withstand the blast? 6 MR. MCKOWN: There are ways of getting into 8 pipelines and doing photographs. That's not something that would typically be done for projects like this. 10 The gas companies sometimes will do their own surveys 11 and assess the condition of their pipelines in the area 12 and participate in the project in their own ways. 13 MR. ZUROFF: Well, we've heard members of the 14 public state that there have been gas leaks in the area 15 previous to this. Would it be recommended that the gas 16 company go in and do that kind of survey for their 17 pipelines? 18 MR. MCKOWN: I think it would be a good idea 19 to contact the gas company and ask them about the gas 20 pipelines in that area and what concerns they might 21 have. 22 MR. ZUROFF: Is it typical for the gas 23 companies to come in before a blasting project and 24 review their --

```
MR. MCKOWN: I don't think it's -- I wouldn't
 1
      call it typical, no.
 2
               MR. ZUROFF:
                            Okay. And you said that you will
      be doing a pre-survey of the homes adjacent to see what
 5
      damages there are. Who decides -- if and when there
      are damages, who decides whether the blast caused it or
 6
      whether it didn't cause it?
               MR. MCKOWN: Well, the firm that does the
      preblast condition survey, if there was a claim of
 9
10
      damage, the first step would be that they would go back
11
      in and make an assessment of whether that crack was
12
      preexisting or not. Then they would look at the blast
      vibration documentation for that area and look to see
13
      whether the vibration levels were such that it would
14
15
      have been expected to cause those sorts of cracks.
16
               MR. ZUROFF: So, in your opinion, is it fairly
17
      cut and dry that if somebody complains about damage,
18
      that you can determine whether the damage was
      exacerbated or caused by blasting?
19
20
               MR. MCKOWN: Particularly if the preblast
21
      condition surveys are done, yes.
22
               MR. LISS: I just have two follow-ups to the
23
      preblast survey.
24
               The access -- obviously, the access and
```

```
inventory isn't mandatory by the homeowners; correct?
 1
 2
               MR. MCKOWN:
                            That's correct.
               MR. LISS: It's just encouraged? It's
      encouraged, I presume, to --
 5
               MR. MCKOWN: I believe it's -- yes. It's
 6
      encouraged because it helps protect them.
               MR. LISS: Hypothetically, a homeowner says,
      no, I don't want you to come in. Is there a per se,
      you know, feeling that after the work has been done
10
      that, you know, we have no way of proving, or is
11
      that -- how does that hypothetical situation get
12
      resolved?
13
               MR. MCKOWN: It's a difficult one.
                                                   The
14
      preblast condition survey is helpful in assessing
1.5
      whether or not -- the existing condition. My
      experience is that a lot of blast claims are the result
16
17
      of people who feel the vibrations from blasting, look
      around, and maybe there's some cracks in the walls that
18
19
      have been there. If we all go home and look at our
20
      homes, there are cracks in our walls around different
21
      areas within the house. It's not something we go
22
      looking for until we feel our houses shaking, and when
23
      we do, we usually find some. And that's what's useful
24
      about the condition survey, that as the person doing
```

the survey goes around, the homeowner looks as well. 1 2 MR. LISS: And the last question is: the typical perimeter for a project like this, or what would the recommended perimeter be or which houses or 5 which homes are part of that survey? And who decides where the cutoff is and whose home is subject and whose 6 is not subject? MR. MCKOWN: Well, the state requirement is that within 250 feet of any blasting area, that 9 preblast condition surveys should be done. I have 10 11 recommended that that be extended, particularly around the limits of the apartment building to -- I believe it 12 was 400 feet. 13 14 MR. LISS: Thank you. 1.5 MS. MURPHY: I just wanted to -- my understanding is is that the preblasting surveys are 16 17 done by a consultant hired by the applicant, by the 18 developer. Is that the case? 19 MR. MCKOWN: Generally, it's done by the 20 developer, by the applicant. 21 MS. NETTER: You said "generally." Might 22 there be certain situations, perhaps this project, 23 where it might be helpful to the town and the neighbors 24 to have the town's consultant also participate in that

- 1 survey?2
- 2 MR. MCKOWN: I guess that certainly is an
- 3 option available to the town.
- 4 MS. NETTER: You said "generally." That's why
- 5 I'm asking you.
- 6 MR. MCKOWN: Generally, it's done. The --
- qenerally, the blasting company hires somebody to do
- 8 the preblast surveys.
- 9 MS. NETTER: So always, really. You're
- saying, really, always that's how it's done?
- MR. MCKOWN: In most cases, that's how it's
- 12 done. Not always.
- MS. NETTER: Okay. Is that your
- 14 recommendation for this project?
- 15 MR. MCKOWN: I've asked that I be consulted on
- 16 who does the blasting as well as who does the preblast
- 17 condition survey.
- 18 MS. NETTER: Got it.
- 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you very much. That
- 20 was very informative.
- MR. MCKOWN: You're welcome.
- 22 MR. LEVIN: In the interest of time, having
- 23 seen our blaster's presentation, I will offer to commit
- 24 to the protocols that Mr. McKown would recommend as the

consultant for the town. He's very well respected in 1 2 the industry, and we would be prepared to conform to his protocols. So if that suffices or if you have any other concerns, we have our presentation which shows 5 many examples like the Mass General situation that shows how blasting can be done in all types of 6 circumstances. But we can dispense with the presentation if you'd like. 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you very much. Yes, that certainly is helpful. 10 11 Does anybody have any questions? 12 MR. ZUROFF: Just one. 13 Mr. McKown, are you familiar with the blasting 14 contractor that's being retained by the applicant? 15 MR. MCKOWN: I don't believe that there has 16 been a blasting contractor retained by the applicant, 17 but they have a representative of Maine Drilling and Blasting here this evening, and so that may be the 18 19 contractor. I don't know. 20 MR. LEVIN: Likely. 21 MR. ZUROFF: Likely, okay. Are you familiar 22 with --23 MR. MCKOWN: I am familiar with Maine Drilling 24 and Blasting. I believe they would be a very good

choice for this project. 1 2 MR. ZUROFF: That answers my question. 3 you. MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you very much. 5 I'd like to call upon the fire chief. MR. FORD: Good evening. After speaking 6 with Mr. McKown and hearing the presentation, I have a 8 list of his requirements that I would have for the I would ask that those be included -- considered 10 in the -- if you move forward and grant this project. 11 There are just a couple other things that I 12 would like to cover with it, our own internal -- some 13 of our internal policies that are very common but I 14 want to make sure that they are understood and agreed 15 upon. 16 We always require a fire detail at any blast 17 site, and if there would be more than one blast site 18 going on at the same time, it would require one 19 firefighter detail at each one. As is our standard, we 20 have a detail rate that would have to be reimbursed to 21 the community. 2.2 All the blasting materials, we require them to 23 be brought in that day and completely removed from 24 We don't want them hanging around. We want the

trucks to come in with them, go to the site, and leave, 1 2 not be stopping in town and having dinner with a truck with explosives in it. The other main part, as far as Mr. McKown's 5 consulting, we'd like to maintain him throughout this project, and I would ask that the petitioners be 6 responsible for those expenses that the town will incur with his consultation. With that, I'm confident with Mr. McKown's recommendations in speaking with him that the project -- that the blasting portion of the project 10 11 will be as safe as possible. 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you very much. 13 Ouestions? 14 (No audible response.) MR. JESSE GELLER: Nothing yet, but we're 1.5 16 going to call on you again in a minute. 17 MR. FORD: Good. MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. I'd like to bring 18 the chief forward again to shift gears and speak to us 19 20 about other public safety issues that are raised. In 21 particular, I understand you'll be speaking about mutual aid as well as response time. 22 23 MR. FORD: Yes, I will. I'd like to speak to

a couple of issue. I have spoken in the past and made

24

- 1 my opinion right from the beginning of this project.
- 2 And it is my understanding that at subsequent meetings
- different things were discussed that I wasn't present
- 4 for, so I would like to clear up some of misinformation
- 5 that I understand was brought up.
- I have always been concerned with the location
- 7 of this project, with the addition of more lives, more
- 8 property to protect. It is at the outskirts of our
- 9 community. It is at the farthest reaches of my
- 10 response, the response of my men and apparatus. I have
- 11 stated that right from day one.
- 12 I've heard that, well, we already have
- 13 projects out there, we already have residents out
- 14 there. That is true, but times change. As I've said
- before, we used to use horses. We don't do that
- 16 anymore. If you go down highway systems in this state
- and you go down Route 24 down to Taunton, you'll find
- 18 exit ramps that are extremely sharp, tight radius.
- 19 That's how we built them then. We don't allow that
- 20 anymore. So these project were built, and they were
- 21 years ago.
- They were also built when the community had
- 23 Ladder 3 on Boylston Street, which no longer exists and
- 24 would have been the closest ladder to the project, and

- 1 Engine 2 down in the Village, which would have been the
- 2 third new engine from this project. So the initial
- 3 poles built in that area years ago, the initial Hancock
- 4 Village, it was built in a different time and the NFPA
- 5 standards may not have been in place then like they are
- 6 now.
- 7 So distance and travel time has always been a
- 8 concern with me. The ISO, Insurance Service Office,
- 9 which does ratings of fire departments for the
- 10 communities -- and in many cases insurance companies
- 11 may utilize their rating. We enjoy a very good rating
- in this community. We are number 2. There are only 2
- 13 number 1's in the entire State of Massachusetts and
- only 12, 2's. Brookline is a 2. That's a pretty high
- 15 rating.
- 16 They say we should have ladder truck -- the
- 17 radius of the ladder truck is two miles. That should
- 18 be the response area.
- 19 Well, the closest ladder truck is outside the
- 20 two-mile radius as the crow flies. It's more like 3.69
- 21 miles, the driving distance. Those are a concern to
- 22 me, and it always has been.
- The NFPA says I have to -- I should have --
- their requirement is that I have a full first alarm

assignment on the scene in eight minutes. 1 2 Well, I only have two out of the five full alarm assignment apparatus that can arrive in that time frame. Three out of five apparatus take longer than 5 eight minutes. And, again, I know people say we already have 6 homes in that area, we already have this as a problem. 8 This is true, but do we want to add to the problem? I understand mention was made of mutual aid and that we could rely on Boston to send their closer 10 11 ladder truck to augment our services. 12 That's not how it works. We use mutual aid if 13 we have a fire of such size or emergency that our 14 apparatus are all being used, they're all tied up. 15 have mutual aid pacts with all the area departments, in fact, all of metro Boston. We go out to Somerville and 16 17 Cambridge and Boston and Newton, but we don't do it on 18 a regular basis. We don't do it every time a certain 19 alarm comes in. Mutual aid is there to be used when 20 you need it, when there's a fire. 21 This type of aid that was mentioned is turned 22 automatically, and it's not really utilized in this 23 If you have a very small community, one 24 community only had one engine, another small community

had one engine, another small community had one engine, 1 2 they might have an automatic aid pact. They say, let's all get together and respond together. That's not how we do it in Brookline or Boston 5 or Cambridge or Newton. We want to have our own fire 6 department take care of our own citizens, so we're not going to rely on Boston, and I would not want the plan going forward where someone said, well, we'll just rely on Boston. That's unfair to the citizens of Boston to 10 pay for their fire apparatus to come here, and it's 11 unfair to us. We have to protect our own citizens, and my department will do that. This does add an extra 12 13 degree of difficultly; more citizens, more structures 14 in the very outskirts of our reach. 1.5 Access and egress to the project: In the 16 beginning, this was a great concern for me. Many of 17 the development's areas had basically what I would call 18 "dead ends," which means when my apparatus show up and there's a fire and it's five companies showing up -- or 19 20 maybe it's just something small like food on the 21 stove. We don't know that -- five apparatus would drive down this area. It'd be like a dead end. 22 23 Now the incident commander releases some of 24 those pieces, but they can't get out, or they have to

They have to back up into areas of parking 1 back out. 2 or, as was deemed -- or termed, "hammerhead turn-arounds." That takes time, it's dangerous. There's a lot of kids in this area. There will be a 5 lot of children. Children are drawn to the flashing red lights and the big red trucks, and now they have to 6 back up. It's a very dangerous situation. It also requires more time for my apparatus to get back in service. That incident commander may release those companies, they're free to go back to 10 11 quarters, and you have an emergency at your house but 12 they're spending time backing up, trying to get back in 13 service, trying to turn around. 14 The developer did work with me on some of 15 these areas and created a turn-around in one area where it had this hammerhead turn. They reduced some garages 16 17 along one area, which reduced my fire load, so they have worked with me in some areas, but there are still 18 19 areas that require backing up. 20 The latest plan that I've seen called for two 21 buildings to be built on Grassmere. That road 22 currently has curbing across is which will stop me, 23 effectively, from driving in, so I'd have to go around 24 to the main project. So that is a concern.

think it's a huge deal to fix, but that's something 1 2 that I would want to see corrected so we have a better access. And one of those buildings actually ties in to 5 a dead end area from the Independence Drive part of the project. So if the driveway or parking area were 6 extended up to Grassmere, my apparatus would now have a way to drive straight out and not have to turn around --10 MR. LISS: Can I interrupt you real quickly? Just for our perspective, where is Grassmere on the 11 map, just so we have a perspective on it. 12 13 MR. FORD: Right here. 14 MR. LISS: That's blocked off right there? 1.5 MR. FORD: Yeah, that's blocked off. 16 If you have a -- the picture, if they're 17 numbered, buildings 5 and 6 coming in from Independence 18 Drive, that's one of these dead ends that I'm talking 19 But now one of the new buildings that's 20 proposed further up -- now, I just saw this today for 21 the first time, this new design -- I'd like to see that 22 driveway from Independence to buildings 5 and 6 have an 23 egress for my guys to get out and going straight up by 24 the new building. Again, I'd like to do everything

possible to avoid backing up and turning around. 1 2 creates a dangerous situation. The rear of building 10 -- access originally to the rear of building 10 was pretty much 5 nonexistent. The developer did agree, in talks with me, to provide access for fire apparatus; basically a 6 restricted-use driveway into the back that would conform to the necessary width for our apparatus and the weight and proper signage so we could identify it. 10 It's a type of material that grass can actually grow 11 through so it can look like a lawn, but it's for the 12 apparatus. But it would have to be identified. 13 They've told me and agreed in speaking that this could 14 be done. I'd like to make sure that it's stipulated 1.5 that it is done. 16 MS. NETTER: Excuse me. Some of your 17 recommendations, can you put in writing? Like, the 18 specifics that you're talking about --19 MR. FORD: Absolutely. 20 MS. NETTER: -- I don't think the board has. 21 MR. FORD: Okay. 22 The developer, again, has made some 23 concessions for me. A legitimate turn-around near that 24 building number 1 was done. They also said that they

would actively seek to gain emergency egress from 1 2 building 9, I believe it is, out into Veteran's Memorial Parkway, again, so when my apparatus drive down to respond to that building, they don't have to 5 back up, turn around to get back out. They can go 6 right out onto the parkway. In talks they've agreed to this. I want to make sure that these stipulations are 8 in place. Lastly, I'd like to talk about sprinkler 10 We have this problem. My response is going 11 to be delayed. We're going to take quite a while to 12 get there. So my main concern is people's lives, 13 people are able to get out of the buildings; and 14 secondly, the lives of my firefighters. The longer I 15 take to get to a fire, especially unchecked, the larger 16 the fire, the more damage to the building, the weaker 17 the building. My guys are going to get more rescue 18 attempts, and larger fire growth means more problems 19 for them. 20 The buildings -- as I look at it, I haven't 21 seen hard and fast plans. This is just in the design 22 stage -- but the buildings should be required all to 23 have certain sprinkler systems. The smaller buildings,

other than a few -- if I can say this -- a few -- the

24

larger -- largest of the smaller buildings and the main 1 2 building should be -- come under NFPA 13 for sprinkler systems. The smaller buildings, I believe, will come under 13R, residential sprinkler systems. 5 difference being, a residential sprinkler system is designed for life safety. It's designed to buy a little time for you to get out, and then whatever happens to the building happens. I would like to see stipulated in the plan that all the buildings conform to 13. I want the 10 11 buildings protected also because I want those buildings 12 as safe as possible when my guys have to drive quite a 13 distance from that fire. I don't want that fire to 14 advance. There are -- 13 covers areas like the attic, 15 things of that nature, a better system. So I would 16 like to see a stipulation that all the buildings are 17 sprinklered according to NFPA 13. 18 And whether or not the plan would require it -- again, I don't have plans in front of me to 19 20 review -- but the main building, the large building 21 must have some sort of standpipe systems, part of a 22 water delivery system in the building. It's a large 23 building. It's 500 feet in length. We can't be going 24 up one staircase and having to pull the hose all the

way down the building, so I'd like to see that whatever 1 2 the requirement -- whether it's required or not under the law -- that there are standpipes installed for fire fighting purposes. 5 And lastly, the notification system: depending on the size of this building and the code --6 and the code is changing, a vast change coming up 8 January 1st -- but the alarm systems, I would like to insist that the alarm systems are all tied in directly to the fire department through a master box rather than 10 11 a third-party central station. That way -- the reason 12 for that is that alarm goes directly to my fire alarm. 13 We get it right away. No delay. We're on the road as 14 quick as we can to get down to that part of the town. 1.5 MR. HUSSEY: So this means all the buildings, 16 all the small buildings as well as the apartment 17 building? 18 MR. FORD: All the new structures should be tied in directly to us, again, just so that we get the 19 20 quickest notification. Rather than it going through a 21 third party, they call us. I want to get my people on 22 the road as quick as possible. 23 MS. NETTER: And the -- what you were just

talking about, the notification system, you also

24

mentioned that there's new requirements coming into 1 2 place. Is some of this part of the new requirements? MR. FORD: I don't have the answer to that. I've yet to hear or learn all the new requirements that 5 are coming out. They've gone through years and melded all our codes into -- we're going to be following NFPA 6 except for specific Massachusetts regulations. They're 8 all coming out. It just so happens I'm going to training next week. MS. NETTER: But this is good practice, is 10 11 what you're suggesting? 12 MR. FORD: I'm sorry. What --13 MS. NETTER: The notification system, the 14 standpipe system, requiring the NFPA 13 for all the 15 buildings, just not -- for all the buildings, this is good practice from your point of view? 16 17 MR. FORD: Correct. And it is over and above 18 the norm. Right now the smaller buildings, in all 19 likelihood, would be 13R. In all likelihood, they 20 would not require direct fire department notification. 21 Again, with the distance out, with the fire load, I 22 would like to see these buildings better protected. 23 You have to remember, it's not all about 24 getting people out. The compromise of the building

affects my men and women. And also, if we allow these 1 2 buildings to become fully involved, they become exposure problems. The greenbelt, as it's called, those buildings that are going in the greenbelt for the 5 most part are not far off the property lines of the adjoining neighbors. Those neighbors don't have huge 6 backyards. If those buildings are allowed to be fully involved, the radiant heat presents exposure problems, the number one method of fire transfer to an exposed 10 building. So I would rather have these buildings fully 11 protected with an adequate sprinkler system to help 12 avoid that situation. 13 That's all I have for you, if you have some 14 questions. 1.5 MR. LISS: Ouick comment on Grassmere. 16 how it connects Thornton. An obvious concern if you 17 connect that is that then people from Chestnut Hill Realty will then pass through that street and vice 18 But what if it was designated specifically -- I 19 20 don't know if this is a town issue or a CHR issue --21 but, you know, just accessible by fire or police or 22 emergency vehicles? Is that something that would be 23 amenable to you as the chief, or are you looking for 24 general access or at least restricted access accessible

only by police and safety? 1 2 MR. FORD: Well, I don't want to seem selfish, but my access concerns don't involve the public and people driving around there. I'm concerned with my 5 apparatus, getting there quickly. I'm fine with it if there's some sort of restriction that I'm not going to be delayed with there. I don't want my guys to have to stop and get out of the engine and unlock the gate. That's -- again, it's all time, and time is fire 10 extension, time is medical issues, deteriorating 11 people, deteriorating -- trying to avoid anything that 12 causes us time. 13 MR. LISS: Thank you. 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: Other questions? 1.5 MR. ZUROFF: I do have a question, and I don't know who to address it to, but it's not to you, Chief 16 17 Ford. Thank you. 18 But with regard to the access that we're discussing, potentially to have access to VFW 19 20 Parkway -- and I don't know who makes the decision on 21 this or whether it's possible -- but if the developer 22 were to lay a driveway to the parkway but not 23 connected, just pave a roadway up to the parkway -- but 24 the parkway still has curbstone, whatever -- in

anticipation of possible connecting at some point, 1 would that allow fire truck access in the event of an 2 emergency? I'm sure that the authorities of VFW Parkway would not stop that, but I'm just curious as to 5 how that could work potentially. MR. FORD: Well, I'm not looking for access 6 for the VFW, I'm looking for egress. I'm looking for a 8 way out. MR. ZUROFF: I understand. 10 MR. FORD: I don't want to rely on driving 11 over curbs. Depending on the curb height -- our 12 apparatus have piping underneath, water underneath, 13 very expensive items like differentials in 14 transmissions. While in all likelihood we can probably 15 drive over the curb, we don't like to make that a 16 habit. 17 MR. ZUROFF: Has there ever been a situation like that? 18 19 MR. FORD: Not that I'm aware of. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anything else? 21 (No audible response.) 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. That's been

We're now going to invite --

very helpful. I appreciate it.

23

24

```
1
               MR. LEVIN: Can we respond?
 2
               MR. JESSE GELLER: If you can briefly.
 3
               MR. MICHAUD: Good evening. Robert Michaud,
      MDM Transportation Consultants, Marlborough,
 5
      Massachusetts.
               Just a couple of points related to VFW access
 6
                 That is something that this applicant
      or egress.
      intends to pursue in collaboration with the town.
      We've said that in prior testimony. I think everyone
      would desire that outcome, but we don't quarantee it.
10
11
      It's not our decision. It's ultimately the decision of
12
      the Department of Conservation and Recreation, DCR.
13
               And while there may be some things that the
14
      applicant has control over on their site in terms of
15
      designing it -- as one member had mentioned, a road up
16
      to the property line of the parkway -- I think what
17
      you're hearing from the chief is a concern that -- what
18
      happens when you get to that point? He doesn't want to
19
      be in a position to climb curbs, and rightly so.
               So we will certainly engage in that discussion
20
21
      with DCR in collaboration with the town and the chief.
22
      And I think ideally what that would mean is a physical
23
      at-grade connection to the parkway, and that could, in
24
      fact, at a minimum, be gated for emergency egress.
```

I think we're clearly on the record as wanting to 1 2 pursue that. And possibly even having full access there would allow for general movement to and from the development. So I think we're in agreement on that 5 point. It's certainly no quarantee. As it relates to the Grassmere connection, 6 certainly there may be some design features that could 8 be incorporated that allow for the passage of the chief's apparatus directly to Thornton in those 10 circumstances, and we could certainly work out what 11 those features are, what the chief is comfortable with 12 and what the town's comfortable with. So I think 13 that's a possibility as well. 14 I'll reiterate that the plan has been modified 15 through substantial input from the chief specifically 16 to include widening of the internal roadway systems to a standard of, I believe, 24 feet. The various aspects 17 of where the cul-de-sac elements or hammerheads have 18 been specifically modified with input from the chief 19 20 have been shown through analysis to provide adequate 21 maneuverability for purposes of getting to and from the 22 development. And we're confident on the basis of peer 23 review and similar locations within Brookline that 24 those are appropriately designed for those purposes.

```
1
               We also reiterate that the sprinkler systems,
      as the chief had mentioned, are an important component
 2.
      of this development and will be designed to a high
      standard and level to ensure that the chief is
 5
      comfortable and the buildings are adequately protected
      to the extent that they can be using that form of
      technology.
               And finally, you know, we understand the
      notion of the mutual aid as the chief had laid it out.
10
      We also point out that the proximity of Hancock
11
      Village, the Baker School, and other locations that do,
12
      from time to time, require a response, you know, are,
13
      in fact, located in a way that's equidistant and
14
      proximate to other stations that can provide a response
15
      to the extent the chief deems it necessary in certain
      circumstances. And one of those stations is the Boston
16
17
      fire station on Center Street which is located well
      within a one-and-a-half-mile radius and five-minute
18
19
      response time.
20
               And so we're not dismissing the fact or the
21
      concern that the chief had pointed out that only two of
22
      the five stations fall within the eight minute or less
23
      response time, but we are also aware that there are
24
      mitigating circumstances that do exist to the extent we
```

- 1 need it at the discretion of the chief in those
  2 circumstances.
  3 So I think that pretty much -- oh, yes.
- 4 finally -- and this is a point we made very early on in
- 5 the planning of this property -- we were cognizant that
- 6 certain access roadways that are being proposed,
- 7 specifically from Independence Drive on the west side,
- 8 will enhance the ability for response to a number of
- 9 units within the existing Hancock Village property. It
- 10 becomes evident when you do look at a plan, which you
- 11 have, that the roadway in that location would provide
- 12 accessibility to four of the existing Hancock Village
- 13 property buildings that you simply can't get to under
- 14 existing conditions. So we stress that there is
- another mitigating circumstance here that actually
- 16 enhances response time to a number of existing units in
- 17 that area.
- MR. HUSSEY: Mr. Michaud, I've got one
- 19 question. Is there sufficient water pressure in the
- 20 streets for the sprinkler systems
- MR. MICHAUD: I'm not qualified to answer that
- 22 question. That's a civil engineering question that is
- 23 beyond my knowledge and expertise.
- MR. JOE GELLER: Joe Geller, Stantec

- Consulting. I think that was discussed earlier on, and 1 2 there would be flow tests done to make sure that we have adequate pressure, and there would be booster pumps if we didn't have the adequate pressure. 5 One thing that Bob did mention was -- and the chief mentioned -- was NFPA 13R and 13, and we would 6 actually do all of the buildings as 13, no 13R 8 buildings. And we also -- he mentioned the standpipe, which is something we would certainly do, and access to the direct alarm system is something we would certainly 10
- MR. BOOK: I have a follow-up question for
  Fire Chief Ford. It's in your discretion if a call
  comes in to call another community if they're closer or
  it requires a faster response time. Do you do that? I
  mean --

So all of that would be done as part of the final

MR. FORD: No. It is in my discretion and
plans have already been laid out throughout all of
Metro Boston, should we have a fire in Hancock Village
tonight when all my apparatus are utilized at that fire
and I say I need another ladder truck, they're going to
send a ladder truck from Boston. But for the initial
alarm, we are not relying on other communities.

11

12

design.

Now, there is a rare occasion where we have 1 2 what we call "line boxes." As an example, when you go down Harvard Street and you get very close to the Boston line, there's going to be a box, the fire alarm 5 boxes in the street every so many feet down Harvard. When you get to the -- maybe the very last one in 6 Brookline, if that box gets transmitted, the response to that is a full Brookline response and a Boston engine. Now, when you cross into Boston and you get to the next box, that box gets a full Boston response and 10 11 a Brookline engine. 12 And I believe the theory from years ago --13 this was set up by chiefs before me -- it's so close to 14 the line, maybe there was a passerby that you could see 15 a fire and it was really on the Boston side but he 16 pulled the Brookline box or vice versa, it'd get us 17 both there because it's so close. That's the only case 18 that I am aware of that we ever send another community without there being a fire and we need additional help 19 20 and then the other communities are called. 21 MR. BOOK: So, for example, if there is a call 22 at the Baker School -- and I'm sure over the years 23 there must have been calls from the Baker School --24 Brookline always responds. A Boston engine doesn't

show up for that? 1 2 MR. FORD: Correct. Only if that fire is so large that we had all of our companies committed or there was something else going on. There might have 5 been a call at the Devotion School at the same time and everybody was tied up there or the ladders were tied up 6 there. And now our fire alarm would know, wait a There's no ladder available. They go by a run card. In that case they would call Boston because we 10 were tied up. We don't call Boston when we're not tied 11 up. 12 MR. BOOK: Thank you. 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 14 Anything else? Any questions? Safety? 1.5 (No audible response.) MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. I'm going to take a 16 17 shot at this. We have a finite amount of time, and I know people like cheering, but it does not help us with 18 19 the clock. So if you're going to applaud and cheer 20 after every person speaks, then some of you are just 21 not going to have time to speak. So you make the 22 choice. 23 MS. WACHOWSKI: Hello, ladies and gentlemen. 24 My name is Mary Wachowski, and I live at 226 Grove and

Bonad Streets. That's also Independence. I moved to 1 2 Brookline two years ago. I have two children. also a public school teacher. My youngest child needed a good public school system with an excellent special 5 needs program. I moved from Dorchester where I lived for 25 years with my husband and family so that my son 6 could attend the Baker K through 8 School with high recommendations from both parents and educational consultants. I can assure you that by approving these 10 market-rate, not affordable, subsidized, or Section 8 11 apartments, which I lived amongst in Dorchester for 25 12 13 years, you are essentially turning a residential South 14 Brookline neighborhood into a mini city that will 1.5 require more police, more fire presence, and it's own new K through 8 school immediately. 16 17 Baker School is enormously overcrowded. 18 at very, very dangerous capacity for its children. thank you for the fire chief for being the only person 19 20 here so far to mention the word "children." 21 Coming from 20 years in Dorchester, I can tell 22 you that Boston residents -- I can vouch for this --23 struggle daily to constantly reclaim the green space 24 that Brookline residents, all of us, now take for

granted. 1 2 I would like to know whether these lawyers and the owners of Chestnut Hill Realty and the board here would like this happening in their own neighborhood. 5 And clearly, some of you don't know where some of the streets are that we're talking about and don't even know that West Roxbury is in Boston. I would wager that you like going home to a quiet home during the day, and in the evening like quiet evenings there and 10 enjoy that your children go to school in a quiet 11 environment in a beautiful setting. Well, guess what, 12 we do too. 13 The open space of Hancock Village is truly a 14 gift. It was a legal agreement and an historical 15 precedence set years ago for air, sky, and gorgeous old trees for the children then and the families then when 16 17 it was built and would benefit the children and 18 families who live there now and its neighbors, us. 19 But, ladies and gentlemen of Hancock Village 20 and this committee, you can come to my house -- that's 21 226 Grove Street at the corner of Bonad and Grove --22 for coffee any morning starting at 6:30. I will 23 welcome you. And you can watch from 6:30 a.m. to 24 8:30 a.m., now, while parents, children, teenagers, and

our own two crossing guards from the town risk their 1 2 lives daily trying to get across Grove Street, Independence Street, from Russett and Bonad and Beverly to get to the Baker School and to other bus stops at 5 other locations where they attend school along that 6 neighborhood stretch. It is at already capacity traffic now. I have seen our own crossing guards almost be killed in front of my eyes numerous times. I have watched small children, mothers with strollers, elderly 10 11 grandparents escorting their children almost be 12 killed. I've seen my own child in just the last 72 13 hours almost run over in these crosswalks, which, by 14 the way, the town doesn't even have legally marked 15 crosswalks now. I welcome you to come and visit me and have coffee with me and see how dangerous this 16 17 situation is now. 18 So I ask all the lawyers here and owners of Hancock Village and the committee how all this 19 20 construction, all these construction vehicles add to 21 the danger -- and I ask that to the fire chief too --22 and to the danger -- already currently dangerous 23 streets. Will the Hancock Village owners pay for 24 additional police detail, a new school, and more fire

department and EMT presence when these accidents and 1 2 dangerous situations increase? How will blasting affect the life of the children in Hancock Village and the neighborhood and 5 the already desperately overcrowded Baker School, its teachers and administrators during the learning day, 6 which was pointed out 9:00 to 4:00 when this blasting 8 is allowed. How will the entire quality of the life and the value of our homes be affected? I'm sure you would not want this going on in your own neighborhood. 10 11 In fact, would you mind if we all come to your 12 neighborhood and have you experience some of this? I 13 would wager you'll say no. 14 By the way, I recently -- I have friends who 15 live in Hancock Village. Chief, there are already 16 streets blocked off with barriers that they put out 17 there, so there's not access now. They're endangering 18 their current residents now with non access to side 19 streets for your fire trucks. There's trash spread all 20 over their receptacle areas, so there are already skunk 21 and rat problems currently. And by the way, the 22 Baker -- the area where they want to build dead ends 23 into the Baker, so I don't know how a fire truck -- if 24 we ever had to evacuate the Baker if it caught on fire

from one of the buildings, all those children are in a 1 2 very, very precarious situation. I thank you very much, and I urge you to think of your own children and grandchildren and the quality 5 of life in your neighborhoods in Brookline when you make this decision tonight. Thank you for your time. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. MS. RECHES: I live at 26 Asheville Road. MR. JESSE GELLER: You've got to tell us who 10 you are. 11 MS. RECHES: Debbie Reches. So I just wanted to say that I've been at several of these meetings and, 12 13 you know, it seems pretty clear that from the 14 perspective of people living on Asheville and our 1.5 neighbors that this, you know, building is pretty much an unmitigated disaster in terms of the character of 16 17 the neighborhood. You know, even if they build another school, 18 the fact that, you know, having a rental neighborhood 19 20 is very different than a residential neighborhood. 21 don't have problems with affordable housing, but when 22 you talk about transient populations and a large influx 23 of a transient population, that changes things. 24 So, you know, I guess that, you know, the

developer can make a lot of money and, you know, that's 1 2 great for him but, you know, I mean, I would understand if there was at least some benefit to the town, but the fact is that this is closer to the Boston area. The 5 residents are going to be patrons of Boston stores, not Brookline. It's not really close to the commercial area of Brookline. They probably won't be going to jobs in Brookline. So there's really, like, no upside for Brookline either. And I'm just, like, totally perplexed as to 10 how Brookline can often manage to delay or postpone 11 or -- you know, projects that might actually increase 12 13 their revenue and they're, like, powerless to do 14 anything for a plan that's going to be pretty -- have 15 pretty bad financial consequences for the town. all. 16 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. MR. CHIUMENTI: My name is Steve Chiumenti, 18 Town Meeting member from Precinct 16, and really 19 20 talking on behalf of a number of neighbors as well. 21 We have urged you to deny or significantly 22 reduce the scope of the proposed project or projects. 23 I'm not sure how many are in play now. But in the six 24 months I've attended and participated in your hearings,

you have listened to a great deal of testimony 1 2 regarding an inconceivable relevant issue and some not necessarily relevant. We've heard representations about what may be considered, what may not be 5 considered, and so on. This evening we will review local concerns that have been raised, and particularly in the specific context of the current 2008 regulations. particularly follow precisely the regulations the way 10 they apply to this board and the Housing Appeals 11 Committee as far as reviewing the decision of this 12 board. On the basis of local concerns identified in 13 this process, we have -- we continue to urge you to 14 deny the project, and for the same reasons, very 15 substantially reduce the project. 16 Fundamentally, this is what your mission is 17 essentially: to deny -- to approve or deny or to 18 approve with conditions the project reflecting local concerns. Your approval or your denial or your 19 20 conditions must be consistent with local need. That's done in two ways. Alternatively, A -- and the reason 21 22 I've crossed it out is, that's basically the 23 requirement that you have 10 percent of the affordable 24 housing, the SHI housing, and we don't, so that doesn't

apply. 1 2 We're under B. B basically has three parts. It says your denial or your approval with conditions needs to be consistent with, essentially, the local 5 requirements and regulations imposed on the project. The local concerns -- it has to be reasonable in light of local concerns -- which is primarily what we're discussing this evening -- and the local requirements and regulations are applied as equally as possible for both subsidized and unsubsidized housing, and the 10 11 regional need for low and moderate income housing 12 considered with the number of low income persons in the 13 affected municipalities. So that's to be considered as 14 well, the need for housing. 1.5 And basically I'll just briefly touch on the 16 last two. As I said, we're mostly going to concentrate 17 on local concerns. Basically, it's unarguable that you -- even if you denied this project, you would be 18 treating this equally as to subsidized or unsubsidized 19 20 housing because for 60 years no one's allowed anything 21 to be built in the areas where they're proposing to 22 build, not even a parking lot. They're certainly not 23 disadvantaged because this is potentially subsidized 24 housing. Nothing would be considered on an

unsubsidized basis, nor has it ever been. So there's 1 2 no discrimination issue on that basis. The third point I'll just touch on briefly is an interesting point, and I only want to mention that 5 because that's not a reference to the availability of subsidized housing. That's a reference to people, the 6 number of low income persons, not available housing. This is not like A above where it refers to the SHI housing, the index. And basically it says -- well, these are 10 defined terms, actually. Basically, low and moderate 11 income housing is essentially what is subsidized, but 12 13 it's basically available for low income persons, and 14 "low income persons" is defined in the regulations as 15 well. It's basically people who live in households who 16 have income not more -- or less than 80 percent, 17 rather, of the area median income. 18 What's interesting about this is that it says, "Considered with the number of lower income persons in 19 the affected municipality." I take that as a reference 20 21 to Brookline. Essentially, the regional need -- I 22 looked it up and the number of household -- the 23 proportion of households in the Boston metro area that

have income 80 percent or lower than the actual -- the

24

median income, the area median is 45 percent. 1 2 Brookline that number is 30 percent, so we have a lower need. Now, the regulation says that when you take 5 these matters into consideration, if there's a greater need, they're supposed to actually have a higher 6 threshold for meeting of local concerns. Basically, if it were the other way around, I'm sure that they would say, well, if Brookline had a 45 percent proportion and the metro region was 30 percent, that Brookline would 10 11 have a higher need and therefore should meet a higher 12 threshold of local concerns. All I'm suggesting here 13 is that basically we have a lower threshold for local 14 concerns here because we have a lower need. 1.5 Now, there are plenty of cases that discuss 16 how you calculate SHI. For example, if you have plenty 17 of affordable housing that's not subsidized, you don't get to count it. That's about housing and how you 18 19 count housing. I found no cases that address how you 20 measure the need for low and moderate income housing. 21 So I'm just reading the regulation and telling you what 22 the facts are, that Brookline's proportion is 30 23 percent, the regional number is 40 percent. 24 Basically, our presentation of the local

concerns is based primarily on what the testimony of 1 2 the hearing, really from the town boards of Brookline, the various boards. Now, the statute -- the regulation basically consolidates all of the permitting authority 5 to you. That's to basically give you the comprehensive permit so the applicant doesn't have to go looking for 6 permits to all of these boards. But the boards have a role. Basically, the regulation stipulates that the boards will consider the 10 input of the town's boards at arriving at its decision. 11 Essentially, it defines local boards in a defined term, 12 and it basically is any local board or official 13 including but not limited to any board of survey, board 14 of health, planning board, conservation commission, 15 historical commission, water, sewer, or other commission or district, fire, police, traffic, or other 16 17 department, building inspector, or similar official board or Board of Selectmen. 18 19 And these boards and groups have all pretty 20 much had an opportunity to testify over the six months 21 we've been doing this, and, as I said, the local 22 concerns that we expressed are going to be pretty much 23 taken from that. And, as I said, the regulation 24 expects you to take that into account.

```
All right. So the scope of the board hearing
 1
 2
      on local concerns basically follows exactly the --
      well, what the regulation basically says is you, the
      board, should make yourselves aware of the detailed
 5
      provisions of the burden of proof that have been set
            Basically, in the provision where it talks
 6
      about how the Housing Appeals Committee would review
      your decisions, that's the basis on which you're
      supposed to be reviewing this material as well.
               And so what we've done is set out the burden
10
      of proof with respect to the local concerns in the
11
      order that it's provided in the procedural requirements
12
13
      by the Housing Appeals Committee. First health,
14
      safety, and environment, site and building design, and
15
      then open space. I'll mention the financial
16
      feasibility issue at the end, but I want to mention one
17
      point here is that health, safety, and environment is
      one of the three categories. The other two are not
18
      involved with health and safety. Health and safety is
19
20
      its own requirement. You don't need to prove that the
21
      open space, if it's lost, will result in a safety
               That's its own criterion for -- people allege
22
      threat.
23
                 That's why we put it in there.
      otherwise.
24
               And Bill, basically, is going to go through,
```

now, the local concerns in the context of those three 1 2 categories of the regulations of the Housing Appeals Committee in case you should review the project. MR. PU: Thank you. I'm Bill Pu from 249 5 Beverly Road. I'm a Town Meeting member. So, again, our goal here is to just set out 6 the local concerns in the context of the regulations themselves to better understand how they should be interpreted. So here's the first category: health, safety, 10 11 and the environment. And we're citing the specific relevant paragraph, so this paragraph 3: Adequacy of 12 13 water and drainage arrangement. As you know, we have 14 serious questions about water drainage on the site. 1.5 don't feel that they've been adequately addressed. 16 feel the quality of the peer review was low. Over 459 17 Brookline residents petitioned to have another peer review, but do not think that's been taken up. 18 19 The proposed drainage system requires ongoing 20 maintenance. I think that's been agreed upon. But we 21 want to know, what's the enforcement of that 22 maintenance? And I think the issue of trash has been 23 raised, which this is a picture of the trash taken from 24 less than a month ago. This is a common occurrence.

And, you know, this is trash but we're worried about 1 2 other things that are harder to measure such as maintenance of the drainage system. The next, I think, has been dealt with by the 5 fire chief, adequacy of fire protection. I just wanted to add one thing to what the fire chief said, is that 6 we're also worried about Russett Road itself. very narrow. And I don't see how a large emergency vehicle could pass when it's narrowed by snow and parked vehicles. This is a regular car, and I'm not 10 11 sure how an emergency vehicle would fit. 12 This was covered. I think the fire chief did 13 a great job with this, so I'll skip that. 14 So paragraph 5 has to do with adequacy of the 15 applicant's proposed arrangements for dealing with traffic circulation, feasibility of arrangements which 16 17 could be made by a municipality for dealing with traffic generated by the project on adjacent streets. 18 19 And as the first speaker eloquently said, we 20 think that there's already quite a bit of traffic and 21 this is concerning to us. This proposal will more than double the number of dwelling units served by Russett 22 23 The proposed traffic circulation analysis made 24 no specific analysis of the very high traffic that

occurs on school day mornings. This traffic makes 1 2 entry and exit from homes to those roads very difficult under current conditions, and doubling the number of dwelling units served by that road will only make it 5 worse. We think that, at a minimum, this project 6 should be conditioned on securing direct vehicle access to VFW -- not a promise of securing it, actually securing it -- and permitting emergency-vehicle-only access to the apartment building via Asheville and 10 11 Russett. 12 We also think the proposal of reducing 13 Independence Drive from four lanes to two lanes is a 14 disaster waiting to happen. The traffic is already 1.5 congested, and this will reduce the flow by 50 16 percent. It also will cause a bottleneck when it goes 17 out to Boston and then it's four lanes again. 18 So now onto the next section of local concerns, which is site and building design. So 19 20 paragraph 1 deals with height, bulk, placement of the 21 proposed project. The site of the proposed project is 22 poor, located on a portion of Hancock Village with the 23 greatest impact on the abutting property owners and on 24 the historic design of the exiting development.

are other places that this project could have been put 1 2 with less disruptive impact. We've heard about the apartment building. height and bulk is completely out of character for the 5 surrounding neighborhoods, including the revised plan we saw today. It's nearly two football fields long. 6 It's the equivalent of 85-plus residential homes. placement at the highest point of the property just magnifies its anomalous bulk. This is one of the reasons MassDevelopment initially rejected the 10 11 proposal, specifically citing this poor design 12 feature. So even MassDevelopment felt that this was a 13 poor design feature. 14 The infill buildings are located on a critical 15 strip of green space integral as part of the garden village design of Hancock Village providing public 16 17 space and natural surrounding for many of the Hancock 18 Village units. The green space was purposely set aside as a boundary between the denser multifamily 19 20 development and the single-family homes. 21 The project will destroy the integrity of the design that made a successful Hancock Village and led 22 23 to it being designated as eligible for the national 24 register of historic places. And because of the damage

that would be done by this project, it was identified 1 2 by Preservation Massachusetts as one of the Commonwealth's ten most endangered historic resources. The placement on puddingstone will require 5 extensive blasting, as we've heard, which is dangerous and will disrupt quality of life. It also will raise 6 the cost of the project, and that's relevant because 8 that gets to the issue of what scale a project is needed for economic feasibility. 10 Paragraph 3 deals with height, bulk, and 11 placement of surrounding structures and improvement, 12 which we take to mean the parking. So the extensive 13 roads and parking will destroy nearly all the usable 14 green space. The number and placement of parking units 15 appears to be intended for use of existing non-40B 16 units. 17 Chapter 4 has to do with physical characteristics of the surrounding land. So the 18 surrounding land has poorly draining soil and extensive 19 20 rock ledge, so, as we've mentioned, there's a drainage 21 problem. And this will be worse because we're 22 replacing the green space with buildings and impervious 23 surfaces. Also, as Tom Brady of the Conservation 24 Department noted, the puddingstone outcropping will not

support tree growth, so any idea of hiding this 1 2. apartment building by trees is not feasible. Paragraph 5, adequacy of parking arrangements. The parking is more than adequate, but 5 it's poorly placed and it appears that it will serve the non-40B units. 6 Adequacy of open areas -- this is 8 paragraph 6 -- including outdoor recreational areas proposed within the project site. There is little useable open area within the project. Instead it will 10 11 destroy the open space. 12 And we're on the last category, which is 13 specifically open space as a characteristic by itself. 14 So the first paragraph is availability of existing open 15 The nearest recreational open space is spaces. There is none in Precinct 16, other than 16 Boston. 17 what's available at the Baker School. In its 18 application, the developer cited a golf course, a 19 cemetery, and the Baker School. 20 In paragraph 2, it says, "current and 21 projected utilization of open spaces and consequent 22 needs including occupants of the proposed housing." 23 I've heard the board say that the developer could do 24 what he wants because of his rental property, but this

specifically says that we should include the occupants 1 2 of proposed housing. The project makes no provision for open space. This regulatory paragraph makes clear that the impact on renters of Hancock Village should be 5 taken into account. This paragraph 3 says that the relationship of the proposed site to any municipal open space or outdoor recreation plan officially adopted by the Planning Board and any official action to preserve open 10 spaces taken with respect to the proposed site by the 11 Town Meeting or City Council prior to the application. 12 Inclusion of the proposed site in such an open space 13 shall create a presumption that the site is needed to 14 preserve open spaces. In 1946, the Planning Board and 1.5 Town Meeting set aside the green space as a buffer, 16 which was approved 192 to 3. This green space was 17 incorporated into the design of Hancock Village. 18 In a second official action, Town Meeting, in November 2011, voted 200 to 24 to form a neighborhood 19 20 conservation district at Hancock Village. 21 preserved the green space that will be destroyed by this project. 22 23 Furthermore, the open space was specifically 24 referenced in the 2010 Brookline Open Space Plan as a

large and significant parcel. It should have priority 1 2 for open space protection. The 2005 to 2015 comprehensive plan set a goal of no net loss of open space, so these regulations that I just mentioned 5 stipulate that these official actions create a 6 presumption that the site is needed to preserve open space. Paragraph 5, current use of the proposed site and the land adjacent to the proposed site. The site provides open space for the direct benefit of the 10 11 current residents of Hancock Village as well as 12 mitigation of the impact on the adjacent 13 neighborhoods. The green space that will be destroyed 14 is a communal space in a natural setting, and these 15 were focal points of the garden village style. So given this listing of local concerns, we 16 17 advocate that the board deny this project or, at a minimum, consider the following conditions: 18 19 At the beginning of this hearing, the 20 proponent mentioned designating the affordable housing 21 as affordable in perpetuity, but I haven't heard that 22 recently. I would like to make sure that that's 23 included as a condition. 24 A deed restriction should be placed to prevent

- further development on this parcel. 1 2 Access to the apartment building should come from VFW Parkway and Asheville should be an emergency only entrance. 5 This should be conditioned by approval of the project by the Mass Historical Society, and the pending 6 lawsuit -- it should also be conditioned on resolution of the pending lawsuit over the project eligibility letter in the 1946 contract in favor of the applicant. It should require a traffic demand management 10 11 plan with objective performance metrics and a resulting 12 reduction in the number of parking spaces. 13 And the scale and massing of the project, 14 including the apartment and the green space development 15 should be minimized. 16 MR. CHIUMENTI: There are just two other 17 regulations we wanted to draw your attention to. One 18 of them is financial feasibility. We've covered the burden of proof of the board's denial or approval of 19 20 conditions without mentioning conditions regarding the
- It's been stated repeatedly that no such
  conditions or considerations are allowed. That's not
  exactly what the regulation states. In particular,

project's burden on the town's services.

21

essentially -- the particular regulation was 5607 and 1 2. the germane part says, "in the case of either denial or approval with conditions, if the denial or conditions are based upon the inadequacy of existing municipal 5 services or infrastructure, the board shall have the burden of proving that the installation of services 6 adequate to meet local needs is not technically or financially feasible. Financial feasibility may be considered only where there is evidence of unusual topographical, environmental, or other physical 10 11 circumstances which make the installation of the needed 12 service prohibitively costly. Here are the facts of cost of services: 13 14 Basically, right now we are already at a point where 15 we're considering overrides to deal with the problem of providing services, education, and so on, and that's 16 17 even without considering what this project would do to the town. 18 Now, that may not be germane under this in 19 20 particular, but what is germane is where are you going 21 to put a fire station or another school? That, 22 essentially, is the geographical limitation that's 23 referred to here. Brookline is built out. We're 24 already at a point -- if you know where we can be

- 1 building schools, you should tell Superintendent Lupini
- 2 because he's already out of options and he's got to
- deal with our present population as it is.
- 4 The problem with this regulation and the
- 5 reason it belongs here is that, in effect, there's just
- 6 not the physical place in a town like Brookline built
- 7 out, in Precinct 16 in particular, for us to actually
- 8 practically do the kind of -- provide the kind of
- 9 support that would otherwise be necessary.
- 10 All right. The other thing that's come up on
- occasion, essentially, is if a project is approved with
- 12 conditions, they should address all local concerns.
- 13 Contrary to some representations in these hearings that
- 14 the project may not be conditioned on state agencies
- regarding traffic changes, for example, the regulation
- 16 provides that essentially the board may make a
- 17 comprehensive permit subject to any of the following
- 18 conditions and requirements: The securing of the
- 19 approval of any state or federal agency with respect to
- the project which the applicant must obtain before
- 21 building.
- 22 And I think that last part is what people will
- focus on when they're trying to tell you you can't do
- this. "Provided, however, that the board shall not

delay or deny an application on the grounds that any 1 2 state or federal approval has not been obtained." So you can't deny the project or delay the action on the application waiting for a state or federal approval but 5 you can condition, essentially, them going forward before they build anything that they obtain a state 6 approval that you feel is a necessary condition for 8 this project. The last thing I would point out when you're looking at all of this stuff is that the regulations 10 11 were rewritten in 2008. Oftentimes when you're looking at case law, it was decided before 2008. Now, the 12 13 regulation doesn't change everything. It is important 14 to understand that basically they changed some stuff in 15 2008, and a perfect example is our own case of 16 Brookline versus MassDevelopment and CHR. Basically, 17 in the new 2008 regulations, it says that the issuance of a preliminary eligibility letter is not appealable 18 within the Housing Appeals Court or that process, which 19 20 means that when the preliminary eligibility letter was 21 granted, there was no administrative remedy for us 22 within the agency, which meant it was time, basically, 23 to go to court. The regulation says that in 2008. 24 In 2007, the Marion case was decided.

Marion -- basically, in that case, the judge dismissed 1 2 an action because the appeal -- the matter was still pending before Housing Appeals Committee. He basically dismissed it on the grounds that the administrative 5 remedy had not been exhausted. As I said, in 2008 the regulation was changed 6 to eliminate our right to get an administrative remedy, and that's why we were in court. Basically, the judge didn't seem to care about that either, and that's why 10 it's on appeal. But my point here is that essentially you need to pay attention in looking at cases to 11 understand if they were or were not affected by the 12 13 2008 regulation. 14 By the way, the way this case is positioned 15 right now, the judge -- as far as he's concerned, you 16 have the right to review the PEL and we suggest that 17 you do so and reject this project. And just like the MassDevelopment's own letters that we've given you, 18 they rejected the project substantially like this -- in 19 20 effect, that first project -- and basically explained 21 it was too big, it was in the wrong place, it 22 eliminated the green space and so on, and they rejected 23 We suggest you basically cite their letter and 24 their internal memo, which we've provided to you, and

say that if it were your decision to decide that the 1 2 PEL was invalid, you'd decide it's invalid. We can actually provide this to you in writing and with a little more thought to the conditions that we would recommend. Thank you. 5 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. MR. SPIEGEL: I'm Stanley Spiegel. resident of Coolidge Corner but very interested in this project because it impacts the whole town. And I just wanted to call attention to one 10 11 point that relates to what Chief Ford brought up regarding the safety issue of the argument that he 12 13 mentioned, that there are already houses living 14 there -- people living there in preexisting -- before 15 this proposed project. 16 I just want to call your attention, if that's 17 necessary, to the actual wording in 40B which alludes 18 to the safety of the residents of the proposed housing. It doesn't matter whether the people who are 19 20 living there before are safe or not safe. We'd like 21 them to be safe, but the 40B specifically cautions 22 about creating an unsafe condition for the people 23 moving in. That means, if that is indeed a problem, 24 the fact that there may be other people who've been

living there for a long time under unsafe conditions, 1 2 while regrettable, is not a reason to say that this condition for the people moving in is irrelevant. It's quite relevant. That's explicit language of 40B. 5 I just want to make sure that that point is noted. You're inviting new people to move into the new 6 housing. And if it's the case that that situation is 8 deemed unsafe, that other people may be living there previously is irrelevant. The new people need to be 10 moving into safe quarters. And from what Chief Ford 11 said, it sounds like it's problematic to me. Thank you 12 very much. 13 MR. Wishinsky: Neil Wishinsky. I'm on the 14 Board of Selectmen, and I'm speaking for myself. 1.5 As you've been deliberating, you've been 16 appropriately pushing back on the size -- the mass and 17 the scale. And you've run into assertions of changes not being financially viable. And those assertions 18 have, to date, not yet been tested and I would 19 20 encourage you to do what's necessary to invoke the 21 pro forma review that the law allows you to do. 22 And I kind of look at it as -- I go to a bank 23 and I say to them, loan me a million dollars. 24 say to them, I can pay it back. I know I can pay it

- 1 back. I make enough money. And they say to me, okay.
- 2 I'll write the check. That's not the way it works.
- They way it works is they do a background check, they
- 4 review my income, et cetera. They do due diligence.
- 5 And I would encourage you to do the same kinds of due
- 6 diligence that a bank would do and that the law allows
- 7 for you to ask for. Thank you.
- 8 MS. DEWITT: My name is Betsy DeWitt, and I'm
- 9 a member of the Board of Selectmen. And part of what I
- 10 would like to do is to reiterate what the Board of
- 11 Selectmen has said previously in communicating with the
- 12 ZBA. This development project had not materially
- 13 changed since we submitted our initial comments on
- January 27, 2014. We continue to vigorously and
- unanimously assert that the proposed development at
- 16 Hancock Village is poorly conceived and undermines the
- 17 characteristics and underlying philosophy that
- 18 established Hancock Village initially as a well planned
- 19 community.
- 20 Hancock Village is historically significant.
- 21 It has been named one of the 10 most endangered
- 22 historic resources in Massachusetts by Preservation
- 23 Massachusetts. And while it may have been implied that
- local historic and cultural values have no bearing on

the application for a comprehensive permit under 40B 1 2 regulations, the ZBA, in fact, stands in place of all the municipal entities selected or appointed that would, under non-40B conditions, have authority to 5 require compliance with local, fair standards. In fact, many of these entities have raised 6 serious concerns and objections to this proposed development. In its letter to the ZBA in October of this year, the Planning Board objected to the site 10 design, to the amount of parking, to the location, size 11 and scale of the multi-unit apartment building and to the risk of damage to nearby properties from blasting 12 the outcropping. 13 14 The Preservation Commission, a certified local 15 government, has also objected to the overall adverse 16 impact of this development on historic property and to 17 the construction of roadways and buildings in the greenbelt and also to the size and location of the 18 19 multistory apartment building. 20 Hancock Village has been determined by the 21 Massachusetts Historical Commission to be eligible for 22 the National Register of Historic Places and, 23 therefore, is subject to impact reviews under the 24 Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act.

regulations require notification of the Massachusetts 1 2. Historical Commission by a state body -- that would be MassDevelopment -- or a private proponent, Chestnut Hill Realty, to determine whether a project will be 5 adversely -- whether a national registered property will be adversely affected. 6 Under the Mass code regulations, I'm quoting, 8 "Any new construction project or renovations to existing buildings that require funding, licenses, or permits from any state or federal government agency 10 11 must be reviewed by the Massachusetts Historical 12 Commission for impacts to historic and archeological 13 properties." At this time, no such notice or review 14 has been submitted. I'd also like to call attention to the lack of 1.5 16 significant change in the current plan. There's still 17 construction of both roadways and buildings in the greenbelt. There's been no reduction to the tall 18 19 apartment building and, as you've heard this evening, 20 we understand that there may be damage caused by 21 blasting not just to abutting homeowners but also the 22 nearby Baker School. The fire chief has outlined the 23 safety concerns about being able to get apparatus into 24 and out of the site safely in case of a major fire.

1 If the purpose of 40B also is to increase 2. affordable housing, this project does not meet the standard affordability in other Brookline projects. There will only be 20 percent affordable units. In 5 contrast, Saint Aidans in Brookline's friendly 40B project with the Catholic Archdiocese Planning Office 6 for Urban Affairs, 61 percent of the unit are permanently affordable. And I'd like to call attention to a letter written by Chestnut Hill Realty in response to the 10 11 Housing Advisory Board's recommendation that this 12 project could increase the minimum inclusion of 20 13 percent affordability. The letter states that the 14 affordability requirements are under the exclusive 15 jurisdiction of MassDevelopment, the subsidizing 16 agency. 17 In fact, at any time Chestnut Hill Realty can independently seek subsidy for additional affordable 18 19 units. It can do so in partnership for either Housing 20 Authority or federal project-based vouchers with the 21 Housing Advisory Board or funding from the housing 22 trust or other sources. 23 And I offer you in contrast, the town is 24 currently working closely with the Winn Company who, in

the 1970s, developed a flagship affordable community, 1 2 the Village at Brookline, creating 300 units of 100 percent affordable housing. These units had expiring leases meaning they would revert to market 5 rate at the expiration of the subsidy. However, Winn is currently refinancing and extending the 6 affordability time frame for 200 units in cooperation with the Brookline Housing Authority, MassHousing, and the State Department of Housing and Community Development. The remaining 100 units or 33 percent 10 11 will be permanently deed restricted affordable. 12 And finally, I'd like to call attention to a 13 statement in the same letter. "Applicant has no 14 objection to a requirement that the affordable units be 1.5 maintained as such so long as the property that is the 16 subject of the application is used for multifamily 17 housing using the zoning relief obtained via the 40B 18 process." 19 This sentence is in direct contradiction to a 20 statement made by Mr. Schwartz speaking as a 21 representative of Chestnut Hill Realty and recorded in 22 the minutes of the ZBA meeting on January 16, 2014. 23 "The affordable units will be affordable even though 24 MassDevelopment requires them to be affordable only for

the period of its bond financing, which is 30 years." 1 2 And it goes on to say, "The proponent here is committed to maintaining these units as affordable in perpetuity." And therefore, I ask that the ZBA require 5 all affordable units be permanently deed restricted affordable in perpetuity. 6 It appears that this development proposal overrides local community values and health, safety, environmental, open space design, and planning regulations, and especially legitimate local concerns. 10 11 It will destroy an historically significant planned 12 garden community created in partnership with the John Hancock Insurance Company to provide welcoming, 13 14 affordable housing to World War II veterans coming 15 home -- and I say this on the day after Veteran's Day -- all in order to add parking in roadways and 16 17 luxury housing units for a profitable real estate 18 company. 19 The town surely deserves better, and I ask the 20 Board of Appeals to demand better by imposing 21 sufficient conditions that either this project will be 22 required to provide a financial pro forma -- I think 23 that's a requirement -- and that the ZBA should be very 24 diligent in making sure that we've received one. And I

- hope that you will also do everything within your power 1 2 to protect this historic garden community. Thank you. MR. VARRELL: Good evening. My name is William Varrell. I live at 45 Asheville Road. I'm 5 also a structural engineer registered with the State of Massachusetts. 6 So I just want to address something about the 8 blasting presentation. I agree, the gentleman that did the presentation has excellent credentials for blasting. I'm sure he has a lot of experience. I just 10 11 thought it would be relevant to share some experience I 12 have as a structural engineer. 13 We did a bridge down in Woods Hole, 14 Massachusetts where we had a very concerned citizens 1.5 group. We had Woods Hole Oceanographic Institute and a
- community historical center right adjacent to the
  project. The chief technical engineer came in and
  ensured those citizens -- it wasn't blasting. It was
  actually sheets being driven for the construction -that this was not a big issue. We put seismographs in
  the basement of the historic building in the Woods Hole
- 24 consistent with working next to ancient ruins,

Oceanographic Institute. They set the standards for

those seismographs to be set at a rating that was

22

23

- 1 actually. It's the lowest standard available. A very
- 2 high level standard. And if those standards were ever
- 3 exceeded, an alarm would go off and a structural
- 4 engineer would immediately go to the site.
- 5 The first two weeks, the project went fine.
- 6 The third week of the project, the Historical Society
- 7 started complaining about the cracks in their windows.
- 8 Initially, they were saying that the building was 120
- 9 years old, you're seeing things. But after the third
- week when the foundation rotated about 45 degrees, they
- 11 had no ability to say that they were seeing things
- 12 anymore.
- 13 I was immediately brought in to design a steel
- skeleton to hold up this two-and-a-half-story building
- during the whole length of construction. When one
- 16 bridge was put into place, they had people come in --
- 17 structural engineers come in and rebuild that
- 18 building.
- 19 So, you know, I'm sure you've had plenty of
- 20 successes, but there's also failures. That's why they
- 21 carry insurance.
- Secondly, he made the point that steel pipes
- 23 are strong in the ground. The gas lines may be steel,
- 24 but the sanitary sewer lines possibly could be clay or

- 1 some other material that, being 70 years old, could be
- 2 very fragile. And if you broke a sewer line and not
- 3 know it, then that sewage could get into the
- 4 groundwater and it could be very, very bad.
- 5 There are utilities that go right through the
- 6 parking lot that are clearly shown on the survey and
- 7 the designer has ignored his own survey. He hasn't
- 8 addressed it at all. It doesn't show up in the profile
- 9 or anything. So there will be blasting, according to
- 10 the plans, right on top of the utility lines. All you
- 11 have to do is look at the plan.
- 12 Second, I just want to address -- you know, as
- 13 the board knows, I've made a lot of concerns about this
- 14 project. I think I've pointed out many discrepancies
- in the design and real issues that need to be
- 16 addressed. Instead of addressing those, the designer
- has attacked my credibility and said that I don't know
- 18 how to read a plan or a graph or whatever.
- 19 But I'd just like to read a memo. It's a
- 20 little old, but it's hanging in my office, and it's how
- I do my job, and it's something I look at every day.
- 22 And I won't read the whole thing, but I think it's
- 23 relevant. The heading on the memo is "MTI assessment
- of temperature concerns, SRM 25," and this was a

technical issue related to temperature. And there are 1 2 basically 10 very technical engineering points that I won't read, but the final recommendation of the memo is, "MTI recommends STS 51-L launch proceed on the 28th 5 of January 1982. SRM 25 will not be significantly different from SRM 15." It was signed by Joe Kilmister, Vice President Space Booster Program. You may have guessed by now that that's the evening before the space shuttle Challenger went up and killed seven astronauts, a school teacher, and left 10 11 many people orphaned that day. So just because the 12 engineers have signed a memo and the peer reviewers 13 have signed a memo -- and I want to point out that 14 Mr. Ho, when he signed his memo he did not put "PE" 15 after it, so I don't know if he's not signing as a 16 professional engineer or if he is. But there are many 17 issues in the design that have to be resolved, in my 18 opinion, and have not at this time. Thank you. 19 MR. BATCHELOR: My name is Jim Batchelor, and 20 I'm the chair of the Brookline Preservation 21 Commission. I'm also a member of the NCD, Neighborhood 22 Conservation District for Hancock Village. And I think 23 most people are familiar with the comments that we've 24 presented in the past, and I'll try to briefly

summarize some of those points. 1 2 I think there are two basic things I would like to get across. One is that this site is truly historic. 5 The second is that it is hard to understand the logic for how we ended up with these particular 6 parts of the parcel being developed. There may, in fact, be logic for something to happen on this site, but how these particular parcels were chosen defies any 10 kind of scenario that I can see except that there's an 11 intention to build much more here, because you have a 12 proposal to build on the most vulnerable parts of the 13 site when there are other parts of the site which were 14 part of earlier proposals which have simply been set on 1.5 the other side of the limit of the work. So for some

Okay. We'll do the best we can without the visuals.

reason, this is the way its gone forward, but we are

looking now at building on some of the most vulnerable

I think that what I would like to say is,

first, this is an extremely important historic place

both to the buildings and the configuration and layout

of the roads and buildings, but also for the layout of

16

17

18

parts of the site.

the open space. The ideas that were behind this have 1 2 been recognized throughout architectural and landscape architectural and urban design as some of the most important ideas to have been developed in the 20th 5 Century, and they are really well embodied in this 6 design. This is truly a significant place. It has 8 sister places similar to it around the country that have also been recognized as historical, but this is rare in this area and should be treated as such. 10 11 Both Brookline and Boston -- because part of 12 this is in Boston -- the appropriate governmental 13 authority, which, in the case of Brookline, is the 14 Preservation Commission, have recommended that this be 15 national register eligible. This makes it a place that 16 the state has asked be considered as historic, and 17 there is a process involving Mass Historical Commission, which it is only logical that this end up 18 19 before Mass Historical Commission. That process needs 20 to be followed through, and we recommend that the board 21 do everything that it can to ensure that that process 22 is followed through. 23 The layout of the buildings and the open space 24 is also carefully planned around the roadway, and the

Page 100

roadways were thought out in terms of where is the 1 2 traffic coming from and how do you have the larger roads in the center, like Independence, feed off to the various residential buildings? There is a logic to 5 larger roads feed smaller roads feed front 6 doors. This current plan is turning that inside out. 8 That principle is being ignored. And instead of feeding the way it was historically understood to be correct, in which that has continued to be the correct 10 11 way of doing it ever since then, that's no longer being 12 followed. Things are being fed in from the back 13 through small roads. There is no planning logic to 14 that. 1.5 It's not just that it's historic, it's that 16 it's right. And I think that it's very important that 17 both the historic quality of the place and the basic good planning principles that went into this be 18 19 followed. And we urge you to do everything you can to 20 protect it both for its historic quality and for the 21 logic of the planning that has gone into it. Thank 22 you. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 24 I'm Robin Koocher, 285 MS. KOOCHER: Hi.

- 1 Beverly Road. I will be brief, Mr. Chair. Actually, I
- 2 will start with a question, as I know you like that to
- 3 happen.
- When you begin the 40 days of deliberations,
- 5 does that mean that you and the members of the Planning
- 6 Department cease any communications with Chestnut Hill
- 7 Realty?
- 8 MS. MURPHY: No. There will be probably
- 9 ongoing communications.
- 10 MS. KOOCHER: So does that mean working
- 11 sessions, as you've stated, has happened throughout the
- 12 process?
- 13 MS. MURPHY: Well, in a way. I think that
- 14 there will be -- depending on what the board decides
- and whether or not they approve this project with
- 16 conditions, there will be drafts of a decision that
- 17 will go around and it will go -- I'm assuming it will
- 18 go to Mr. Talerman as well as to Mr. Schwartz as well
- 19 as to the board and the staff of the town. That's my
- 20 understanding.
- 21 MS. KOOCHER: Well, following up on that, just
- 22 listening to Chief Ford today -- very enlightening in
- 23 terms of his comments, strong comments. And so my
- 24 question is: How do you go into deliberations when

there are so many outstanding issues that need to be 1 2 brought to the forefront? Today we've heard from the chief. What I've heard, you know, I've been hearing for most of the meetings is that, you know, should the 5 permit be granted, then there's a whole nother session with the building commissioner and, you know, all these 6 things which seem to be, to me, described as, you know, 8 negligible -- important, but we'll talk about it afterwards. That worries me because all of this that we've been hearing is very important. 10 11 I mean, for example, one of the issues that 12 someone raised was the issue of the blasting and the 13 nests of rats being disturbed. And I was glad to hear 14 that the individual speaking said, yes, that will 15 happen. Well, following that, then what? You know, we 16 put out welcome signs in front of our house? You know, 17 what will happen? How will we be protected? I've never ever had a rat in my house, and I've lived here 18 since 1976, nor do I look forward to greeting the 19 20 visitors that I haven't invited in. 21 But that's important. All these little things 22 add up to big issues, and I'm just concerned that 23 they'll get lost if this permit is allowed. 24 we're left with, you know, perhaps what the building

- 1 commissioner will discuss and we won't have adequate
- 2 information or coverage in all of that. So I wanted to
- 3 say that.
- I also wanted to say that I hope -- last week
- 5 was very interesting for me to listen to all of you
- 6 discussing the height of buildings, the number of
- 7 stories, et cetera. And, you know, I would hope that
- 8 going into your deliberations that you would continue
- 9 the conversation that I heard.
- I heard Mr. Hussey talk about, you know, three
- 11 stories looks good. I heard you mention two stories.
- 12 I've heard other people, you know, in the conversation
- 13 talk about basically the broader aspects globally of
- 14 what this means. I mean, what I've heard from you is
- this is an urban project in a suburban area. And I
- 16 think that's really important to keep in mind. I know
- you've walked the property. I'm sure you've seen how
- 18 narrow Russett is, the Asheville is. You know all of
- 19 that. But I hope you all, you know, consider that just
- 20 because Chestnut Hill Realty states that this is what
- 21 they want, in the best interest of not only my
- 22 neighborhood, but the town, that maybe you should be
- 23 considering some changes in addition.
- I also want to mention, Mr. Hussey, that

several meetings ago you mentioned the 2005 1 2 comprehensive plan as something that has influenced your thoughts about the appropriateness of adding affordable housing in Hancock Village. And I'd just 5 like to draw your attention to two documents just for 6 enlightenment. One is the Brookline comprehensive plan I have 8 here for 2005 to 2015. It's the last page where it credits who worked on the committee. And the comprehensive plan committee, Mr. Joseph Geller was the 10 11 co-chair as a selectman. 12 In that same year, in an article, the New 13 England Real Estate Journal, July 15, 2005, it states, 14 and I quote, In 2083 (sic) Joe Geller founded Geller 15 Associates, Inc. with one client, Chestnut Hill Realty, which remains to this day, and that he continues to do 16 as of now. 17 18 None of us -- I want to make very clear, please -- none of us in this room -- anybody else 19 20 that's ever attempted -- come to this meeting are 21 against affordable housing. I've lived here since 1976 22 when it was all affordable. We lived in harmony. 23 it's important to note that nobody in this neighborhood 24 would have rejected to what Chestnut Hill Realty is

- 1 purporting, that they feel that there is a need for
- 2 more affordable housing. That, right now, I believe is
- 3 37 units. There's no one in this room that today --
- 4 anybody from these rows stood up and said we want to
- 5 build 35 to 40 units of affordable housing, I do not
- 6 believe that you will hear one person say, oh, no, no,
- 7 no. We would welcome that. Thank you.
- 8 MS. DALY: Hi. Nancy Daly. I'm a member of
- 9 the Board of Selectmen and also a fire commissioner,
- 10 although they don't let us actually get on the trucks.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: The chief is here. You
- 12 could ask him.
- MR. FORD: No.
- MS. DALY: Just a couple points. I agree with
- much of what's been said about the S7 district, but I
- 16 particularly want to say when I look at the historical
- garden community, the level of how tall those buildings
- 18 are, and the neighborhood buildings right outside the
- 19 gates of Hancock Village, I continue to believe that
- 20 the apartment building is way too large.
- 21 And in addition, I want to just emphasize what
- 22 the chief said about response time because the -- he
- 23 can get a pumper truck there -- and people mentioned
- 24 the Baker School and everything -- he can get a pumper

truck there in four minutes and a pumper truck would 1 2 have people on it who are prepared to deal with medical emergencies and smaller fires. But the larger the building gets, the more floors, the more important it 5 is to be able to get a ladder truck there. And that is nine minutes, I believe the chief said. So that really 6 becomes a safety concern in addition to just seeming like the massing, the bulk of that building is too large. So I would urge you to think about bringing that down in particular. 10 11 Second, in terms of the underground parking, I 12 mean, I'd love to see you eliminate a whole floor but 13 after listening to Mr. Levin and he felt like something 14 had to be there, there could be an entrance and a few 1.5 parking spaces but not a whole floor on that second 16 level. That would bring down some of the blasting that 17 would be necessary. 18 And final -- one point -- I know that our own 19 expert on the town side was suggesting that the 20 blasting be limited to 9:00 to 4:00. That also is the hours that school is in session close by, so I would 21 22 like to see some accommodation made to try to work 23 around the school schedule and not have blasting in the

middle of the school day. Thank you.

24

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 2 MS. JONAS: Alisa Jonas, Town Meeting member Precinct 16. 3 I have just a bunch of questions because I'm 5 right in the vicinity of the blasting, and I'm wondering how I can -- do I ask all my questions and he 6 would respond after that? Or how can I --MR. JESSE GELLER: Let me suggest, just given our time constraints because we are out of time and I've sort of let it roll over, if it would be possible 10 11 for you to submit your questions in writing to the 12 town's expert, we can get responses. And I think we 13 could circulate them and post them so everybody gets 14 those answers, and thereby we would save the time to 15 ask the questions, get the answers, and you would 16 actually have something in writing. 17 MS. SCHARF: I'm sitting down, but I have questions as well. So answers -- we would get --18 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, I don't know what 20 you're --21 MS. SCHARF: Same type of things, the 22 blasting -- there were just a bunch of questions. 23 MS. JONAS: I'll just list a few, the ones 24 that -- and you don't have to respond. These are the

1 kinds of issues I have: 2 I have four leaks that have been repaired right in front of my house on Russett Road, and I've spoken to National Grid and National Grid said these 5 pipes are so fragile that just the smallest thing is going to have them break because they're about to 6 collapse any moment. And I'm wondering what -- how does that affect your analysis of the gas line? Flyrock, never heard of flyrock before, but 10 that's a danger. How far does the flyrock go? Does it 11 get, like, knocked into our windows, neighborhood 12 windows? 13 The noise, I looked up 86 DBA. That is the 14 equivalent of a garbage disposal at three feet. 15 sounds pretty loud to me. And I forgot, is it the 16 neighboring homes would hear the blasting to the same 17 extent that we would be hearing a garage disposal within three feet? Is that what that would mean? 18 19 And so if so, there's a more concerning issue 20 which is how many blasts -- for this particular project 21 that's going on, how many blasts will there be per 22 day? How many days will this take? Or is it weeks? 23 Or is it months? In how many areas will blasting be 24 done throughout that period? Will it be in the

greenbelt as well as in the apartment building? 1 2 hundred feet, how far does that take us? And if there's homes that are beyond that three hundred feet, will they -- if they request preblasting inspections, 5 would they be able to obtain those, or is there a certain amount of feet away that you can be able to request this? 8 So those are my blasting questions, and I quess we'll get the response in writing. 9 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Submit those to the 11 Planning Department, please, and I'll make sure that 12 they get to the right party. 13 MS. JONAS: Okay. And then the other thing 14 is, I'm just going to beseech you guys. We sit in this 15 audience and we feel that you are so concerned about accommodating the developer who is doing one of the 16 17 most absurd projects that -- I mean, I feel like I'm sitting in a theater of the absurd. The only time I 18 19 felt okay was with the Planning Board. 20 You are taking a fully planned site with 21 landscaping designed by Olmstead Associates -- when 22 those designs are done in other parts of the country, 23 they protect them. They think this is important. And 24 we have Olmstead right here in Brookline and they've

developed something just two miles away, I think it 1 2. is. And we're going to take that beautiful greenbelt -- I had a bunch of pictures that I wanted to 5 show you. I actually videotaped my walking through to give you that experience. It's not infill. 6 application says it's infill. You call it infill. can it possibly be infill? It's beautiful green space, and it was intended for the tenants, and it was intended for the neighbors, and it's a breach of trust 10 11 to the residents of this city -- town that you are even -- that you are willing to consider breaching this 12 13 commitment that was made when people have moved in --14 they were told by the Planning Department that this was 15 protected green space. 16 And I'm just asking that you think of the 17 neighborhood that this is being put in. A small, narrow street as the main entry to a building that you 18 consider urban, that's ridiculous. When there was a 19 20 proposal about having an entrance from the VFW -- I 21 don't know if that's ideal -- but you couldn't even 22 bring yourself to say that that should be a condition, 23 because, you know, maybe they wouldn't get it. 24 Why aren't you worried about us and saying,

yes -- I'm not looking for applause. I'm just -- I 1 2 really would like you to think of us in the neighborhood. And I realize you feel you're in a bind 5 because this is 40B, but this is just a ridiculous proposal. And there's so many -- between fire 6 safety -- safety is one of those big issues. We've got 8 the fire safety, we've got -- it's on the 10 most endangered -- you know, the list of the 10 most endangered historic sites. We've got going into a 10 11 narrow road. The size is just ridiculous for the 12 neighborhood. It just goes on and on. And it just 13 seems like --14 And the one thing I would just love to 15 propose -- and I know it won't happen -- but what I would love to propose or say, we deny this but on 16 17 the -- but we already can tell you ahead of time that we would think very favorably at a development that is 18 put in an appropriate location. 19 20 For example, the two garages, which are 21 eyesores and they're actually negative to everyone 22 around, propose that it be done there. It can be done 23 there. Yes, they won't get their parking on the 24 greenbelt, but we could get something that's positive

- 1 for everyone, but it would have to be of a medium
- 2 height. Not -- obviously not a huge project, but if it
- 3 was a reasonable project that matches the Neighborhood
- 4 Conservation District requirements -- it's a novel
- 5 approach, we have a novel situation, we have a
- 6 situation where even MassDevelopment had denied it
- 7 before it was approved. And we'll see how that case
- 8 goes. So I'm just begging you to think of us and not
- 9 just the developer and the 40B lot.
- 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Let me just respond
- 11 briefly. We've spent a year on this, a year of our
- 12 lives, so your --
- 13 (Multiple parties speaking.)
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Excuse me -- your
- 15 characterization of us just sitting up here and not
- 16 listening, I think, is so incredibly unfair to one,
- 17 two, three, four, five people who have listened.
- 18 Okay? So that's what I would say.
- 19 Mr. Talerman?
- 20 MR. TALERMAN: Thank you. I'm Jay Talerman.
- 21 I represent several of the area residents. I'm going
- 22 to keep my comments more in the general sense in two
- 23 basic categories.
- I'm really struck by what the chief said here

- today. There are very few areas under Chapter 40B 1 2 where towns can get traction in official process. As you know, the scales are very tilted against municipalities. 5 One area where towns have had success, though, in either ushering through conditions or in denying 6 projects is with respect to fire/safety issues, especially access issues, and there are a few cases on record which support that notion. And I've learned to understand, more so under Chapter 40A projects than 40B 10 11 projects, that I don't know how to fight a fire. You 12 guys don't know how to fight a fire, I think. I'm not 13 sure if there's any firemen among you. I trust the chiefs that work for the towns that I represent where 14 15 they fight fires. 16 If this was a 40A project, you could deny it 17 out of hand just because of an inability to effectively 18 get to this site in enough time to meet the standards 19 that chiefs want to meet. When there's a delay, 20 there's a risk of harm or death. This is the big 21 issue. Aesthetics are important, historical stuff is 22 important. This is life or limb. This is important
  - Merrill Corporation Boston www.merrillcorp.com/law

I would never say that, well, it's 40A, so we

stuff.

23

24

can deny it, but under 40B we can't. Fires don't know 1 2 the difference between Chapter 40A and Chapter 40B. They're blind to that. But when I hear my fire chief or a fire chief in a town that I represent saying, 5 yeah, I can't get there as quick as I'd like to, I can't get my ladder truck there as quickly as I'd like 6 to, and every minute means somebody's at harm, I take notice to that and I draft decisions accordingly. And I hope you consider that. 10 The other thing I'd like to talk about -- and 11 I'll be very brief because I know my partner, Mark, spoke about it when he was here on October 20th -- is 12 13 that it seems that the board -- and I'm really enthused 14 that the board is now taking -- I think that they have 15 always been doing it -- is starting to get down to the 16 nitty-gritty of saying if there's something we can 17 approve here, what might that might be? 18 And I give Chestnut Hill Realty some credit 19 for engaging in that process. That's what's supposed 20 to happen under Chapter 40B. There's supposed to be 21 some discussion of if something can be approved, what 22 might it be? I encourage you guys, as Mark did on 23 October 20th, to play that out. If you feel strongly 24 about three stories or two stories versus the four

stories that are proposed, if you feel strongly that 1 2 there's too much going on in the greenbelt, if you feel strongly that there has to be access to the VFW Parkway, fight for that, ask for that, demand it. 5 And if Chestnut Hill Realty says to you they can't do it, they can't afford it, then you have the 6 keys to undertake a pro forma review or otherwise engage in further horse trading over that. My experience has been, though, that you can't get there unless you ask for it. And I know you're 10 11 getting there and I'm not presupposing that you're not 12 going to ask for it, because I see the seeds of a lot 13 of fruitful discussion here. But if it's important to 14 you for there to be changes in this project, demand 15 Now's the time. We're getting to the end of the 16 hearing. This is an important area of the hearing. Do 17 it, because you don't get a second chance at it. 18 And then if Chestnut Hill Realty, in good faith, says we can't, then that's why the regulations 19 20 contemplate pro forma review. Now, with pro forma 21 review, there's a lot of ambiguity but at least you'll 22 have the ability to argue for what you want. So that's 23 the bulk that I'm sure will be with you through the end 24 of this too. Thank you.

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 2 MS. MITTLEMAN: Hello. I'm Patsy Mittleman from 217 South Street. I'm very concerned about the mass of, 5 especially, this huge apartment building that does not seem to fit in with the historic site and the 6 neighborhood, et cetera, et cetera, that you've heard 8 multiple times. I'm also concerned -- they're saying 30 parking spots. I encourage you to block off access to 10 11 Asheville Road, protect our small streets of Russett, 12 Bonad, South Street. Those streets are already 13 congested at drop-off times for school. We have a lot 14 of kids and families that walk to school. Adding 15 another 300-plus cars on those roads will definitely 16 create a safety issue. 17 I would say don't allow this property to be built unless they have access and egress to the VFW, 18 19 which is a much larger street that, perhaps, could 20 handle those cars. The extra cars, the extra 21 population in this building will add more children on 22 that property. What are the safety of -- what are they 23 doing for safety on the property as well? Cars come 24 out of Asheville and onto -- out of that property and

into that property from Asheville at very quick 1 2 speeds. I don't know if you've noticed that. I walk my dog daily, and there were some close calls, especially at night where it's not very well lit. 5 So we're going to need to have more traffic calming in the area, more traffic guards, crossing 6 quards at school time, and I'm concerned. 8 concerned for my taxes. Currently the taxes that Chestnut Hill Realty is paying does not cover the services that Brookline provides. What happens when we 10 11 add more population to the property? We're already 12 talking about overrides in the Town of Brookline. How 13 many years am I going to have to be paying overrides on 14 my taxes? We're trying to get affordable housing on 15 that property, but the affordable housing around that 16 property in the community is going to be diminished 17 because of our raising taxes. So I encourage you to 18 really think about the conditions for that property. 19 Thanks so much. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 21 MS. ENGELAND: Hi. I'm Emily Engeland. resident of 165 Bonad Road, which is almost on the 22 23 corner of Asheville, and I'm a parent of three young 24 children in the neighborhood. I'm also the Baker PTO

president. I'm speaking on my own behalf. 1 2 I wasn't going to get up but I just feel like I have to, like, say it because I want no regrets. And it's about -- your responsibility is huge, and I can 5 see you all taking notes and you're being thoughtful and I really appreciate that and I appreciate that you 6 have hired experts to look at traffic and some of these 8 other things and you have no reason not to take them at face value. It's just so hard for me who lives on the 9 street to just -- to hear people say that this isn't an 10 11 issue and this isn't an issue when it's such an issue. 12 And I guess what I want to say, and I feel 13 like I have to say to protect my own family, is to say 14 that it only takes one thing to go wrong. So, you 15 know, my kid gets hit by a car, there's a fire, we can't get somewhere, we're all going to be sitting here 16 17 devastated as a community. You guys are all going to feel bad just because we're humans, not because you did 18 anything wrong. But why? Why are we doing that? For 19 20 money? 21 I'm not antidevelopment, and I'm in love with 22 40B, but this isn't the right place to put it. And if 23 one thing goes wrong, then we have to live with that 24 forever. And I'm just asking you why? Why are we

making things worse? Why chance it? We're chancing it 1 2 because we want a certain amount of affordable housing. I don't know. What's the balance there? What's a life worth? What's a kid getting hit by a car 5 or flying rocks -- I know I'm sounding dramatic but what if it's my kids, right? So I really -- I just 6 want you to understand that I realize you have to 8 listen to all the factual people and me standing up here and crying doesn't help, but I want you to know --10 I actually -- I am -- have so many -- and I actually 11 forwarded them to you, the traffic complaints that I 12 get as PTO president on Beverly, Russett, Bonad, and 13 Independence. I forwarded them -- I couldn't take it 14 I forwarded them all to Mary Brown and Linda anymore. 1.5 Rodriguez-Hudson who are our administrators. I got an 16 email from them Monday saying this is the worst year ever. We need to sit down. We need to address this. 17 I feel all the parents' concerns and we'd like to work 18 to help make it safer. So there is something real 19 20 going on there. 21 And I also sent photos of -- I photographed a 22 whole bunch of morning commute, in and out on Beverly 23 Road and Russett, cars backed up 10, 20 cars on these 24 little residential roads. I have a picture similar to

one you saw that I was going to show, but I won't. 1 2 Same thing on Bonad. If there's two cars parked -there was a plumbing truck from my neighbor and my minivan was here and a car could not get down without 5 me pushing in my mirrors and I happened to be out there raking leaves. It's just -- the reality is different 6 than what you're hearing. So that's what I wanted to 8 say. Thank you. MR. JESSE GELLER: Anyone else? 10 (No audible response.) 11 MR. JESSE GELLER: Like I said, 9:00 to 10:00, 12 the board will deliberate. 13 Okav. So this is a continuation of what has 14 been ongoing discussions. Obviously, playing into 15 these discussions, in particular tonight, will be the revised proposal that the applicant has come forward 16 17 with, the chief's information concerning safety as well 18 as his input on blasting, the blasting expert's 19 comments on the recommendations concerning blasting and 20 generally the safety of blasting, whether it's 21 feasible, and also the comments that we've received 22 from the community. 23 Many of the comments we received from the 24 community are similar to things that have been raised

- 1 before. We've also heard a good many of new pieces of
- 2 information, so certainly, if you feel like raising
- 3 those things, you can.
- I want to respond to a question that was asked
- 5 by Robin Koocher, which was, there are loose ends. How
- 6 will be they be addressed?
- 7 One, issues that are large-scope issues will
- 8 be addressed here as part of our discussions. Issues
- 9 that we perceive as things that need to be tied up --
- 10 as you characterized as loose ends -- will find their
- 11 way -- we won't simply forget them. They will find
- their way in the form of when we are reviewing smaller
- 13 types of conditions should we approve this project.
- 14 What won't happen would be if there are these
- loose ends that are compelling to us or that we feel
- 16 are appropriate, they're not simply going to be
- 17 ignored. Okay?
- 18 MS. NETTER: Just so you know, I mean, there
- 19 are people going through the transcripts, all the
- 20 consultants' reports, all the community's letters, and
- 21 pretty much the entire record, so hopefully nothing
- 22 will be left untouched.
- MS. MURPHY: If the board opts to approve the
- 24 conditions, there is likely to be a decision written

that is multiple pages, I mean, multiple, multiple 1 2 pages containing the conditions. And that will be put together by the staff and the board and the consultants. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: And legal. 6 MS. MURPHY: And legal. MR. JESSE GELLER: So having said that, 8 let's -- I think it was -- let me speak briefly to the blasting. I think it was particularly helpful. blasting expert was, in my mind, particularly 10 11 articulate and clearly has significant experience and 12 was certainly believable. I am particularly glad that 13 he has suggested conditions over and above -- and I 14 asked this question -- but he's offered conditions that 15 would make this safer than what would be mandated under 16 regulatory process. 17 I also was very specific in asking whether he believes that this is -- that this cannot be achieved 18 because of safety questions. And I also asked whether, 19 20 in his mind, this is an unusual site for whatever 21 reason. And my sense is -- not my sense -- his 22 response was that blasting can occur as long as they 23 follow certain requirements, that it can be achieved 24 safely. And I think his response was that this is not

an unusual site. So I was happy to hear at least a 1 2 clear response that gives us some level of guidance. With respect to public safety issues raised by the chief, you know, part of his commentary was that 5 the applicant has addressed some of the concerns, in particular the ones that relate to fire emergency 6 vehicles having to back out. He has many concerns in some cases about access, and I believe that those, again, will be picked up in further conversations. 10 One comment that he makes with respect to 11 response time, frankly, concerns me in the sense that 12 I'm assuming the chief is not proposing that we not 13 build anything in South Brookline or in this part of 14 South Brookline, because I assume the same response 15 time applies to this entire neighborhood. 16 understand there are more units here it creates greater 17 risk but --18 MR. FORD: I would not say that I wouldn't be amenable to certain construction and development, but 19 20 we're talking adding hundreds of people in one shot. 21 We're not talking about building one home on a piece of 22 property. We're talking about hundreds of people. 23 And you also, with 40B, as was mentioned 24 earlier -- I don't know all the statistics, but I'm

sure it may be a more transient population. Would that 1 2 be correct? Someone had mentioned that earlier? MR. JESSE GELLER: Somebody did mention transient. 5 MS. NETTER: It's rental. MR. FORD: Rental property. Well, there is 6 traditionally a difference, owner occupied versus 8 rental, on fire behavior. There are. There are differences in those areas. So there's a difference between putting in 200 rental units versus one or two 10 11 single-family homes. So I would not say that would --12 I wouldn't characterize that I'd be against other 13 development in South Brookline, but this is a huge 14 development project. 1.5 UNIDENTIFIED AUDIENCE MEMBER: Thank you for 16 that clarification, Chief. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 18 Sort of circling, then, back to what has been 19 proposed, frankly, my sense is that it's a step back 20 rather than a step forward. I don't think -- I 21 understand why they did it. I understand adding a roof 22 line and then running it continuous across so that it 23 laps the fourth floor as it edges back. I understand 24 the logic behind it.

1 Visually, to me, it's a step back. I don't 2 think it's an improvement. I think it takes me back to the increase in the scale and size and mass of the building. One of the things, frankly, that I liked 5 last week, and I commented, that what they've done with the section that is three stories and the way it was 6 presented, it almost appeared like it was -- I used the term "head house," which was inaccurate. But it appeared almost like it was its own little structure 10 and I thought the scale, in particular the way the 11 massing set up and the way they showed it, was vastly 12 improved by that scale. 13 And I think the addition -- generally, I like 14 grooves, but I think the addition of the roof, it isn't 1.5 better. Mr. Hussey may disagree with me because he's an architect who views things artfully, but --16 17 Mr. Architect? 18 MR. HUSSEY: Well, I think it was a good try, but I tend to agree with you. I think it still gives 19 20 the appearance of a four-story building rather than a 21 three-story building. I understand the logic behind 22 what they're saying, although I tend to also agree with 23 what Mark said at the last meeting, that two, three, 24 five years down the pike the look is not going to make

- 1 that much difference. It's the density, the number of
- 2 units that are going to affect things like the
- 3 neighborhood from the traffic.
- 4 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mark Zuroff; right?
- 5 MR. HUSSEY: Yes.
- 6 So my position, I think, still is to eliminate
- 7 the fourth floor as a condition. And I hear what the
- 8 applicant is saying regarding the level of parking, but
- 9 I think that needs to be proved that it's not possible
- 10 to eliminate one of the levels of parking. To get the
- parking down to a ratio of approximately 1.5 parking
- 12 spaces per unit I think is still a goal they should aim
- 13 for.
- I've got a question about our schedule now.
- We haven't had an updated schedule, and there have been
- 16 some changes made to the schedule. I mean, I've got a
- schedule that's 24 October. Have you done an updated
- 18 schedule somehow that I've missed?
- 19 MS. MORELLI: No. That lists the next hearing
- 20 as November 24th and the close of the hearing is
- 21 December 5th.
- 22 MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. I think I may have printed
- 23 out the wrong one.
- MR. LISS: It's emailed.

1 MR. HUSSEY: Oh, okay. So it would be helpful 2. to get an update. Because the closing of the hearing is now what date? MR. LISS: 12-5. 5 MR. HUSSEY: 12-5, okay. And the 40 days after that is sometime in January or are we getting 6 into February? 8 MR. LISS: 1-15. 9 MR. HUSSEY: 1-15? The earlier one said 1-19, actually. I asked the question whether it was calendar 10 11 days or --12 MS. NETTER. It's calendar days. 13 MR. HUSSEY: It is calendar days, so what is 14 the 40 days from 12-5 to --1.5 MS. MORELLI: I believe it's 1-19. MR. HUSSEY: Oh, okay. That's what was on an 16 17 earlier schedule, actually. 18 What I hope to do now -- let's see, 19 November 12th, possible discussion of waivers and/or 20 potential conditions, but that's going to be at a later 21 meeting, isn't it. We're really not going to get into 22 it. 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, that's -- we're not 24 going to get into it tonight. I assure you of that.

```
1
               MR. HUSSEY: That's what I'm getting at.
 2.
      Because the waivers are going to come from the building
      department once we decide on an overall massing and
 4
      height and --
 5
               MS. NETTER: The waivers -- I think you're
      kind of maybe collapsing it a little bit.
 6
      waivers -- the requests --
               MR. HUSSEY: -- come from the lawyers.
               MS. NETTER: Right. The Building Department
      will give you some assistance; however, you are going
10
11
      to make the decision on the waivers.
12
               MR. HUSSEY: Right. I understand that.
13
      we are going to get the potential conditions. They're
14
      going to be worked up by the consultants and the staff
15
      in the Planning Department; right?
16
               MS. NETTER: Let me separate. What you're
17
      saying -- and I think it's implicit in what you're
18
      saying but I want everybody to be clear at the risk
19
      of --
20
               (Inaudible discussion among the board.)
21
               MS. NETTER: Okay -- is that your
22
      deliberations that are going on now will ultimately be
23
      reflected in draft conditions. And we've been --
24
      in-house -- been referring to them as the "Big C"
```

- 1 conditions. But additionally, work is being done on
- 2 the other conditions that will be considered after the
- 3 hearing is closed.
- 4 MR. HUSSEY: All right. Thank you.
- 5 So tonight, what we really should try and
- 6 decide is what the design -- the basic fundamental --
- of course, the aesthetic design but the basic
- 8 fundamental design of what, particularly, the apartment
- 9 building is going to be. Is that reasonably --
- 10 MS. NETTER: I think you want to do a little
- 11 more than that.
- MR. HUSSEY: But that's really the core.
- 13 That's the --
- MS. NETTER: That may be the core but you also
- want to be looking, as you have been, on generally
- 16 whether the greenbelt area you can live with, whether
- 17 generally, the design of the large apartment building
- 18 you can live with. I mean, all the topics you've been
- 19 discussing are relevant.
- MR. HUSSEY: All those are planning --
- MS. NETTER: Correct.
- MR. HUSSEY: Well, you've heard my sense about
- 23 the apartment building, what I would like to see
- 24 happen. At the end of the meeting last time, my sense

- of the rest of the board -- well, not the rest of the
- 2 board, I should say, but Chairman and the permanent
- 3 members of the board -- was that it hinged to a large
- 4 extent on the sense of whether the new design, the one
- 5 that we have here tonight, is going to satisfy you as
- 6 to the apparent height of the building, the apparent
- 7 mass of the building.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think it's more than
- 9 that. I think from -- I don't want to put words in
- 10 your mouth, Mr. Book. You're sitting there in stunned
- 11 silence.
- MR. BOOK: I have my own words.
- 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: I'm sure you do.
- 14 I think Mr. Book would characterize it as the
- visual impact from Asheville Road. I'll let him speak
- 16 to that. I think that's how --
- MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. That's my understanding.
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: And my concern was slightly
- 19 different in the sense of the -- although I'm concerned
- 20 about the view from Asheville Road, my concern is
- 21 how -- the impact on the mass of the structure. And
- 22 what -- frankly, what I found was that as they reduced
- 23 the structure, the things -- the tricks they did to
- 24 sort of make it appear smaller, the in and the out,

right, and the different colors and the angles, were 1 2 more effective. The taller the building is, the less effective and, frankly, not effective at all, those tricks were. 5 So that when, for instance, last week I looked at the structure, particularly at the front where it's 6 a flat roof but it's sort of articulated with an end and it's three stories, to me that started to really look like it was part of a transitional kind of construction concept, like what we've seen within what 10 11 we're all calling the greenbelt. Which is why my comment was, you add a roof, all of a sudden it starts 12 13 to look like that big building again, particularly 14 where the -- in a linear fashion you're feeding into 1.5 the fourth floor. And now all of a sudden you've sort 16 of taken away what I actually liked about what they had 17 done last week. Mr. Book's comment -- and, again, I'm sorry 18 for speaking for you, but you won't say a word. 19 20 MR. BOOK: You haven't given me a chance. 21 MR. JESSE GELLER: He commented to you about 22 how he doesn't want to see the fourth floor anywhere 23 from Asheville Road, and therefore, what he's going to 24 tell you is he was hoping they were going to make it

- disappear visually from Asheville Road. Not by magic, not by trick, but they were actually going to make it
- 3 disappear.
- 4 Do you want to speak for yourself?
- 5 MR. BOOK: You've just spoken for me. You
- 6 actually --
- 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: I was listening.
- 8 MR. BOOK: Yes. So I was expecting that the
- 9 fourth floor was going -- visually was going to
- 10 disappear from the intersection of Russett and
- 11 Asheville. And so I was a little surprised,
- 12 disappointed that the building that was -- the version
- 13 that was presented tonight restored, in a large part,
- 14 that fourth floor. I mean, it's at least a
- 15 three-and-a-half story --
- 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: There's an appearance of a
- 17 fourth floor.
- 18 MR. BOOK: There's an appearance of a fourth
- 19 floor, so we've now added the height back to the
- 20 building that I liked in the version that we looked at
- 21 last week. It had disappeared. It just hadn't
- 22 disappeared far enough back. And so I'll -- you've
- 23 said it and I'll reiterate. What I'd like to see is
- that the fourth floor be scaled back. I don't know how

much back it needs to be scaled back. Maybe it's the 1 2 entirety of it but --MR. JESSE GELLER: It just so happens -- I'd like to call on Building Commissioner Bennett, who I 5 see in the back of the room. Trying to get a ride with the -- on the fire truck, I see. 6 So there were a couple of questions that were raised at the last hearing, and I understand that we have some responses. 10 MR. BENNETT: Well, last week Mr. Hussey indicated that, in his view, the fourth floor could 11 12 only go back to a certain point that was technically 13 not feasible based on stairways and elevators and other 14 types of design. And in my view when I was listening 15 to that, I understood, based on the design that you 16 have in front of you, this board can -- and it was 17 recommended, I think, by a consultant or peer reviewer, 18 Ted Touloukian, that the building go back at least 175 feet on one of the floors to try to make it least 19 20 visible from Asheville as possible. 21 But the interior layout, where it might not be 22 the prototype that the applicant wants, this board, I 23 don't think, has to really concern itself with that 24 type of layout. You want to focus on the massing and

- 1 the bulk of the building and let that internal design
- 2 fall into place at a later date. And that's
- 3 essentially my view with respect to whether it is or
- 4 isn't technically feasible to stop that, you know,
- 5 somewhere. It can go anywhere it wants in the
- 6 building. The applicant would just have to redesign
- 7 the interior floor plan.
- 8 MR. JESSE GELLER: So just focusing for a
- 9 minute on Mr. Book's concern, which is the disappearing
- 10 point within what is visible from Asheville Road,
- 11 that's 175 feet, according to Mr. Touloukian.
- MR. BENNETT: Yeah. My recollection on one of
- 13 his comments in the report was approximately 175 feet.
- 14 Where that ends up, I think it might be the first turn,
- maybe the second turn on that original design. I don't
- 16 know the number of units where the stairways or the
- 17 elevators are. That was just, I think, something that
- 18 he looked at when he looked at that model and made that
- 19 determination.
- 20 MR. BOOK: So perhaps that isn't as -- that is
- 21 not as radical of a comment in terms of removing the
- 22 entire floor. Maybe there's some portion of the fourth
- 23 floor that could still remain.
- But my concern is that the neighborhood --

does this building appear to be a three-story building 1 2 from the neighborhood? Because that actually is what makes -- I think it relates to the other buildings that are in Hancock Village. I think it relates to what 5 we're calling the infill buildings in the S7 in terms of their height and mass. That seems to be the right 6 height, the right scale. And I think we saw comments from the town conservation person, Tom Brady, about the trees. 10 think there was some concern that using foliage and 11 trees to mask some of the buildings may not work, that 12 new trees may not root, they may not survive. It's 13 well beyond my knowledge in that area, but, I guess, 14 based upon that, I don't think we can rely and should 15 rely on trees as being the answer to mask the appearance of this building. I think the building, 16 17 standing on its own, needs to read as a three-story building. So that's my opinion on the large building. 18 19 I said last week and I still feel the same 20 this week, I'm not opposed to the development in the 21 I realize that that's unpopular to most everybody 22 I've heard speaking, but I'm not opposed to those 23 buildings, in particular now that they've scaled back 24 building number 2 back to the smaller four-unit

building. I like the change, and so I'm not opposed to 1 2. any of those infill buildings so to speak. One question -- I'm going to raise this question. I think it's worthy of discussion. There 5 was quite a bit of discussion from the neighborhood regarding the access to the VFW and whether or not --6 based upon the fire chief's concerns about safety, whether or not emergency access and egress from VFW needs to be a condition for that -- for the large building. I raise it as a question, and I think we 10 11 should talk about it. 12 I'm not so concerned -- while I think that 13 access and egress for vehicular traffic for the 14 residents of Hancock Village would be nice and 15 probably -- and certainly beneficial, I didn't hear 16 anything and we haven't heard anything from either the 17 traffic consultant for the applicant nor our peer reviewer that the traffic is, in fact, a problem and 18 19 that VFW access is critical to this project. 20 So I'm not -- I don't think that 21 conditioning -- making VFW access a condition for the 22 project -- the residents of the project -- I don't 23 think we need to go that far. I don't think there's 24 any justification for that kind of a condition, but I

wonder whether or not emergency access and egress, if 1 2 that should be a condition, if that is --3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Emergency access and egress 4 for emergency vehicles to and from what? 5 MR. BOOK: To the east side to get to the 6 apartment building. 7 MR. JESSE GELLER: To and from what? MR. BOOK: To and from VFW. There was a question about being able to drive up Asheville, getting up the small road, getting the 10 11 fire trucks up those roads. 12 MR. JESSE GELLER: So the question that you're 13 asking is whether there -- whether we should condition 14 this on the ability to gain access to VFW Parkway at a 15 minimum for emergency vehicles. Is that the ask? 16 MR. BOOK: That's the ask. That's the 17 question I'm putting before --18 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, we also have a larger 19 question. The fire chief has said that he only has, I 20 believe, two companies that meet the standard that he 21 has cited to get to Hancock Village, and that is not 22 what he prefers. 23 And the question he raises is: Should there

be projects of this size? And that's part of the

24

- 1 reason why I asked him, you know, so what are you in
- 2 favor of, or are you proposing that we have a
- 3 moratorium on construction in this part of South
- 4 Brookline?
- 5 Now, if not this project -- I don't mean to
- 6 pick on this project, but I assume with the next
- 7 project if someone proposes to put up an apartment
- 8 building, this will be the same issue. It's not
- 9 specific to this project. Anybody who puts up a
- 10 certain sized housing, it's an issue.
- 11 The question is whether the board feels that
- 12 that's a compelling issue. You know, obviously none of
- 13 us are proposing an unsafe -- that they build an unsafe
- 14 project, and I'm sure they're not proposing to build an
- unsafe project.
- MR. BOOK: I didn't hear the fire chief say
- that this is an unsafe project.
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: That's not what he said.
- 19 It doesn't meet the preferred times. Like, he cited
- 20 eight minutes was the period that two companies can get
- 21 to the location. So just in the broader scope of your
- 22 discussion, that's something for discussion.
- MR. BOOK: Okay.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: My personal feeling is that

- 1 I don't know that you condition this project based on
- their being able to get access to VFW for emergency
- wehicles. I do think you add as a condition that you
- 4 use best efforts to try and again access to VFW Parkway
- 5 for access and egress for all vehicles, including fire
- and emergency vehicles, since that is important.
- 7 MR. BOOK: I have every confidence that
- 8 they're going to use -- I mean --
- 9 MR. JESSE GELLER: It's in their own interest.
- 10 MR. BOOK: Yes. But I heard -- maybe I'm -- I
- 11 heard more of a concern on the -- from the fire chief
- 12 on the --
- 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: Response time.
- MR. BOOK: You think that was his biggest
- 15 concern?
- 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, he's right there.
- 17 Ask him.
- 18 MR. FORD: If I may clarify one thing, I never
- said I want access from the parkway. We're not going
- 20 to come in from the parkway. I know the residents
- 21 here, some of them have spoken and shown pictures of
- the congestion on their streets, but that's how we get
- in. We're not going to go down to the parkway.
- I asked for egress from the parkway for that

- 1 one section of the development that goes down and dead
- 2 ends at the parkway so I can have my companies get out
- onto the parkway, go down to maybe Lagrange and back in
- 4 and we're back in service and not have to turn around
- 5 into the development.
- 6 MR. BOOK: I'm sorry. So maybe I
- 7 misunderstood. You don't have a concern about getting
- 8 your trucks up Asheville --
- 9 MR. FORD: I didn't say that either. I just
- said that we won't be coming in from the parkway.
- 11 That's out of our way. We're not going to come in from
- 12 the parkway. We're going to come in from the area of
- 13 Clyde and Independence. That's the direction we'll be
- 14 coming from, so we're not going to go out to Beverly or
- 15 the parkway to come back in.
- 16 MR. LISS: Would the Grassmere access increase
- your accessibility and your kind of overall arrival?
- 18 MR. FORD: That access is so I can get to
- 19 those two new proposed buildings. Otherwise I'd have
- 20 to get -- to get to those buildings that are right
- 21 there, I'd have to go down to the center of Hancock
- 22 Village and come up all through the project.
- MR. LISS: I think that needs to be addressed.
- MR. FORD: The other thing that I brought up

Page 141

that is important to me is the portion of the 1 2 development that comes in from Independence towards Grassmere. That is a dead end to me now. Now the new building is being put in, so I see an opportunity to 5 extend the driveway from the current parking to that new building even if it's only an emergency egress 6 drive so that when my companies come in there, they can 8 drive out. MR. BOOK: So who -- under whose control to make those access points --10 11 MR. LISS: I don't know. 12 There's two potential accesses. There's one 13 from Independence onto --14 MR. FORD: Do you want me to show you? 1.5 MR. LISS: Yeah. I mean, based on our 16 understanding, it looks like you definitely want the 17 Grassmere right here, which would give you access from 18 Thornton; right? 19 MR. FORD: But see these two here, picture my 20 apparatus here and they're stuck in there. 21 MR. LISS: So I have a circle here and I have 22 a circle here. Those two would be very assistive to 23 you; right? 24 MR. FORD: Well, this one is already here.

1 MR. LISS: So you can get in and out there? 2 MR. FORD: No, no, no. This gets me in. 3 my apparatus are stuck, and then I have to do this 4 hammerhead turnaround. 5 What would be nice to me, now that they're eliminating this green space that was there -- they're 6 going to put a building there -- we'll bring this driveway through to here so I can drive in and then drive out. 10 MR. LISS: Let me just show --11 Just take a look at what he's saying. 12 MS. NETTER: Can I just ask one question, 13 please, here? This is very difficult to be discussing 14 in this fashion, almost impossible. Not that it isn't 15 important. It is. 16 So my first question to the chief and the 17 applicant is: Has this particular issue been 18 discussed, the Grassmere and some of these -- have you 19 and the applicant talked about these issues? 20 MR. FORD: In the beginning, we sat down, as I 21 mentioned earlier. They made some concessions. But 22 this situation right here just came about -- today was 23 the first day --24 MS. NETTER: So my suggestion -- if you would

bear with me -- is that I think there should be a 1 2 conversation between the chief and the applicant to see whether this can be addressed. Would you consider that appropriate? 5 MR. LISS: Yeah. I think it's apparent that the board very highly values the safety and, obviously, 6 your opinion, so -- and I leave it to them to confer 8 with me. But I'm confident in our discussions that safety is very, very important. And if it means expediting it instead of deliberating here and seeing 10 11 if it's actually something that's amicable between the 12 parties, I don't know, would you do that? 13 MR. JOE GELLER: We've said all along we'd be 14 happy to meet with the chief, and we did meet with the 15 chief. I wasn't aware of his additional concerns. And he's right. We did add those two 16 17 buildings. The Grassmere issue I think we addressed 18 tonight. We will work on whatever issue and allow the 19 chief access through there. That's not a problem for 20 us at all. I'm not sure I understand the access, so it's good that we will have a meeting to understand 21 22 that. 23 But we did explain to the chief why we had 24 that hammerhead at the end of -- it's coming -- the one

- 1 coming off of Independence Drive. And there is an
- 2 issue with the NCD and access onto Thornton that
- 3 precluded us from going in that direction, so that's
- 4 was why we stopped it at that point. Because before,
- 5 we had a green space there that we could have continued
- 6 with access, but we couldn't do that.
- 7 So again, we're happy to have that
- 8 conversation. I think we can come to a resolution that
- 9 the chief would agree with like we did in the past.
- 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Let them have the
- 11 conversation. They will have the conversation and
- they'll relay to us the information.
- MS. NETTER: Let me make one other
- 14 suggestion. If you wouldn't mind -- it's not for me to
- tell you how to do your job, but on behalf of the board
- 16 I would request that perhaps there's -- I don't know if
- there's two letters, one going through all your
- 18 comments for the board and -- or maybe it's just one
- 19 letter. But we'd like to ask also -- or instead --
- 20 after you have the conversation with the applicant --
- in writing what your thoughts are, please.
- MR. FORD: Okay. I will give a report to
- 23 you.
- MS. NETTER: Thank you.

Page 145

1 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 2 MR. BOOK: All right. So we're turning back to -- so with that clarification, I think I've made a full circle on that issue. I think we're back to 5 making it a requirement using best efforts. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okav. Just as an aside, Mr. Hussey, I know you 8 commented on the improvements within the S7. And aside from your comment that you would have preferred that they -- much taller buildings at the corners, do you 10 11 have any further commentary on the improvements that 12 they have proposed within the greenbelt as they are 13 currently constructed? 14 MR. HUSSEY: No. I don't think so. I'm 15 pleased that they've taken that east -- the west 16 side --17 (Inaudible. Clarification requested by 18 the court reporter.) 19 MR. HUSSEY: This double building that they 20 had last time is reduced to one. That's an 21 improvement. 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: And I assume if it met 23 within the Hussey density calculation before, then the 24 removal of that second half with additional units

simply makes it meet the requirement more? 1 2 MR. HUSSEY: Yes. MR. JESSE GELLER: So the issue, then, is -just to circle back to the final -- it seems to me that 5 the final comments were really about the larger building. And it seems to me that certainly if, you 6 know -- well, before I say this, let me see if Mr. Liss or Mr. Zuroff has anything in addition to say about any of this. MR. ZUROFF: I want to go back to my original 10 11 comment, and that is that I'm less concerned about the appearance of the big building and much more concerned 12 13 about the number of units -- the number of people that 14 are living there. And that goes right to the safety 15 concerns of the chief and to the traffic concerns of 16 the traffic peer reviewer. 17 And I do believe in the peer reviewers, but I 18 also find it somewhat straining credibility to say that there's no traffic control -- no traffic calming is 19 20 necessary. Well, they said some measures are 21 necessary, which would involve slowing down the traffic 22 on Russett and condensing Independence Drive. And 23 clearly that will slow traffic down, but it won't 24 ameliorate the traffic congestion. And I'm still --

```
1
               MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, you haven't filtered
 2
      that back into --
                            I'm going to filter it back into
               MR. ZUROFF:
      the fact that I agree with Chris, that taking the
 5
      fourth floor off the building and removing a level of
      parking will go a long way to making this a better
 6
      project for the neighborhood. And those are based not
      just on aesthetics because, frankly, I don't think the
      aesthetics from looking at that building from a small
      point of view at Asheville is going to matter in ten
10
11
      years. But it definitely will matter in ten years if
12
      there's an extra hundred people living in this project.
13
               MR. JESSE GELLER: What about the greenbelt?
14
               MR. ZUROFF:
                            I think that the applicant has
15
      made progress in terms of making the greenbelt a little
      bit less -- well, more attractive by reducing the size
16
17
      of the buildings and the way they're sited within
      that. I'm still concerned about the number of parking
18
19
      spaces there, not because it takes away the greenbelt
20
      but, again, it's a density issue for me. I think
21
      they've done a good job of siting the buildings,
22
      scaling them down. I'm still not in favor of loft
23
              I think they've taken some of that out.
      spaces.
24
               We've made incremental progress with the
```

developer and I applaud that, that they have reduced 1 2 the number of units in each iteration of the project. So now we're down to 110 units in the larger building and 166, I guess, in the remaining buildings. Am I 5 correct? MS. NETTER: No. 6 MR. ZUROFF: I'm sorry, 166 total, which is 8 progress. 9 (Multiple parties speaking.) MR. ZUROFF: In today's iteration we're down 10 11 to 165. We started at 192. That's progress. I'd like to see more progress for all of the safety, traffic. 12 13 And, frankly, you know, I think the 14 blasting -- I think we have a good consultant. 15 the developer has agreed to have that consultant on 16 board throughout the process. I'm less concerned about 17 blasting than some people are. I think it can be done 18 safely, and I think it will be done safely. But, of course, if you take out a level of parking in the big 19 20 building, then that means a lot less blasting, a lot 21 less trucking of rock out of the project. 22 You know, and I'm going to ask you guys, 23 because you're voting on this, why you don't want to 24 restrict -- make this project somewhat conditional on

- 1 making those access roads more accessible to the
- 2 fire/safety issues.
- 3 MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, the chief has said
- 4 that the reason that he is seeking egress onto VFW is
- 5 for vehicles to exit.
- 6 MR. ZUROFF: I understand that, but -- so that
- 7 being said -- and I'm accepting his word as the
- 8 authority in this issue -- why can't we make that part
- 9 of our permitting conditions? And I understand that we
- 10 have no control over the VFW or the state authorities,
- 11 but if it is a safety issue, then I think that we
- 12 should consider --
- 13 MR. JESSE GELLER: The safety issue is getting
- 14 to the scene.
- 15 (Multiple parties speaking.)
- 16 MR. ZUROFF: It impacts the rest of the town
- because his vehicles are now trapped. Even though they
- 18 get there on time, they have to leave there quickly
- 19 sometimes and that's his concern. And that's a
- 20 legitimate concern. They have to get to another fire,
- 21 perhaps.
- 22 MR. HUSSEY: I agree with that. I think that
- 23 should be a condition, getting at least vehicular
- 24 egress onto the VFW Parkway.

1 MR. LISS: I disagree with that. 2 MR. JESSE GELLER: Do you want to comment --3 MR. LISS: The S7, the greenbelt, I'm actually pleased with. I think we can live with it. It never 5 was an issue for me on the S7 areas. Density is an issue. If we lower the unit 6 numbers, we would lower the amount of people, less impact, not a bad thing at all. All along I've been an advocate of the larger building. Just -- I never saw an issue with that aesthetically, but if we're going to 10 11 direct impact, obviously less units, less impact. 12 like the way it looks now. I think it's drastic, from 192 units down to about 165 units. 13 14 The only reason I would say to step down that 15 and make it a true three-floor building would be to eliminate that lower level of parking. I do believe 16 17 that that does create a potential issue. 18 I'm not concerned about the blasting in general. I think we have capable staff -- or capable 19 20 people in charge of that. I mean, I've seen some crazy 21 things blown up before, and everything seems to last, 22 so we have to believe that you can hire the best to get 23 the best job done. 24 So I'm not voting. If the board's looking

between a three and four, like a hybrid of that, I'm 1 2 kind of -- I'll flip them at that point. If it means less parking or less invasive puddingstone blasting, I'd say then go with the three levels because you can 5 eliminate the parking. But if there's no impact on the parking and the second level is there regardless, I 6 don't see an additional -- that third-and-a-half or fourth level being a huge impact. As for conditioning the project on egress for 10 the VFW, the reason I say no is because -- and I think 11 it alludes to what you were stating -- is that it's not 12 a primary concern. The primary concern is access in 13 getting there. Yes, it's a safety concern. Yes, it's 14 a concern leaving there. There are certain limits. 15 But to condition the entire project based on the 16 exiting of the vehicles, I just think is wrong. I just 17 think it's almost undue to place that on them because 18 it's not up to them. It's not up to us. We're waiting 19 on the state, and who knows their input? Who knows 20 what decision making goes on at that level? 21 But to that point, I believe that all the 22 work -- anything that can be done -- and I urge the 23 applicant and the chief to meet to get -- whatever can 24 be done, should be done to make this as safe as humanly

- 1 possible, you know, but I do not believe that
- 2 conditioning the VFW -- I think that they should work
- 3 towards the VFW and best efforts should be mandated,
- 4 but I would strongly urge -- I'm very hesitant to put a
- 5 condition like that that could potentially derail a
- 6 project, you know, based on a completely -- you know, a
- 7 third party that's just not even involved.
- 8 MR. ZUROFF: Can I ask a couple of other
- 9 questions?
- 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Sure.
- MR. ZUROFF: And they're more on the lines of
- 12 how we go. This is a question for Edie.
- 13 Can preservation or historical or any other
- 14 condition, can they -- subsequent to our proceedings,
- 15 can they stop this project based on designation that
- 16 it's an historical site?
- 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: You mean the state or the
- 18 local?
- 19 MR. ZUROFF: Not the local. I'm talking about
- 20 the state.
- 21 MS. MURPHY: The applicant will have to --
- because they're getting the financing from
- 23 MassDevelopment, they will have to file a notification
- form with the Mass Historical Commission and ask the

- 1 Mass Historical Commission to give them a ruling that
- 2 this project has no adverse impact on any historical
- 3 resources.
- 4 MR. ZUROFF: That's a requirement?
- 5 MS. MURPHY: That's a requirement before they
- 6 close their financing.
- 7 MR. ZUROFF: Now, there was discussion that
- 8 there is an application pending to designate this as an
- 9 historical site.
- MS. MURPHY: It has been declared to be
- 11 eligible to be listed on the --
- MR. ZUROFF: Eligibility, does that mean an
- 13 application is pending?
- 14 MS. MURPHY: I don't know where this stands at
- the national register, but both the City of Boston and
- 16 the Town of Brookline have declared to Mass Historical,
- 17 and Mass Historical has agreed that it is eligible for
- 18 listing on the national register.
- MR. ZUROFF: So please explain to me.
- 20 Eligibility means what?
- 21 MS. MURPHY: It means that it is historic. It
- 22 triggers Mass Historical Commission to review --
- MR. HUSSEY: I think to get the actual
- 24 designation requires an application -- I believe. I

may be wrong about this -- from the owner, not from the 1 2 town. MR. ZUROFF: All right. So that's not likely to happen. All right. 5 MR. HUSSEY: But for purposes of their designation of the commission's ruling on something 6 like this, eligibility does count for a lot. MR. ZUROFF: Okay. Then I understand better. All right. On another level altogether, the developer has agreed to provide shuttle service to 10 11 ameliorate, at least to a degree, some of the traffic 12 that will be generated from the project itself. 13 We all recognize that there will be -- in this 14 new development, there will be children that are going 1.5 to the Baker School and the Baker School, as we all 16 know, is already crowded. And I know that we are not 17 considering the school issue, per se, but as part of 18 the traffic control issue, since the developer is 19 offering to shuttle their citizens to T stops and the 20 like, what about running a shuttle for the school 21 children in the neighborhood so that parents don't have 22 to drive up Beverly Road to drop off their children, 23 which I know for a fact, since I live in that 24 neighborhood, many parents with single children will

drive their kids to the school even though they live a 1 2 block away. And there's a lot of traffic generated for single-student drop offs. What about running a shuttle in the neighborhood to take those kids to school? Can 5 we do that? MS. NETTER: I think that's -- I mean, it's up 6 to the board, but I think from -- well, first of all, as you know, you've got a regulation in the zoning, section 5.9, that requires a transportation access plan. The applicant has sought a waiver from the 10 11 entire -- but there's a fair amount of discussion as I read the regulations. And, frankly, I can't imagine 12 13 you -- I mean, it sounds like an awfully good idea and 14 I can't imagine that's something the applicant -- you 15 know, if you agree on everything else -- that the 16 applicant would just say it's a very good idea given 17 all the concerns you've heard addressed from the neighbors. 18 19 Now, I'm speaking as me, my own concerns. You 20 have staff in the Planning Department who have 21 focused -- not here tonight, perhaps -- do a lot of 22 work with transportation, and maybe we'll get their 23 input as well. I love to give my input, but it doesn't 24 have a whole lot of value compared to what the staff

will give you. 1 2 MR. ZUROFF: Well, I understand that. just proposing -- and, again, I'm not voting on this, but I'm proposing it as a possible condition. 5 And along that line, because we all know that there are a number of conditions that we'd like to 6 see -- or you would like to see on the project. Are we going to discuss those first, or are we going to just discuss the overall design of the project? 10 MS. NETTER: Well, I'm not sure what kind 11 of -- the questions that you're discussing now are questions that have -- issues that have -- could have 12 13 significant financial impacts; right? And so to the 14 extent that you have a recommendation that may have 15 significant financial impacts, now would be the time to raise it. Does that answer your question? 16 17 MR. ZUROFF: Well, it does. And what I just suggested is certainly -- I understand that that's a 18 significant cost to the developer, but it's a 19 20 consideration that we can have. 21 And so we -- I know that you're sort of 22 backing into the same parking space but you're still --23 we're still getting to the same place in that you have 24 to look at the overall design of the project and see

whether it's approvable. And then as part of that, 1 2 because it has -- there's a certain amount of compromise in every kind of proposal, and certainly the 40B, then we have to decide what conditions we will 5 impose to allow this development to go forward, if we allow it to go forward on a certain configuration. 6 So I think what I'm saying is, everything has to be considered at the same time. It would be nice to be orderly and just say, look, these are the conditions 10 we want now. With those conditions, then you can build 11 this kind of building or this kind of development. 12 You know, I'm willing -- I'm putting my 13 opinion out as to what kind of scale I would like to 14 see this development on, but I'm also coming up with 1.5 what I consider to be some reasonable conditions and 16 restrictions that I would like you guys to consider. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Thank you. 18 Mr. Hussey? MR. HUSSEY: I sound like a broken record, but 19 20 I still maintain that the apartment building should be 21 three stories and that one level of the parking should be eliminated. 22 23 MR. JESSE GELLER: I thought last time your

comment was that -- your position was that they should

24

- 1 eliminate a portion of the second floor of the
- 2 parking.
- 3 MR. HUSSEY: No. Not a portion. My initial
- 4 sense was that one whole floor should be eliminated.
- 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: Was does that bring your
- 6 parking ratio to?
- 7 MR. HUSSEY: Roughly 1.5 parking spaces per
- 8 unit.
- 9 MR. BOOK: Over the whole development?
- 10 MR. HUSSEY: No, no. I'm just talking about
- 11 this part of the building.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: What does it bring for the
- 13 entire project, though?
- MR. HUSSEY: I don't know.
- 15 MR. BOOK: I thought I heard Marc Levin
- 16 indicate during his presentation that if a story -- if
- it were a three-story, it's 87 units, and if a parking
- 18 level -- a tray of parking were eliminated, that would
- 19 leave 70 parking spaces to serve 87 units.
- MR. HUSSEY: No. You've got to count the open
- 21 space parking, 56 spaces in the --
- MR. BOOK: They're serving the big building
- 23 and not --
- MR. HUSSEY: They're serving the big building

Page 159

- here. 1 2 MR. BOOK: And not the new units in the --MR. HUSSEY: You've got parking over here. Some of this is infill. Some of this may have to be 5 picked up over here. MR. JESSE GELLER: I disagree with Mr. Hussey 6 on that. I don't have an objection to the two levels 8 of parking. I thought I heard you say last week that you were moving on that to eliminate a portion of it. I would have been more inclined towards that. 10 11 understand you're sort of -- the Hussey calculation 12 model, but I think we disagree on that. 13 I want to summarize for people because we're 14 sort of going around and around on this. So I think we 15 need to give them direction so they have a sense of 16 where we are and where we're coming from. I think, at 17 the very least, comments on the -- let's first comment 18 on the parking. 19 MR. BOOK: I said it last week and I'll repeat 20 I don't have an objection to parking at two spaces
  - MR. HUSSEY: So then the only other issue, it seems to me -- I gather you're both not in favor of eliminating the whole floor. So the only other

per unit.

21

- 1 question is that if they do cut back the fourth floor
- 2 enough so you don't see it at all, then you're talking
- 3 about -- then the developer is going to have to come
- 4 back and really cut back somewhere in this area.
- 5 MS. NETTER: When you say "this area," why
- don't you give some idea of what you're saying.
- 7 MR. HUSSEY: We have the east crank and the
- 8 west crank and then we have the center portion.
- 9 Somewhere in the middle of the center portion would be
- 10 where you cut back.
- 11 The problem is that you have two -- you are
- 12 then left with two stair systems, but there's a
- 13 limit -- you have to be a certain number of feet from
- 14 the stair system in order for it to be a legal egress.
- You understand what I'm saying? You can't have -- you
- 16 cannot have these -- if you're looking at this
- fifth-floor plan, you've got units all the way out to
- 18 the end of the building on the east side. If you
- 19 didn't have any stairs in between on this stairway on
- 20 the west end, the only stair feeding over, it's too far
- 21 away. There's a limit to this corridor to that -- from
- there to this stairwell.
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Well, I quess my answer to
- that is, that's an issue that they're going to have to

work out. 1 MR. HUSSEY: Yeah. 2 MR. BOOK: What is your feeling about the three versus four floors? I don't think I actually got 5 a clear --MR. JESSE GELLER: I think I'm sort of working 6 my way to your position. You know, because of -- in 8 some sense, unfortunately for them, what they showed me 9 when they showed me the building roof was how much better it looks without it and particularly how that 10 11 impacts breaking up the structure. And I know 12 Mr. Zuroff doesn't care about those kinds of things. 13 He cares about the number of units. But to me, that 14 was what was bothering me. 1.5 So I guess my conclusion is that I don't want to see the fourth floor. I don't want to see it from 16 17 Asheville Road. I don't want the neighbors to see it. 18 I want it to look like it's three stories, and I want 19 it, therefore, to -- basically I want it to look 20 transitional like those other structures are in the 21 S7. So I think I'm coming around to your position 22 23 that -- I'm not at Mr. Hussey's position because, 24 frankly, I think if they could figure out technically

- 1 how to do it so that it's not visible from Asheville
- 2 Road, that's their magic, and I don't necessarily need
- 3 them to take the whole thing off. I understand what
- 4 you're saying.
- 5 So I think the consensus from us is that, one,
- 6 I think we do not have -- I think the voting members'
- 7 feeling is that within the greenbelt, the elimination
- 8 of those additional units that have been added back in
- 9 results in, generally, the greenbelt improvement being
- 10 acceptable to the board members.
- The large building, it is not unanimous but
- 12 Mr. Book's opinion and my opinion that two stories
- 13 are -- two floors of parking are satisfactory.
- 14 Mr. Hussey disagrees with that. He believes that one
- 15 floor should be eliminated.
- 16 And I think the consensus is that with respect
- to the height of the building, that if there is going
- 18 to be a fourth floor, it needs to be invisible from
- 19 Asheville Road and, therefore, it needs to be
- 20 significantly further back. To use Mr. Touloukian's
- 21 sense of where that break point is as relayed to us by
- 22 Mr. Bennett, that would be 175 feet. But I think at
- 23 the end of the day, it's beyond the curb where it's
- 24 essentially internal to Hancock Village. So I think

that's where we were are. Response? Thoughts? 1 2 MR. LEVIN: As always, we've made a tremendous effort throughout this process to address the design concerns that the board has conveyed to us, and I will 5 say that we will try one more time. I do want to point out that I believe 6 Mr. Touloukian's comment about 175 applied to the fifth floor, not to the fourth floor, and that is relevant in terms of view and so forth. But be that as it may, we 10 don't know exactly where the 175 feet breaks. 11 think that's a magic number. What I hear from you is 12 that you want it to be invisible and I'm hoping that 13 "invisible" means that if you see it, you see just so 14 little of it that it's not noticeable. I don't know if 15 it can be 100 percent invisible, but we'll take a 16 look. We'll see what we can do. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: And obviously within that sort of sense is the notion that this roof that you've 18 now added -- I understand where it comes from, but I 19 20 don't think it's an improvement. 21 MR. LEVIN: No. I think that it was, as you say, a logical approach. I think it was an honest 22 23 approach in trying to improve the look of the 24 building. That's a matter of taste. I appreciate the

- 1 fact that you may differ, but we were trying to address
- 2 multiple concerns by doing it that way. As I said,
- 3 we'll go back to the drawing board and we will see what
- 4 we can do to meet your requests.
- 5 MS. NETTER: Let me seek a clarification from
- 6 the board so that there's no misunderstanding. I am
- 7 not trying to encourage you one way or the other by
- 8 this question, but there was some discussion about the
- 9 viability of trees growing around -- as I understood
- 10 it -- around the apartment building. And so my
- 11 question to you is -- maybe I won't be so specific
- 12 right now -- but what do mean by making the building
- 13 invisible?
- 14 MR. JESSE GELLER: No. We're not making the
- 15 building invisible.
- 16 MS. NETTER: The view from -- that would be
- very interesting, wouldn't it?
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: I think -- well, go ahead
- 19 Mr. Book.
- MR. BOOK: Well, I don't think that we can
- 21 rely on plantings -- new planting as a means to make
- 22 that floor, the fourth floor invisible given what the
- 23 town conservation -- Tom Brady -- not the football
- 24 player -- the concern that new foliage may not survive,

- 1 that the conditions may not be suitable for its
- 2 survival. So I think the invisibility of that fourth
- 3 floor has to stand on its own.
- 4 MR. JOE GELLER: Can I just clarify one
- 5 thing? We did see Mr. Brady's comments -- the
- 6 arborist, not the quarterback -- and I think it's got
- 7 to be clarified.
- I don't disagree that the fourth floor may not
- 9 be hidden by vegetation immediately, but the planting
- 10 that we're proposing is not being planted in the
- 11 ledge. The ledge is going to blasted out of those
- 12 areas. There's planted areas that are going to be put
- 13 back with -- there's walls shown on the -- or boulders
- shown on the plan in the latest renditions that you've
- all seen with planting areas that are actually for
- 16 trees to grow on. And that significant area is not
- 17 planting on top of ledge.
- 18 So I think that needs to be clarified because
- 19 that's clearly not our intent to plant on the ledge.
- The intent is, as the area is blasted out, we put back
- 21 planting areas that have sufficient medium to grow
- 22 trees and shrubs. And everything was shown on --
- MR. BOOK: May I ask, did you have that
- conversation with Mr. Brady?

1 MR. JOE GELLER: No. But I can certainly do 2. that. MS. NETTER: So would it be helpful to the 4 board if, perhaps, something in writing comes from 5 Mr. Brady after this conversation? 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: That would be helpful, 7 yes. 8 MS. NETTER: So perhaps planning staff will help facilitate that. 9 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: Let me raise the obvious 11 other issue here, which is one of timing. And this was 12 exactly my concern, frankly, the last time. You know, 13 I'm more than happy to hear that, you know, you'll go 14 back and see if there's a way that you can make this 15 invisible. The problem that we now have, Mr. Schwartz, is that we are on a hard deadline for December --16 17 MS. NETTER: We have to get an extension, clearly. That's the short story. 18 19 MR. JESSE GELLER: Yes. 20 MR. LEVIN: Once again, over the course of the 21 proceedings, we've accommodated the need to get what 22 needs to get done, taken care of, for these hearings. 23 And if that be the case, then we will extend it 24 further.

I would suggest, since we're all starting to 1 2 get some project fatigue, that if you'd be willing to do a working session, we could prepare something on the design side to be committed to in advance of the 5 November 24th hearing to at least get some sense of agreement, if that's possible. 6 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Hussey? MR. HUSSEY: No. It shouldn't be me. should be either you or Jonathan. 9 10 MS. NETTER: Let me make a suggestion here, 11 and you can overrule me, obviously. But as I watch and 12 listen to you, all of you have different points of view 13 here. And I think that a working session is not going 14 to get anybody where you want to go because getting one 15 person's point of view --16 MR. SCHWARTZ: The problem that we have is 17 that -- with all respect -- that we feel like we're shooting in the dark a little bit. And we come here 18 with a revised plan that we think, you know, in good 19 20 faith, meets the needs and, you know, it doesn't and, 21 you know, a discussion happens and then we have to come 22 back again. 23 So we feel that the advantage of a working 24 session is that at least we can have some ability to

- 1 get some feedback before coming back here. Otherwise,
- 2 frankly, the way we feel about it is this thing might
- 3 continue to January, February, March, and, you know,
- 4 where does this thing end? So, honestly, we think it
- 5 would be very useful, Ms. Netter, so that's our
- 6 position.
- 7 MR. HUSSEY: Okay. Before you go into a
- 8 working -- Joe, could you come up here for just a
- 9 minute? I want to indicate to you what my sense is
- 10 that will make these two guys happy, and then you can
- 11 deal with it as you would.
- 12 You know, either you cut everything back to
- 13 somewhere in this area, or you cut out -- cut back to
- 14 here and you cut this set of apartments out.
- 15 MR. LEVIN: We have the modeling capability,
- 16 as you've seen, to figure out what it is that would
- make that fourth floor, quote/unquote, invisible. So
- 18 let us try, and we'll see where that line is. I can't
- 19 speculate on where that line is.
- 20 MR. JOE GELLER: Can you just say what you
- 21 said one more time?
- 22 MR. HUSSEY: Sure. I think the line of
- 23 cutting the fourth floor back -- you know, just running
- 24 back like this -- is somewhere in here, certainly

- getting around this corner because that seems to be
  where this stops. That seems to be this corner.
- 3 The other alternative is to cut back, you
- 4 know, the leading -- the so-called south, the front
- 5 side, so that you keep some units here in that corridor
- 6 but you cut back some of these units.
- 7 MR. LEVIN: I think that's far more than 175
- 8 feet. That's why I want to take a look.
- 9 (Multiple parties speaking.)
- 10 MR. HUSSEY: It's the egress issue. You
- 11 understand what I'm saying by the length of it?
- MS. NETTER: Let's just check with the other
- 13 two members. Otherwise, I don't want you to end up --
- MR. JESSE GELLER: Right. The magic here is
- not 175 feet. The magic here is that it visually
- should be a three-story building. Okay?
- 17 MR. HUSSEY: From Asheville Road.
- 18 MR. JESSE GELLER: From Asheville Road. Thank
- 19 you.
- 20 MR. BOOK: From anywhere on -- I mean, one of
- 21 the neighbors --
- 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: Left side and right. So
- 23 whatever that is in linear feet along the building,
- that's really what we're talking about. And that

paradigm also exists in terms of, you know, what you 1 2 can do in terms of setbacks, because it's about visual more than specific feet. MR. HUSSEY: Are we still -- we're still 5 talking about, though, from the intersection of Asheville Road and --6 MR. BOOK: Russett. MR. JESSE GELLER: Personally, I'm not concerned about what is seen from the VFW Parkway. MR. HUSSEY: But I'm just -- you know, you get 10 11 further in here -- and remember the lesson I gave you last time about sections, how high the building is. 12 13 The same applies for the further back you get. You get 14 back 200 feet, you might be able to see the leading 15 edge of something. 16 MR. JESSE GELLER: So they've raised the 17 question of working sessions. We have to deal with the 18 timing concern. You know, frankly, I share Edie's concerns about the working sessions for obvious 19 20 reasons, because you hear three different opinions --21 you hear five different opinions, frankly, but three of 22 them that are voting. And therefore, while you would 23 get something constructive out of one member, I'm not 24 sure it gives you what you need, which is you need two

members to tell you, yeah --1 2 MR. LEVIN: But two members aren't going to -or three members, five members aren't going to respond until November 24th, so the fact that we get feedback 5 may not be -- it may be a bum steer, if you will, but it's better than coming in on the 24th and finding out 6 that we've been going down the wrong path. 8 MR. HUSSEY: One of you two have to be there at a working session, absolutely. 9 10 MR. JESSE GELLER: I know you disagree but --11 MS. NETTER: No. It's up to you --12 MR. JESSE GELLER: Anything that drives this to a conclusion. 13 14 Okay. So scheduling? 1.5 MS. STEINFELD: I'll take care of the working 16 group. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: So our next hearing is 18 scheduled for November 24th, 7:00 p.m. We need to get 19 an extension. 20 MR. SCHWARTZ: In terms of the extension, 21 maybe we can just talk about -- does it make sense at 22 this point for us to submit a final waiver list on the 23 assumption that there will -- you know, this 24 building -- you know, the buildings in their current

configuration -- understanding that we're going to try 1 2 and set the fourth story back, but on that basis, submit a revised waiver list? I'm just trying to understand what makes the most sense timewise. 5 MR. JESSE GELLER: There's no downsize, so, 6 vou know --MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. MR. JESSE GELLER: But I think, again, we're going to have to deal with this issue about extension 9 because come December 5th we're not going to be --10 11 MR. SCHWARTZ: Right. 12 MS. NETTER: So, then, the question is -- you 13 guys have been doing two hearings a week now. 14 question is what you're willing to do here. 1.5 MR. HUSSEY: Well, I think it's what we have 16 to do to get this done. But I think that somebody --17 maybe it's the Planning Department, maybe with you 18 Edie -- needs to sit down and work out a schedule -- a 19 more detailed schedule --20 MS. NETTER: Yeah. I think they have that. 21 They'll get that for you, Chris. 22 MR. HUSSEY: Well, I think we need to really 23 think through how many working sessions there needs to

be, how many more --

24

1 MS. NETTER: This is the end of the working 2 session. After the hearing is closed, all the work is done here. MR. HUSSEY: I understand that, but I'm 5 hearing that the whole thing is still fungible. We don't have a definite closing of hearing dates. We've 6 got one listed but --MS. NETTER: We have to do that right now. MR. SCHWARTZ: I'm prepared to do that now, but I need to understand what the thinking is in terms 10 11 of when the board wants to discuss what, so then we can 12 work out a schedule and then I can give you a date. 13 Otherwise, I'm not sure what I'm being asked. 14 MR. HUSSEY: I agree. That's what I'm asking 15 for too. 16 MS. NETTER: Okay. So basically, we need 17 another hearing, one additional hearing, assuming 18 things move forward. 19 So right now there's a hearing on the 24th, as 20 you know, there's a hearing on December 1st, and the 21 question with this board is, are you willing to meet a 22 week later? Two weeks later? What are you willing to 23 Right now the hearing is closed on the 5th. 24 talked about the 12th, the 17th. What can you live

with? Your schedule is most important. 1 2 MR. HUSSEY: That's what I'm saying. We've got to work out how many hearings we need to get to, 4 one, approval of the basic plan; and then two, the 5 waivers and the conditions. MS. NETTER: We're doing that now. We need 6 one more hearing. 8 MR. HUSSEY: Are you sure you can do it in one 9 more hearing? 10 MS. NETTER: We're going to try. 11 MS. STEINFELD: Because otherwise, we're into 12 the holidays. 13 MR. HUSSEY: The only holiday I've got is 14 Christmas, but there are other holidays. I'm not the 15 only --16 MR. LISS: I think you're the short end of the stick on this board. 17 18 MS. STEINFELD: Monday, December 8th? 19 MR. LISS: Let's do it. 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Book? 21 MR. BOOK: Yes. 22 MR. JESSE GELLER: Mr. Hussey? 23 MR. HUSSEY: That's fine. 24 MR. LISS: Wait. Does that mean the hearing

on the 5th is a Friday? 1 2 MR. SCHWARTZ: No. The 5th is when we extended to just to give a few extra days after the 4 hearing on the 1st. 5 MR. HUSSEY: So we've got one on the 1st of December? 6 MS. NETTER: Yes. MR. HUSSEY: And we've got one on the 8th of 9 December? 10 MS. NETTER: Yes. 11 MS. STEINFELD: And the close of the hearing will be extended to February 12th, the deadline? 12 13 MR. SCHWARTZ: December 12th? 14 MS. STEINFELD: December 12th, yeah. 15 MR. SCHWARTZ: Yes, ma'am. 16 MS. STEINFELD: Thank you, sir. 17 MR. JESSE GELLER: Okay. Any other details? MS. MORELLI: When would the final waivers 18 19 list be due? Do you want to set a date for that? 20 MR. JESSE GELLER: When do you think you could 21 have them? 22 MS. NETTER: I think he's going to have them 23 by the 24th, I thought, is what you were heading for. 24 MR. SCHWARTZ: We're going to submit a waiver

```
list based on the current plan, a four-story building,
 1
      because it's going to be set back. But it's still
 2
      going to be a four-story building in some version, is
      the way we're going to show it, with the S7 the way it
      is. And that's the basis on which we'll submit a
 5
 6
      waiver list.
               MR. HUSSEY:
                            That makes sense. And so
      hopefully, if we do anything, we'll be able to knock
      off one of those waivers.
10
               MR. JESSE GELLER: I want to thank everyone.
11
      We are adjourned until December the 1st -- November
12
      24th.
13
               (Proceedings suspended at 11:12 p.m.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
I, Kristen C. Krakofsky, court reporter and
 1
 2
      notary public in and for the Commonwealth of
      Massachusetts, certify:
               That the foregoing proceedings were taken
      before me at the time and place therein set forth and
 5
      that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of
 6
      my shorthand notes so taken.
               I further certify that I am not a relative or
 9
      employee of any attorney of the parties, nor
10
      financially interested in the action.
11
               I declare under penalty of perjury that the
12
      foregoing is true and correct.
13
               Dated this 24th day of November, 2014.
14
      Kristen Krakofsky, Notary Public
15
      My commission expires November 3, 2017.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

	Ì	İ	Ì
A	accommodated	additional	89:15 153:2
ability	166:21	59:19 63:24 91:18	adversely
57:8 95:11 115:22	accommodating	143:15 145:24 151:7	90:5,6
137:14 167:24	109:16	162:8 173:17	Advisory
able	accommodation	additionally	91:11,21
6:3 8:16 12:9,19 28:23	106:22	129:1	advocate
47:13 90:23 106:5	accomplish	address	80:17 150:9
109:5,6 137:9 139:2	5:1 14:17	13:8 52:16 70:19	aesthetic
170:14 176:8	account	83:12 94:7 96:12	129:7
absolutely	71:24 79:5	119:17 163:3 164:1	aesthetically
27:2 46:19 171:9	achieve	addressed	150:10
absurd	10:7	73:15 96:8,16 121:6,8	aesthetics
109:17,18	achieved	123:5 140:23 143:3	113:21 147:8,9
abutting	122:18,23	143:17 155:17	Affairs
75:23 90:21	acre	addressing	91:7
acceleration	5:20	13:4 96:16	affect
17:24	acres	adequacy	27:21 64:3 108:8
acceptable	9:3	73:12 74:5,14 78:3,7	126:2
162:10	Act	adequate	afford
accepted	89:24	51:11 55:20 58:3,4	115:6
12:1	action	78:4 82:7 103:1	affordability
accepting	79:9,18 84:3 85:2	adequately	91:3,13,14 92:7
149:7	177:10	56:5 73:15	affordable
access	actions	adhered	61:11 65:21 67:23
7:11,17 12:19,21,22	80:5	25:23	70:17 80:20,21 91:2
33:24,24 43:15 45:3	actively	adjacent	91:4,8,18 92:1,3,11
46:3,6 51:24,24 52:3	47:1	6:21 22:3 31:19 33:4	92:14,23,23,24 93:3
52:18,19 53:2,6 54:6	actual	74:18 80:9,12 94:16	93:5,6,14 104:4,21
55:2 57:6 58:9 64:17	30:24 69:24 86:17	adjoining	104:22 105:2,5
64:18 75:7,10 81:2	153:23	51:6	117:14,15 119:2
113:8 115:3 116:10	add	adjourned	agencies
116:18 123:8 136:6,8	42:8 43:12 63:20 74:6	176:11	83:14
136:13,19,21 137:1,3	93:16 102:22 116:21	administrative	agency
137:14 139:2,4,5,19	117:11 131:12 139:3	84:21 85:4,7	83:19 84:22 90:10
140:16,18 141:10,17	143:16	administrators	91:16
143:19,20 144:2,6	added	64:6 119:15	ago
149:1 151:12 155:9	6:19 8:10 132:19	adopted	11:6 40:21 41:3 59:12
accesses	162:8 163:19	79:8	61:2 62:15 73:24
141:12	adding	advance	104:1
accessibility	104:3 116:14 123:20	6:2 48:14 167:4	agree
57:12 140:17	124:21	advanced	46:5 94:8 105:14
accessible	addition	13:5	125:19,22 144:9
51:21,24 149:1	10:15 40:7 103:23	advantage	147:4 149:22 155:15
accidents	105:21 106:7 125:13	5:24 167:23	173:14
64:1	125:14 146:8	adverse	agreed

25:9 77:15 78:5 93:7 38:14 46:13 47:6 alludes antidevelopment 73:20 148:15 153:17 86:17 151:11 118:21 applaud 60:19 148:1 154:10 anybody alter 37:11 104:19 105:4 agreement 14:13 applause 55:4 62:14 167:6 alternative 138:9 167:14 111:1 ahead 169:3 anymore applicant 111:17 164:18 40:16,20 95:12 119:14 35:17,20 37:14,16 **Alternatively** aid 67:21 apart 54:7,14 71:6 81:9 28:12 altogether 39:22 42:9,12,15,19 83:20 92:13 120:16 42:21 43:2 56:9 154:9 123:5 126:8 133:22 apartment Aidans ambiguity 6:3 9:10,11 14:3,4 134:6 136:17 142:17 91:5 115:21 20:9 23:16 25:17 142:19 143:2 144:20 aids ameliorate 147:14 151:23 35:12 49:16 75:10 6:4 146:24 154:11 76:3 78:2 81:2,14 152:21 155:10,14,16 applicant's aim amenable 89:11,19 90:19 126:12 31:20 51:23 123:19 105:20 109:1 116:5 4:8,10 5:1 74:15 amicable 129:8,17,23 137:6 application air 17:4 19:8 62:15 143:11 138:7 157:20 164:10 1:7 8:21 78:18 79:11 airblast ammonium apartments 84:1,4 89:1 92:16 17:4.9 23:3 21:3 24:4 61:12 168:14 110:7 153:8,13,24 applied alarm amount apparatus 41:24 42:3,19 49:8,9 12:4 18:13 60:17 40:10 42:3,4,14 43:10 68:9 163:7 49:12,12 58:10,24 89:10 109:6 119:2 43:18,21 44:8 45:7 applies 59:4 60:7 95:3 123:15 170:13 150:7 155:11 157:2 46:6,8,12 47:3 52:5 alerts amounts 53:12 55:9 58:21 apply 18:14 25:11 90:23 141:20 142:3 67:10 68:1 22:8 appointed Alisa analysis apparent 55:20 74:23,24 108:8 107:2 6:5 130:6,6 143:5 89:3 appreciate allav ancient appeal 31:24 94:24 85:2,10 53:23 118:6,6 163:24 allege Andrew appealable approach 72:22 2:18 84:18 112:5 163:22,23 alleviate and/or **Appeals** appropriate 99:12 111:19 121:16 22:9 127:19 1:5 67:10 72:7,13 73:2 84:19 85:3 93:20 allotted angles 143:4 4:17 131:1 appropriately appear allow anomalous 130:24 135:1 55:24 87:16 40:19 51:1 53:2 55:3,8 76:9 appropriateness appearance 116:17 143:18 157:5 125:20 132:16,18 104:3 answer 9:8 50:3 57:21 135:15 approvable 157:6 135:16 146:12 allowed 156:16 160:23 **Appearances** 157:1 approval 24:12 51:7 64:8 68:20 answers 2:1 81:23 102:23 appeared 67:19 68:3 81:5,19 38:2 107:14,15,18 allows anticipation 125:7,9 82:3 83:19 84:2,4,7 7:11,20 87:21 88:6 53:1 174:4 appears

approve	arrangement	assertions	90:15 91:9 92:12
67:17,18 101:15	73:13	87:17,18	104:5
114:17 121:13,23	arrangements	assess	attic
approved	74:15,16 78:4	32:11	48:14
79:16 83:11 112:7	arrival	assessing	attorney
114:21	140:17	34:14	177:9
approving	arrive	assessment	attractive
61:10	6:3 42:3	33:11 96:23	6:7 147:16
approximately	arriving	assignment	at-grade
9:14 24:17 126:11	71:10	42:1,3	12:13 54:23
134:13	artfully	assistance	audible
arborist	125:16	128:10	14:8 39:14 53:21
165:6	article	assisting	60:15 120:10
Archdiocese	104:12	15:3	audience
91:6	articulate	assistive	109:15 124:15
archeological	122:11	141:22	augment
90:12	articulated	Associate	42:11
architect	131:7	2:6,7	authored
125:16,17	articulation	Associates	15:17
architectural	6:7,17	2:12,18 104:15 109:21	authorities
99:2,3	Asheville		53:3 149:10
	2:22 3:4 6:6,14,23	<b>assume</b> 25:23 123:14 138:6	
<b>area</b> 7:10 9:1,2 17:10 23:21	* *	145:22	authority
	8:12 9:22 65:8,14		71:4 89:4 91:20 92:8
23:24 26:7 27:7 29:6	75:10 81:3 94:4	assuming	99:13 149:8
32:11,14,20 33:13	103:18 116:11,24	101:17 123:12 173:17	automatic
35:9 41:3,18 42:7,15	117:1,23 130:15,20	assumption	43:2
42:23 43:22 44:4,15	131:23 132:1,11	171:23	automatically
44:17 45:5,6 57:17	133:20 134:10	assure	42:22
64:22 66:4,7 69:17	137:10 140:8 147:10	61:10 127:24	availability
69:23 70:1 78:10	161:17 162:1,19	astronauts	69:5 78:14
99:10 103:15 112:21	169:17,18 170:6	97:10	available
113:5 115:16 117:6	aside	attached	24:23 36:3 60:8 69:7
129:16 135:13	76:18 79:15 145:7,8	31:14	69:13 78:17 95:1
140:12 160:4,5	asked	attacked	Avi
165:16,20 168:13	36:15 99:16 121:4	96:17	2:7 4:6
areas	122:14,19 127:10	attempted	avoid
34:21 43:17 44:1,15	138:1 139:24 173:13	104:20	46:1 51:12 52:11
44:18,19 48:14 64:20	asking	attempts	aware
68:21 78:7,8 108:23	36:5 110:16 118:24	47:18	14:17 53:19 56:23
113:1 124:9 150:5	122:17 137:13	attend	59:18 72:4 143:15
165:12,12,15,21	173:14	61:7 63:5	awfully
argue	aspects	attended	155:13
115:22	55:17 103:13	66:24	axis
argument	assert	attention	18:7 19:9
86:12	88:15	81:17 85:11 86:10,16	a.m

	1	ı	1
23:23 62:23,24	154:15,15	bedroom	better
	balance	10:22	6:16 10:16 45:2 48:15
B	119:3	bedrooms	50:22 73:8 93:19,20
В	bank	9:2	125:15 147:6 154:8
68:2,2	87:22 88:6	begging	161:10 171:6
bachelor's	barely	112:8	Beverly
15:12	5:16	beginning	2:24 3:7 63:3 73:5
back	barriers	40:1 43:16 80:19	101:1 119:12,22
5:17 7:6,9,10,13 8:10	64:16	142:20	140:14 154:22
8:13 9:20,21 10:5	based	behalf	beyond
11:7,9 12:11,23	25:8 28:21 71:1 82:4	14:24 15:7 66:20	20:2,13 57:23 109:3
29:22 33:10 44:1,1,7	133:13,15 135:14	118:1 144:15	135:13 162:23
44:9,10,12 46:7 47:5	136:7 139:1 141:15	behavior	big
47:5 87:16,24 88:1	147:7 151:15 152:6	124:8	21:2 44:6 85:21 94:20
100:12 123:7 124:18	152:15 176:1	believable	102:22 111:7 113:20
124:19,23 125:1,2	basement	122:12	128:24 131:13
132:19,22,24 133:1,1	94:21	believe	146:12 148:19
133:5,12,18 135:23	basic	9:13,16 25:5,14 26:14	158:22,24
135:24 140:3,4,15	98:2 100:17 112:23	28:16,23 34:5 35:12	biggest
145:2,4 146:4,10	129:6,7 174:4	37:15,24 47:2 48:3	23:5 139:14
147:2,3 160:1,4,4,10	basically	55:17 59:12 105:2,6	Bill
162:8,20 164:3	19:10,14 43:17 46:6	105:19 106:6 123:8	2:24 72:24 73:4
165:13,20 166:14	67:22 68:2,15,17	127:15 137:20	bind
167:22 168:1,12,13	69:10,11,13,15 70:7	146:17 150:16,22	111:4
168:23,24 169:3,6	70:13,24 71:4,5,8,12	151:21 152:1 153:24	bit
170:13,14 172:2	72:2,3,6,24 82:14	163:6	8:15 16:2 17:3 74:20
176:2	84:14,16,22 85:1,3,8	believes	128:6 136:5 147:16
backed	85:20,23 97:2 103:13	122:18 162:14	167:18
119:23	161:19 173:16	belongs	blast
background	basis	83:5	16:13 17:10 19:15,21
88:3	42:18 55:22 67:12	beneficial	19:23,23 20:12,19
backhoe	69:1,2 72:8 172:2	136:15	21:1 22:11 23:12,19
28:12	176:5	benefit	23:20,21 24:1,15
backing	Batchelor	62:17 66:3 80:10	32:4,6 33:6,12 34:16
44:12,19 46:1 156:22	3:5 97:19,19	Bennett	38:16,17
backyards	bear	133:4,10 134:12	blasted
51:7	143:1	162:22	19:21 20:12 165:11,20
bad	bearing	beseech	blaster
66:15 96:4 118:18	88:24	109:14	20:13
150:8	beautiful	best	blaster's
Baker	62:11 110:3,8	98:19 103:21 139:4	36:23
56:11 59:22,23 61:7	bedrock	145:5 150:22,23	blasting
61:17 63:4 64:5,22	25:18	152:3	4:11,11 5:2 14:10,12
64:23,24 78:17,19	bedrocks	Betsy	15:8,19 16:2,5,6,14
90:22 105:24 117:24	28:1	3:3 88:8	17:14,20 19:6,8,18
	•	•	-

20:6,21,21,23 21:8	138:11 143:6 144:15	Boston	bridge
21:14,15,22 22:5,8	144:18 148:16 155:7	1:17 42:10,16,17 43:4	94:13 95:16
22:14,17,18,19,21	162:10 163:4 164:3,6	43:7,9,9 56:16 58:20	brief
23:6,10,11,16,22	166:4 173:11,21	58:23 59:4,8,9,10,15	21:7 101:1 114:11
24:2,5,20 25:4,6,7,11	174:17	59:24 60:9,10 61:22	briefly
27:4 29:1 30:2 31:7	boards	62:7 66:4,5 69:23	16:1 17:12 54:2 68:15
32:23 33:19 34:17	71:2,3,7,8,9,10,11,19	75:17 78:16 99:11,12	69:3 97:24 112:11
35:9 36:7,16 37:6,13	board's	153:15	122:8
37:16,18,24 38:22	81:19 91:11 150:24	bothering	bring
39:10 64:3,7 77:5	boat	161:14	39:18 106:16 110:22
89:12 90:21 94:8,10	17:18	bottleneck	142:7 158:5,12
94:18 96:9 102:12	Bob	75:16	bringing
106:16,20,23 107:5	58:5	bottom	106:9
	Bobrowski	16:12 18:6	
107:22 108:16,23			broader
109:8 120:18,18,19	3:8	boulders	103:13 138:21
120:20 122:9,10,22	body	165:13	broke
148:14,17,20 150:18	90:2	boundary	96:2
151:3	Bonad	76:19	broken
blasts	61:1 62:21 63:3	box	157:19
108:20,21	116:12 117:22	49:10 59:4,7,10,10,16	Brookline
Blatman	119:12 120:2	boxes	1:5,8,12 3:5 41:14
3:8	bond	59:2,5	43:4 55:23 59:7,8,11
blind	93:1	Boylston	59:16,24 61:2,14,24
114:3	Book	40:23	65:5 66:6,7,8,9,11
block	2:4 4:6 10:15 11:2,21	Brady	69:21 70:2,9,10 71:2
116:10 155:2	12:2,20,24 13:11	77:23 135:9 164:23	73:17 79:24 82:23
blocked	29:4 30:7,12,20	165:24 166:5	83:6 84:16 91:3 92:2
45:14,15 64:16	58:13 59:21 60:12	Brady's	92:8 97:20 99:11,13
blown	130:10,12,14 131:20	165:5	104:7 109:24 117:10
150:21	132:5,8,18 134:20	brand-new	117:12 123:13,14
Bluestein	137:5,8,16 138:16,23	29:13	124:13 138:4 153:16
2:10	139:7,10,14 140:6	breach	Brookline's
board	141:9 145:2 158:9,15	110:10	70:22 91:5
1:5 2:2 4:19 5:6,13,18	158:22 159:2,19	breaching	brought
46:20 62:3 67:10,12	161:3 164:19,20	110:12	38:23 40:5 86:11
71:12,13,13,14,18,18	165:23 169:20 170:7	break	95:13 102:2 140:24
72:1,4 78:23 79:9,14	174:20,21	28:4,11 108:6 162:21	Brown
80:17 82:5 83:16,24	Book's	breakage	119:14
87:14 88:9,10 89:9	131:18 134:9 162:12	17:2 19:12 25:24	buffer
91:21 93:20 96:13	boom	breaking	79:15
99:20 101:14,19	17:5	161:11	build
105:9 109:19 114:13	booster	breaks	64:22 65:18 68:22
114:14 120:12	58:3 97:7	163:10	84:6 98:11,12 105:5
121:23 122:3 128:20	boring	brick	123:13 138:13,14
130:1,2,3 133:16,22	28:22	6:9	157:10
,_,_ 100,10,22			
	I	I	I

	İ	1	İ
building	98:24 99:23 100:4	59:21 60:5,9,10	84:12,15,24 85:1,14
5:17,17,20 6:2,3,6,13	103:6 105:17,18	86:10,16 90:15 91:9	87:7 90:24 99:13
6:17 7:1,6,6,9,11,12	135:3,5,11,23 136:2	92:12 110:7 133:4	112:7 166:23
7:13,16,18 9:1,2,10	140:19,20 143:17	called	cases
9:11 10:10 12:7,11	145:10 147:17,21	17:4 31:3,11 44:20	36:11 41:10 70:15,19
12:13,23 14:3,5 20:9	148:4 171:24	51:3 59:20	85:11 113:8 123:8
21:9,11,12 23:16,17	built	calling	categories
25:17 35:12 45:24	40:19,20,22 41:3,4	131:11 135:5	72:18 73:2 112:23
46:3,4,24 47:2,4,16	44:21 62:17 68:21	calls	category
47:17 48:2,8,20,20	82:23 83:6 116:18	59:23 117:3	73:10 78:12
48:22,23 49:1,6,17	bulk	calming	Catholic
50:24 51:10 65:15	75:20 76:4,9 77:10	117:6 146:19	91:6
71:17 72:14 75:10,19	106:8 115:23 134:1	Cambridge	caught
76:3 78:2 81:2 83:1	bum	42:17 43:5	64:24
83:21 89:11,19 90:19	171:5	cap	cause
94:21 95:8,14,18	bunch	16:14	19:12 20:14 33:7,15
98:17 102:6,24	107:4,22 110:4 119:22	capability	75:16
105:20 106:4,8 109:1	burden	168:15	caused
110:18 116:5,21	20:20 72:5,10 81:19	capable	19:2 33:6,19 90:20
123:21 125:4,20,21	81:21 82:6	150:19,19	causes
128:2,9 129:9,17,23	Bureau	capacity	19:16 52:12
130:6,7 131:2,13	18:5 22:12 23:4	61:18 63:6	caution
132:12,20 133:4,18	bus	car	4:21
134:1,6 135:1,1,16	63:4	74:10 118:15 119:4	cautions
135:16,18,18,24	buses	120:4	86:21
136:1,10 137:6 138:8	30:17	card	cease
141:4,6 142:7 145:19	buy	60:9	101:6
146:6,12 147:5,9	48:6	care	cemetery
148:3,20 150:9,15		43:6 85:9 161:12	78:19
157:11,20 158:11,22	C	166:22 171:15	center
158:24 160:18 161:9	C	careful	56:17 94:16 100:3
162:11,17 163:24	1:21 8:21 128:24	5:8	140:21 160:8,9
164:10,12,15 169:16	177:1	carefully	central
169:23 170:12	calculate	99:24	49:11
171:24 176:1,3	70:16	cares	Century
buildings	calculation	161:13	99:5
6:11 13:20 25:16	145:23 159:11	carry	certain
44:21 45:4,17,19,22	calendar	95:21	32:4 35:22 42:18
47:13,20,22,23 48:1	127:10,12,13	cars	47:23 56:15 57:6
48:3,10,11,11,16	calibration	30:17 116:15,20,20,23	109:6 119:2 122:23
49:15,16 50:15,15,18	23:12	119:23,23 120:2	123:19 133:12
50:22 51:2,4,7,10	call	case	138:10 151:14 157:2
56:5 57:13 58:7,8	5:11 14:11 24:20 33:2	1:6 16:16 17:20 21:7	157:6 160:13
65:1 76:14 77:22	38:5 39:16 43:17	23:14 28:12 35:18	certainly
89:17 90:9,17 98:23	49:21 58:14,15 59:2	59:17 60:9 73:3 82:2	36:2 37:10 54:20 55:5

55:7,10 58:9,10	121:10	61:3 63:12	15:13 57:22
68:22 121:2 122:12	charge	children	claim
136:15 146:6 156:18	150:20	44:5,5 61:2,18,20	33:9
157:3 166:1 168:24	charges	62:10,16,17,24 63:10	claims
certified	20:7	63:11 64:4 65:1,4	34:16
89:14	Charles	116:21 117:24	clarification
certify	21:18	154:14,21,22,24	124:16 145:3,17 164:5
177:3,8	chart	Chiumenti	clarified
cetera	18:5,24 19:8	2:23 66:18,18 81:16	165:7,18
88:4 103:7 116:7,7	check	choice	clarify
chair	23:11 88:2,3 169:12	38:1 60:22	14:6 29:4 139:18
3:5 97:20 101:1	cheer	chosen	165:4
Chairman	60:19	98:9	classroom
2:3 5:12 130:2	cheering	CHR	21:9
Challenger	60:18	5:11 51:20 84:16	clay
97:9	Chestnut	Chris	95:24
chance	1:7 2:14 4:5 5:14	2:5 4:6 147:4 172:21	clear
115:17 119:1 131:20	51:17 62:3 90:3	Christmas	40:4 65:13 79:3
chancing	91:10,17 92:21 101:6		104:18 123:2 128:18
119:1	103:20 104:15,24	circle	161:5
change	114:18 115:5,18	141:21,22 145:4 146:4	clearing
40:14 49:7 84:13	117:9	circling	27:11,13
90:16 136:1	chief	124:18	clearly
changed	2:17 4:13,15 14:22	circulate	28:13 55:1 62:5 96:6
8:16 84:14 85:6 88:13	15:6 38:5 39:19	107:13	122:11 146:23
changes	51:23 52:16 54:17,21	circulation	165:19 166:18
65:23 83:15 87:17	55:11,15,19 56:2,4,9	74:16,23	client
103:23 115:14	56:15,21 57:1 58:6	circumstance	104:15
126:16	58:14 61:19 63:21	57:15	climb
changing	64:15 74:5,6,12	circumstances	54:19
49:7	86:11 87:10 90:22	37:7 55:10 56:16,24	clock
Chapter	94:17 101:22 102:3	57:2 82:11	4:24 60:19
77:17 113:1,10 114:2	105:11,22 106:6	cite	close
114:2,20	112:24 114:3,4 123:4	85:23	6:16 20:6 25:16 26:11
character	123:12 124:16	cited	26:12 59:3,13,17
65:16 76:4	137:19 138:16	78:18 137:21 138:19	66:6 106:21 117:3
characteristic	139:11 142:16 143:2	citing	126:20 153:6 175:11
78:13	143:14,15,19,23	73:11 76:11	closed
characteristics	144:9 146:15 149:3	citizens	129:3 173:2,23
77:18 88:17	151:23	15:2 43:6,9,11,13	closed-in
characterization	chiefs	94:14,18 154:19	22:16
112:15	59:13 113:14,19	city	closely
characterize	chief's	61:14 79:11 110:11	20:7 24:21 91:24
124:12 130:14	55:9 120:17 136:7	153:15	closer
characterized	child	civil	21:2 42:10 58:15 66:4
	-		-

_			l <u>.</u> .
closest	comfortable	72:7,13 73:3 85:3	complaints
10:16 40:24 41:19	55:11,12 56:5	104:9,10	119:11
close-ups	coming	common	complete
6:12	45:17 49:7 50:1,5,8	38:13 73:24	4:20
closing	61:21 93:14 100:2	Commonwealth	completed
23:2 31:17 127:2	140:10,14 143:24	177:2	21:22
173:6	144:1 157:14 159:16	Commonwealth's 77:3	completely 38:23 76:4 152:6
<b>Clyde</b> 140:13	161:22 168:1 171:6		
Cochrane	<b>commander</b> 43:23 44:9	communal 80:14	complexity 8:5
2:9	comment	communicating	compliance
code	29:14 51:15 123:10	88:11	89:5
49:6,7 90:7	131:12,18 134:21	communications	component
codes	145:9 146:11 150:2	101:6,9	56:2
50:6	157:24 159:17 163:7	communities	comprehensive
coffee	commentary	41:10 58:24 59:20	71:5 80:3 83:17 89:1
62:22 63:16	123:4 145:11	community	104:2,7,10
cognizant	commented	7:15,19,20 12:17	compromise
57:5	29:4 125:5 131:21	38:21 40:9,22 41:12	50:24 157:3
collaboration	145:8	42:23,24,24 43:1	compromising
54:8,21	comments	58:15 59:18 88:19	10:8
collapse	88:13 97:23 101:23,23	92:1,9 93:8,12 94:2	conceived
108:7	112:22 120:19,21,23	94:16 105:17 117:16	88:16
collapsing	134:13 135:8 144:18	118:17 120:22,24	concentrate
128:6	146:5 159:17 165:5	community's	68:16
collectors	commercial	121:20	concept
24:13	66:6	commute	7:1 131:10
colors	commission	119:22	concern
131:1	3:5 71:14,15,16 89:14	companies	23:4,5 27:6 41:8,21
column	89:21 90:2,12 97:21	32:10,23 41:10 43:19	43:16 44:24 47:12
8:22	99:14,18,19 152:24	44:10 60:3 137:20	51:16 54:17 56:21
come	153:1,22 177:16	138:20 140:2 141:7	106:7 130:18,20
5:6 15:9 32:23 34:8	commissioner	company	133:23 134:9,24
39:1 43:10 48:2,3	102:6 103:1 105:9	32:16,19 36:7 91:24	135:10 139:11,15
62:20 63:15 64:11	133:4	93:13,18	140:7 149:19,20
81:2 83:10 95:16,17	commission's	compared	151:12,12,13,14
104:20 116:23	154:6	155:24	164:24 166:12
120:16 128:2,8	commit	compares	170:18
139:20 140:11,12,15	36:23	8:21	concerned
140:22 141:7 144:8	commitment	compelling	40:6 52:4 85:15 94:14
160:3 167:18,21	110:13	121:15 138:12	102:22 109:15 116:4
168:8 172:10	committed	complaining	116:9 117:7,8 130:19
comes	4:22 60:3 93:2 167:4	95:7	136:12 146:11,12
42:19 58:15 141:2	committee	complains	147:18 148:16
163:19 166:4	62:20 63:19 67:11	33:17	150:18 170:9
	•	•	•

	I	I	
concerning	89:4 93:21 101:16	conservation	2:16 54:4 61:9 121:20
74:21 108:19 120:17	113:6 117:18 121:13	3:6 54:12 71:14 77:23	122:4 128:14
120:19	121:24 122:2,13,14	79:20 97:22 112:4	consultation
concerns	127:20 128:13,23	135:9 164:23	39:8
13:4 22:10 25:21	129:1,2 149:9 156:6	consider	consulted
31:19,24 32:20 37:4	157:4,9,10,15 165:1	71:9 80:18 103:19	15:18 36:15
52:3 67:6,12,19 68:6	174:5	110:12,19 114:9	consulting
68:7,17 70:7,12,14	conduct	143:4 149:12 157:15	2:13 39:5 58:1
71:1,22 72:2,11 73:1	25:4,6	157:16	contact
73:7 75:19 80:16	conduits	consideration	32:19
83:12 89:7 90:23	25:22	29:7,11 70:5 156:20	contain
93:10 96:13,24	confer	considerations	16:12
119:18 123:5,7,11	143:7	8:7 11:23 81:23	containing
136:7 143:15 146:15	confidence	considered	122:2
146:15 155:17,19	139:7	38:9 67:4,5 68:12,13	contemplate
163:4 164:2 170:19	confident	68:24 69:19 82:9	115:20
concessions	39:8 55:22 143:8	99:16 129:2 157:8	context
46:23 142:21	configuration	considering	67:8 73:1,7
concise	98:23 157:6 172:1	82:15,17 103:23	continuation
5:9	configurations	154:17	120:13
conclusion	8:15	consistent	continue
161:15 171:13	conform	21:5 67:20 68:4 94:24	4:19 67:13 88:14
condensing	37:2 46:8 48:10	consolidates	103:8 105:19 168:3
146:22	congested	71:4	continued
condition	75:15 116:13	constant	4:4 100:10 144:5
22:2,6 31:8 32:11 33:9	congestion	18:11	continues
33:21 34:14,15,24	139:22 146:24	constantly	104:16
35:10 36:17 80:23	connect	61:23	continuous
84:5,7 86:22 87:3	51:17	constraints	124:22
110:22 126:7 136:9	connected	107:9	contract
136:21,24 137:2,13	52:23	constructed	81:9
139:1,3 149:23	connecting	145:13	contractor
151:15 152:5,14	53:1	construction	22:15 23:10 37:14,16
156:4	connection	26:4 63:20,20 89:17	37:19
conditional	54:23 55:6	90:8,17 94:19 95:15	contradiction
148:24	connects	123:19 131:10 138:3	92:19
conditioned	51:16	constructive	Contrary
75:7 81:5,7 83:14	consensus	170:23	83:13
conditioning	162:5,16	consult	contrast
136:21 151:9 152:2	consequence	23:13	91:5,23
conditions	11:16 12:6	consultant	control
23:8 57:14 67:18,20	consequences	14:10 15:8 23:9 35:17	20:6 24:20 54:14
68:3 75:3 80:18	66:15	35:24 37:1 133:17	141:9 146:19 149:10
81:20,20,23 82:3,3	consequent	136:17 148:14,15	154:18
83:12,18 86:4 87:1	78:21	consultants	controlled
05.12,10 00.4 07.1	70.21	Consultants	Conti oncu
	I	I	I

		1	T
17:3 22:5,21	13:19 70:18,19 154:7	17:6 44:15 93:12	curbs
conversation	158:20	creates	53:11 54:19
103:9,12 143:2 144:8	country	46:2 123:16	curbstone
144:11,11,20 165:24	99:8 109:22	creating	52:24
166:5	couple	86:22 92:2	curious
conversations	10:3 19:13 20:16 21:9	creative	53:4
123:9	30:21 38:11 39:24	6:8,18	current
conveyed	54:6 105:14 133:7	credentials	12:8 64:18 67:8 75:3
163:4	152:8	94:9	78:20 80:8,11 90:16
Coolidge	course	credibility	100:7 141:5 171:24
3:1 86:8	78:18 129:7 148:19	96:17 146:18	176:1
cooperation	166:20	credit	currently
92:7	court	114:18	8:4 44:22 63:22 64:21
core	84:19,23 85:8 145:18	credits	91:24 92:6 117:8
129:12,14	177:1	104:9	145:13
Cornell	cover	criterion	cut
21:8	38:12 117:9	72:22	33:17 160:1,4,10
		critical	168:12,13,13,14
<b>corner</b> 3:1 62:21 86:8 117:23	coverage 103:2	76:14 136:19	169:3,6
			*
169:1,2	covered	cross	cutoff 35:6
corners	74:12 81:18	59:9	
145:10	covers	crossed	cuts
Corporation	24:15 48:14	67:22	21:10,19 24:18
1:15	cows	crossing	cutting
correct	5:6	63:1,8 117:6	168:23
9:17 11:8 14:1,2 30:11	co-chair	crosswalks	D
34:1,2 50:17 60:2	104:11	63:13,15	daily
100:10,10 124:2	crack	crow	61:23 63:2 117:3
129:21 148:5 177:6	31:11,21 33:11	41:20	
177:12	crackage	crowded	<b>Daly</b>
corrected	23:1	154:16	105:8,8,14
45:2	cracks	crushers	damage
corridor	18:17 22:9 23:2 31:10	24:11,12	17:15 18:5,15,17 19:2
8:19 160:21 169:5	31:12,17 33:15 34:18	crying	19:5 20:14 24:22
cosmetic	34:20 95:7	119:9	25:24 26:5 29:15
18:15	crank	cubic	33:10,17,18 47:16
cost	160:7,8	16:8	76:24 89:12 90:20
77:7 82:13 156:19	crater	cultural	damages
costly	19:22	88:24	32:3 33:5,6
82:12	crazy	cul-de-sac	danger
Council	150:20	55:18	63:21,22 108:10
79:11	create	curb	dangerous
Counsel	10:9 12:12 17:7 79:13	53:11,15 162:23	44:3,7 46:2 61:18
1:10	80:5 116:16 150:17	curbing	63:16,22 64:2 77:5
count	created	44:22	dark
	1	1	1

		İ	İ
167:18	2:22 65:11	deformation	department
data	December	19:14	23:13 43:6,12 49:10
23:13	126:21 166:16 172:10	deformations	50:20 54:12 64:1
date	173:20 174:18 175:6	17:11	71:17 77:24 92:9
87:19 127:3 134:2	175:9,13,14 176:11	degree	101:6 109:11 110:14
173:12 175:19	decibels	15:13 25:7 43:13	128:3,9,15 155:20
Dated	30:10	154:11	172:17
177:13	decide	degrees	departments
dates	86:1,2 128:3 129:6	95:10	41:9 42:15
173:6	157:4	delay	depending
day	decided	16:2 49:13 66:11 84:1	31:9 49:6 53:11
38:23 40:11 62:9 64:6	84:12,24	84:3 113:19	101:14
75:1 93:15,16 96:21	decides	delayed	depth
97:11 104:16 106:24	33:5,6 35:5 101:14	47:11 52:7	19:14,24 29:1
108:22 142:23	decision	delays	derail
162:23 177:13	52:20 54:11,11 65:6	16:17	152:5
days	67:11 71:10 86:1	deliberate	described
101:4 108:22 127:5,11	101:16 121:24	5:7 120:12	102:7
127:12,13,14 175:3	128:11 151:20	deliberating	deserves
daytime	decisions	87:15 143:10	93:19
30:16	72:8 114:8	deliberations	design
DBA	declare	4:20 101:4,24 103:8	6:2,4 11:23 45:21
108:13	177:11	128:22	47:21 55:7 58:12
DCR	declared	delivery	72:14 75:19,24 76:11
54:12,21	153:10,16	48:22	76:13,16,22 79:17
dead	deed	demand	89:10 93:9 95:13
43:18,22 45:5,18	80:24 92:11 93:5	81:10 93:20 115:4,14	96:15 97:17 99:3,6
64:22 140:1 141:3	deemed	demonstrates	129:6,7,8,17 130:4
deadline	44:2 87:8	6:7	133:14,15 134:1,15
166:16 175:12	deems	denial	156:9,24 163:3 167:4
dead-end	56:15	67:19 68:3 81:19 82:2	designate
8:19	deep	82:3	153:8
deal	16:8	denied	designated
45:1 67:1 82:15 83:3	defects	68:18 112:6	20:13 51:19 76:23
106:2 168:11 170:17	22:7 31:6	denser	designating
172:9	defies	76:19	80:20
dealing	98:9	density	designation
74:15,17	defined	5:21,22 126:1 145:23	152:15 153:24 154:6
deals	69:11,14 71:11	147:20 150:6	designed
75:20 77:10	defines	deny	48:6,6 55:24 56:3
dealt	71:11	66:21 67:14,17,17	109:21
74:4	definite	80:17 84:1,3 111:16	designer
death	20:14 173:6	113:16 114:1	96:7,16
113:20	definitely	denying	designing
Debbie	116:15 141:16 147:11	113:6	54:15

	l		
designs	92:10 93:7 111:18	68:23	56:20
109:22	123:19 124:13,14	disagree	dispense
desire	135:20 140:1,5 141:2	125:15 150:1 159:6,12	37:7
54:10	154:14 157:5,11,14	165:8 171:10	displaced
desperately	158:9	disagrees	31:1
64:5	development's	162:14	displacement
destroy	43:17	disappear	18:11 19:16 29:20,21
76:21 77:13 78:11	Devotion	132:1,3,10	disposal
93:11	60:5	disappeared	108:14,17
destroyed	DeWitt	132:21,22	disrupt
79:21 80:13	3:3 88:8,8	disappearing	77:6
detail	differ	134:9	disruptive
38:16,19,20 63:24	164:1	disappointed	76:2
detailed	difference	132:12	distance
23:19 72:4 172:19	48:5 114:2 124:7,9	disaster	8:18 23:17 41:7,21
details	126:1	65:16 75:14	48:13 50:21
175:17	differences	discovered	distinctly
deteriorated	124:9	9:24	19:5
26:8 29:24	different	discrepancies	district
deteriorating	27:17,24 28:9 34:20	96:14	3:6 71:16 79:20 97:22
52:10,11	40:3 41:4 65:20 97:6	discretion	105:15 112:4
determination	120:6 130:19 131:1	57:1 58:14,18	disturbed
134:19	167:12 170:20,21	discrimination	102:13
determine	differentials	69:2	disturbing
33:18 90:4	53:13	discuss	27:7 30:16,19
determined	difficult	70:15 103:1 156:8,9	document
89:20	34:13 75:2 142:13	173:11	31:7
detonate	difficultly	discussed	documentation
16:24	43:13	13:8 40:3 58:1 142:18	33:13
devastated	diligence	discussing	documents
118:17	88:4,6	13:18 52:19 68:8	104:5
developed	diligent	103:6 129:19 142:13	dog
22:20 27:10 92:1 98:7	93:24	156:11	117:3
99:4 110:1	diminished	discussion	doing
developer	117:16	9:20 54:20 114:21	32:8 33:4 34:24 71:21
35:18,20 44:14 46:5	dinner	115:13 127:19	100:11 109:16
46:22 52:21 66:1	39:2	128:20 136:4,5	114:15 116:23
78:18,23 109:16	direct	138:22,22 153:7	118:19 164:2 172:13
112:9 148:1,15	50:20 58:10 75:7	155:11 164:8 167:21	174:6
154:10,18 156:19	80:10 92:19 150:11	discussions	dollars
160:3	direction	7:3 120:14,15 121:8	87:23
development	140:13 144:3 159:15	143:8	doors
15:9 55:4,22 56:3	directly	dismissed	100:6
75:24 76:20 81:1,14	49:9,12,19 55:9	85:1,4	Dorchester
88:12,15 89:8,16	disadvantaged	dismissing	61:5,12,21
	l	l	

	1	1	1
double	94:19	edification	21:16
74:22 145:19	drives	30:12	elevators
doubling	171:12	Edith	133:13 134:17
75:3	driveway	2:11,12	eleven
downsize	45:6,22 46:7 52:22	education	13:23 14:1
172:5	141:5 142:8	82:16	eligibility
draft	driving	educational	81:8 84:18,20 153:12
114:8 128:23	41:21 44:23 52:4	61:8	153:20 154:7
drafts	53:10	effect	eligible
101:16	drop	83:5 85:20	76:23 89:21 99:15
drainage	154:22 155:3	effective	153:11,17
73:13,14,19 74:3	drop-off	131:2,3,3	eliminate
77:20	7:20 12:17 116:13	effectively	8:16 11:19 12:18
draining	dry	44:23 113:17	13:10 85:7 106:12
77:19	33:17	effort	126:6,10 150:16
dramatic	due	163:3	151:5 158:1 159:9
119:5	88:4,5 175:19	efforts	eliminated
drastic	duration	139:4 145:5 152:3	8:24 9:2 85:22 157:22
150:12	5:24	egress	158:4,18 162:15
draw	dust	8:6 43:15 45:23 47:1	eliminating
81:17 104:5	24:11,13,13,16,16	53:7 54:7,24 116:18	11:18 12:7,10 13:9
drawing	dwelling	136:8,13 137:1,3	142:6 159:24
164:3	74:22 75:4	139:5,24 141:6 149:4	
drawn		149:24 151:9 160:14	11:10,11 162:7
44:5	E	169:10	eloquently
drill	earlier	eight	74:19
16:9,9,10 24:14	58:1 98:14 123:24	13:22 42:1,5 56:22	email
drilled	124:2 127:9,17	138:20	119:16
19:21	142:21	eight-unit	emailed
Driller's	early	5:16	126:24
24:3	57:4	either	embodied
drilling	easier	66:9 82:2 85:9 91:19	99:5
16:6 23:22 31:7 37:17	28:19	93:21 113:6 136:16	emergencies
37:23	east	140:9 167:9 168:12	106:3
drilling/blasting	137:5 145:15 160:7,18	elaborating	emergency
15:16	economic	12:3	42:13 44:11 47:1
drills	77:9	elastic	51:22 53:3 54:24
24:7	edge	17:8 29:20	74:8,11 81:3 123:6
drive	170:15	elderly	136:8 137:1,3,4,15
43:22 45:5,8,18 47:3	edges	63:10	139:2,6 141:6
48:12 53:15 57:7	124:23	element	emergency-vehicle
75:13 137:9 141:7,8	Edie	25:19	75:9
142:8,9 144:1 146:22	152:12 172:18	elements	Emily
154:22 155:1	Edie's	22:1 55:18	117:21
driven	170:18	elevator	emphasize
	•	•	•

105:21	enhances	equivalent	72:2 81:24 163:10
employee	57:16	19:23 76:7 108:14	166:12
177:9	enjoy	escapes	example
EMT	41:11 62:10	17:4 20:19	16:6 59:2,21 70:16
64:1	enlightening	escorting	83:15 84:15 102:11
encourage	101:22	63:11	111:20
87:20 88:5 114:22	enlightenment	especially	examples
116:10 117:17 164:7	104:6	5:22 6:5 47:15 93:10	37:5
encouraged	enormously	113:8 116:5 117:4	excavate
34:3,4,6	61:17	Esquire	28:19
endangered	ensure	2:10,11,15 3:8	excavated
77:3 88:21 111:9,10	26:12 56:4 99:21	essential	28:20,23,24
endangering	ensured	5:10	excavating
64:17	94:18	essentially	27:14
ended	enter	61:13 67:17 68:4	excavation
98:6	7:5,7 12:9,15,16	69:12,21 71:11 82:1	20:8 27:12
ends	enthused	82:22 83:11,16 84:5	excavator
8:17 43:18 45:18	114:13	85:10 134:3 162:24	28:20
64:22 121:5,10,15	entire	established	exceeded
134:14 140:2	41:13 64:8 121:21	88:18	95:3
	123:15 134:22	estate	exceedingly
<b>energy</b> 16:24 17:1,3 20:19	151:15 155:11	93:17 104:13	5:8
28:4	151.15 155.11	et	excellent
enforcement	entirely	88:4 103:7 116:7,7	61:4 94:9
73:21	7:17	evacuate	exclusive
	entirety	64:24	91:14
<b>engage</b> 54:20 115:8	133:2		Excuse
	entities	evening	46:16 112:14
engaging 114:19		4:3,24 5:12 14:19	
	89:3,6 entrance	37:18 38:6 54:3 62:9	exercise
Engeland		67:6 68:8 90:19 94:3	10:6
117:21,21	7:12,14,21 11:24	97:9	exhausted
engine	12:12,13,16,16 81:4	evenings	85:5
41:1,2 42:24 43:1,1	106:14 110:20	62:9	exist
52:8 59:9,11,24	entry	event	26:19 56:24
engineer	75:2 110:18	53:2	existence
94:5,12,17 95:4 97:16	environment	everybody	13:2
engineering	62:11 72:14,17 73:11	60:6 107:13 128:18	existing
15:13,15,17,19 57:22	environmental	135:21	21:9,15 22:7,9 23:1
97:2	82:10 89:24 93:9	evidence	25:21 26:18,22 31:8
engineers	equally	82:9	31:10,12,16 34:15
95:17 97:12	68:9,19	evident	57:9,12,14,16 77:15
England	equidistant	57:10	78:14 82:4 90:9
104:13	56:13	exacerbated	exists
enhance	equipment	33:19	40:23 170:1
57:8	21:22	exactly	exit

40:18 75:2 149:5	16:11,11,13,15,24	56:13,20 64:11 65:19	167:2
exiting	39:3	66:4 86:24 89:2,6	faux
75:24 151:16	exposed	91:17 98:8 136:18	10:16
	51:9	147:4 154:23 164:1	favor
expect 19:4		171:4	81:9 138:2 147:22
	exposure		
expected	51:3,8	factors	159:23
33:15	expressed	7:3 27:17	favorably
expecting	71:22	facts	111:18
132:8	extend	70:22 82:13	Fax
expects	141:5 166:23	factual	1:18
71:24	extended	119:8	fears
expediting	35:11 45:7 175:3,12	failures	22:10
143:10	extending	95:20	feasibility
expenses	31:17 92:6	fair	72:16 74:16 77:9
39:7	extension	89:5 155:11	81:18 82:8
expensive	52:10 166:17 171:19	fairly	feasible
53:13	171:20 172:9	4:21 14:15 25:10,11	7:17 78:2 82:8 120:21
experience	extensive	33:16	133:13 134:4
15:15 22:16,16 34:16	77:5,12,19	faith	feature
64:12 94:10,11 110:6	extent	115:19 167:20	76:12,13
115:9 122:11	56:6,15,24 108:17	fall	features
expert	130:4 156:14	11:24 56:22 134:2	55:7,11
4:11,12 106:19 107:12	extra	falls	February
122:10	43:12 116:20,20	8:1	127:7 168:3 175:12
expertise	147:12 175:3	familiar	fed
57:23	extremely	27:8,13 37:13,21,23	100:12
experts	40:18 98:22	97:23	federal
118:7	eye	families	83:19 84:2,4 90:10
expert's	4:23	62:16,18 116:14	91:20
120:18		family	feed
	<b>eyes</b> 63:9	61:6 118:13	
expiration			100:3,5,5
92:5	eyesores	far	feedback
expires	111:21	24:11 39:4 51:5 61:20	168:1 171:4
177:16	$oxed{\mathbf{F}}$	67:11 85:15 108:10	feeding
expiring	facade	109:2 132:22 136:23	100:9 131:14 160:20
92:4	6:6 10:4	160:20 169:7	feel
explain	6:6 10:4 <b>face</b>	farthest	6:20 10:10 17:8 19:3
143:23 153:19		40:9	34:17,22 73:15,16
explained	22:20 118:9	fashion	84:7 105:1 109:15,17
85:20	facilitate	131:14 142:14	111:4 114:23 115:1,2
explicit	166:9	fast	118:2,12,18 119:18
87:4	facilities	47:21	121:2,15 135:19
explosive	25:18	faster	167:17,23 168:2
20:1 21:4	fact	58:16	feeling
explosives	10:11,19 42:16 54:24	fatigue	34:9 138:24 161:3
	ı	1	ı

1/0.7	02:12	106.2 112.15 114.1	106.15 104.02 106.7
162:7	92:12	106:3 113:15 114:1	106:15 124:23 126:7
feels	financial	fire/safety	131:15,22 132:9,14
138:11	66:15 72:15 81:18	113:7 149:2	132:17,19,24 133:11
feet	82:8 93:22 156:13,15	firing	134:7,22,23 147:5
9:1 16:8,8 21:19 23:15	financially	16:17	158:1,4 159:24 160:1
23:17,18 25:18 29:1	82:8 87:18 177:10	firm	161:16 162:15,18
35:9,13 48:23 55:17	financing	33:8	163:8,8 164:22,22
59:5 108:14,18 109:2	93:1 152:22 153:6	first	165:3,8 168:17,23
109:3,6 133:19	find	4:8 23:9 25:2 31:2	floors
134:11,13 160:13	34:23 40:17 121:10,11	33:10 41:24 45:21	106:4 133:19 161:4
162:22 163:10 169:8	146:18	72:13 73:10 74:19	162:13
169:15,23 170:3,14	finding	78:14 85:20 95:5	flow
felt	171:6	98:22 134:14 142:16	58:2 75:15
19:6 76:12 106:13	fine	142:23 155:7 156:8	flying
109:19	52:5 95:5 174:23	159:17	119:5
field	finished	Firstly	flyrock
15:16	21:11	7:5	17:14 20:11,16 23:5
fields	finite	fit	24:2 28:3 108:9,9,10
76:6	60:17	74:11 116:6	focal
fifth	fire	five	80:15
163:7	2:17 4:12 7:11 14:21	13:22 22:16 23:21	focus
fifth-floor	23:13 38:5,16 41:9	24:17 42:2,4 43:19	83:23 133:24
160:17	42:13,20 43:5,10,19	43:21 56:22 112:17	focused
fight	44:17 46:6 47:15,16	125:24 170:21 171:3	155:21
113:11,12,15 115:4	47:18 48:13,13 49:3	five-minute	focusing
fighting	49:10,12 50:20,21	56:18	134:8
49:4	51:9,21 52:9 53:2	fix	foliage
figure	56:17 58:14,20,21	45:1	6:24 135:10 164:24
161:24 168:16	59:4,15,19 60:2,7	fixed	follow
file	61:15,19 63:21,24	8:18	4:7 67:9 122:23
152:23	64:19,23,24 71:16	flagship	followed
files	74:5,5,6,12 82:21	92:1	99:20,22 100:12,19
22:23	90:22,24 105:9 111:6	flashing	following
filter	111:8 113:11,12	44:5	4:7 50:6 80:18 83:17
147:3	114:3,4 118:15 123:6	flat	101:21 102:15
filtered	124:8 133:6 136:7	131:7	follows
147:1	137:11,19 138:16	flies	72:2
final 59.11.07.2.106.19	139:5,11 149:20	41:20	follow-up
58:11 97:3 106:18	firefighter	flip	58:13
146:4,5 171:22 175:18	38:19	151:2	follow-ups 33:22
1/5:18 finalize	firefighters 47:14	<b>floor</b> 1:11 6:21 7:23 8:11	33:22 food
8:8	firemen		43:20
finally	113:13	9:21 10:2,4,6 11:9,11 11:13,18,18 12:7	football
21:18 24:18 56:8 57:4	fires	13:3,9 21:21 106:12	76:6 164:23
21.10 24.10 JU.0 J1.4	111 63	13.3,7 41.41 100.14	/ U.U 1U4.43
	l	l	I

Ford	75:17 106:1 108:2	64:14	18:20 26:6,11,16 29:6
2:17 14:21,21 38:6	112:17 114:24 151:1	front	32:2,5,10,14,15,19
39:17,23 45:13,15	161:4	7:11 48:19 63:9 100:5	32:19,22 95:23 108:8
46:19,21 49:18 50:3	fourth	102:16 108:3 131:6	gases
50:12,17 52:2,17	4:17 6:21 8:11 9:21	133:16 169:4	20:1
53:6,10,19 58:14,18	10:2,4,5 11:9,11,18	fruitful	gasses
60:2 86:11 87:10	12:7 13:3,9 30:5	115:13	21:4
101:22 105:13	124:23 126:7 131:15	full	gate
123:18 124:6 139:18	131:22 132:9,14,17	41:24 42:2 55:2 59:8	52:8
140:9,18,24 141:14	132:18,24 133:11	59:10 145:4	gated
141:19,24 142:2,20	134:22 147:5 151:8	fully	54:24
144:22	160:1 161:16 162:18	51:2,7,10 109:20	gates
forefront	163:8 164:22 165:2,8	functional	105:19
102:2	168:17,23 172:2	9:3	gather
foregoing	fourth-floor	fundamental	159:23
177:4,6,12	8:9	129:6,8	gauges
forever	four-story	Fundamentally	31:11
118:24	125:20 176:1,3	67:16	gears
forget	four-unit	funding	39:19
121:11	5:17 135:24	90:9 91:21	Geller
forgot	fractured	fungible	2:3,13 4:3,5 5:12 9:19
108:15	16:21	173:5	13:12,15 14:7,9,13
form	fragile	further	15:5 24:24 25:7,20
56:6 79:19 121:12	96:2 108:5	9:21 13:13,17 45:20	26:17,23 36:19 37:9
152:24	fragmenting	81:1 115:8 123:9	38:4 39:12,15,18
forma	17:2	145:11 162:20	52:14 53:20,22 54:2
87:21 93:22 115:7,20	fragments	166:24 170:11,13	57:24,24 60:13,16
115:20	20:12	177:8	65:7,9 66:17 86:6
forth	frame	Furthermore	100:23 104:10,14,14
72:6 163:9 177:5	42:4 92:7	79:23	105:11 107:1,8,19
forward	frankly	19.23	109:10 112:10,14
38:10 39:19 43:8 84:5	123:11 124:19 125:4	G	116:1 117:20 120:9
98:16 102:19 120:16	130:22 131:3 147:8	gain	120:11 122:5,7 124:3
124:20 157:5,6	148:13 155:12	47:1 137:14	120:11 122:3,7 124:3
173:18	161:24 166:12 168:2	garage	130:8,13,18 131:21
forwarded	170:18,21	7:17,22 8:1,4,5 9:13	130.8,15,16 131.21
119:11,13,14	1	12:16 24:19 108:17	<i>,</i>
found	<b>free</b>   44:10	garages	137:3,7,12,18 138:18 138:24 139:9,13,16
70:19 130:22	· -	44:16 111:20	143:13 144:10 145:1
	frequency	garbage	
foundation	18:1,6	108:14	145:6,22 146:3 147:1
95:10 founded	Friday	garden	147:13 149:3,13
founded	175:1	76:15 80:15 93:12	150:2 152:10,17
104:14	friendly	94:2 105:17	157:17,23 158:5,12
four	91:5		159:6 160:23 161:6
13:21,22 57:12 75:13	friends	gas	163:17 164:14,18
	l		I

165:4 166:1,6,10,19	80:16 85:18 107:8	82:20 84:5 103:8	7:19 12:14,15,15
167:7 168:20 169:14	131:20 155:16	108:6,21 109:14	21:12
169:18,22 170:8,16	164:22	110:3 111:10 112:21	grandchildren
171:10,12,17 172:5,8	gives	115:2,12 117:5,13,16	65:4
174:20,22 175:17,20	6:19 123:2 125:19	118:2,16,17 119:20	grandparents
176:10	170:24	120:1 121:16,19	63:11
	glad	125:24 126:2 127:20	
general	9:7 102:13 122:12		granite 27:19
21:19,20 37:5 51:24 55:3 112:22 150:19		127:21,24 128:2,10	
	globally	128:13,14,22 129:9	granitic
generally	103:13	130:5 131:23,24	28:1
16:9 35:19,21 36:4,6,7	go	132:2,9,9 136:3	grant
120:20 125:13	7:16 16:6,15,19 17:1	139:8,19,23 140:11	38:10
129:15,17 162:9	17:12 19:3 27:12	140:12,14 142:7	granted
generated	32:16 33:10 34:19,21	144:3,17 147:3,10	62:1 84:21 102:5
74:18 154:12 155:2	39:1 40:16,17 42:16	148:22 150:10	graph
gentleman	44:10,23 47:5 59:2	154:14 156:8,8	96:18
14:23 94:8	60:8 62:10 71:6	159:14 160:3,24	grass
gentlemen	72:24 84:23 87:22	162:17 165:11,12	46:10
14:20 60:23 62:19	95:3,4 96:5 101:17	167:13 171:2,3,7	Grassmere
geographical	101:17,18,24 108:10	172:1,9,10 174:10	44:21 45:7,11 51:15
82:22	118:14 133:12,18	175:22,24 176:2,3,4	55:6 140:16 141:3,17
geology	134:5 136:23 139:23	golf	142:18 143:17
20:4,24	140:3,14,21 146:10	78:18	great
geotechnical	147:6 151:4 152:12	good	43:16 66:2 67:1 74:13
8:6 15:14	157:5,6 164:3,18	4:3 5:12 14:19 20:4,6	greater
germane	166:13 167:14 168:7	22:20 26:23 30:14	70:5 123:16
82:2,19,20	goal	31:15,23 32:18 37:24	greatest
getting	10:7 73:6 80:3 126:12	38:6 39:17 41:11	75:23
32:7 50:24 52:5 55:21	goes	50:10,16 54:3 61:4	green
115:11,15 119:4	28:4 29:21 31:5 35:1	94:3 100:18 103:11	61:23 76:15,18 77:14
127:6 128:1 137:10	49:12 75:16 93:2	115:18 121:1 125:18	77:22 79:15,16,21
137:10 140:7 149:13	111:12 112:8 118:23	143:21 147:21	80:13 81:14 85:22
149:23 151:13	140:1 146:14 151:20	148:14 155:13,16	110:8,15 142:6 144:5
152:22 156:23	going	167:19	greenbelt
167:14 169:1	8:7 10:13 15:20,21,22	gorgeous	51:3,4 89:18 90:18
gift	16:20 21:6 25:17	62:15	109:1 110:4 111:24
62:14	26:12,15 28:14 30:17	gotten	115:2 129:16 131:11
give	30:17,24 31:3 38:18	14:11	145:12 147:13,15,19
5:9 15:20 16:1 71:5	39:16 43:7.8 45:23	Goulston	150:3 162:7,9
110:6 114:18 128:10	47:10,11,17 48:23	2:15	greeting
141:17 144:22 153:1	49:20 50:6,8 51:4	government	102:19
155:23 156:1 159:15	52:6 53:24 58:22	89:15 90:10	Grid
160:6 173:12 175:3	59:4 60:4,16,19,21	governmental	108:4,4
given	62:8 64:10 66:5,7,14	99:12	grooves
5:8 6:1 15:21 30:17	68:16 71:22 72:24	grade	125:14
5.0 0.1 15.21 50.17	00.10 /1.22 /2.24	grauc	123.1⊤
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

ground	168:10 172:13	47:21 98:5 118:9	13:5 66:24 83:13
16:24 17:7,7,8,11,23		166:16	166:22 172:13 174:3
17:24 18:8,13,23	H	harder	heat
19:13,16 20:2,5 23:3	habit	74:2	51:8
23:6 26:13 29:17,21	53:16	harm	heave
95:23	half	113:20 114:7	20:5 23:7 26:13
grounds	145:24	harmony	height
84:1 85:4	hammerhead	104:22	53:11 75:20 76:4
groundwater	44:2,16 142:4 143:24	Harvard	77:10 103:6 112:2
S	hammerheads		128:4 130:6 132:19
96:4	55:18	59:3,5	
group	Hancock	head	135:6,7 162:17
94:15 171:16	41:3 56:10 57:9,12	125:8	Hello
groups	*	heading	60:23 116:2
71:19	58:20 62:13,19 63:19	96:23 175:23	help
Grove	63:23 64:4,15 75:22	health	51:11 59:19 60:18
2:21 60:24 62:21,21	76:16,17,22 79:4,17	71:14 72:13,17,19,19	119:9,19 166:9
63:2	79:20 80:11 88:16,18	73:10 93:8	helpful
grow	88:20 89:20 93:13	hear	34:14 35:23 37:10
46:10 165:16,21	97:22 104:4 105:19	4:8,10,11,12,14 5:5	53:23 122:9 127:1
growing	135:4 136:14 137:21	15:7 30:18 50:4	166:3,6
164:9	140:21 162:24	102:13 105:6 108:16	helping
growth	hand	114:3 118:10 123:1	15:3
47:18 78:1	113:17	126:7 136:15 138:16	helps
grubbing	handle	163:11 166:13	34:6
27:11,14	116:20	170:20,21	hesitant
guarantee	hands	heard	152:4
54:10 55:5	18:13	28:10 32:13 40:12	Hi
guards	hanging	67:3 76:3 77:5 78:23	100:24 105:8 117:21
63:1,8 117:6,7	38:24 96:20	80:21 90:19 102:2,3	hidden
guess	happen	103:9,10,11,12,14	26:11 165:9
29:14 30:23 36:2	11:2 31:3 75:14 98:8	108:9 116:7 121:1	hiding
62:11 65:24 109:9	101:3 102:15,17	129:22 135:22	78:1
118:12 135:13 148:4	111:15 114:20	136:16 139:10,11	high
160:23 161:15	121:14 129:24 154:4	155:17 158:15 159:8	41:14 56:3 61:7 74:24
	happened		
guessed	101:11 120:5	hearing	95:2 170:12
97:8	happening	1:5 4:4,7 9:20 13:17	higher
guidance	62:4	38:7 54:17 71:2 72:1	7:19 70:6,11,11
123:2		80:19 102:3,10	highest
guide	happens 48:8,8 50:8 54:18	108:17 115:16,16	76:8
15:1 18:3	117:10 133:3 167:21	120:7 126:19,20	highly
guys		127:2 129:3 133:8	143:6
45:23 47:17 48:12	happy	167:5 171:17 173:2,5	highway
52:7 109:14 113:12	123:1 143:14 144:7	173:6,17,17,19,20,23	40:16
114:22 118:17	166:13 168:10	174:7,9,24 175:4,11	high-strength
148:22 157:16	hard	hearings	26:5

Hill	20:7,18,20,20 24:21	62:20 102:16,18	129:4,12,20,22
1:7 2:14 4:5 5:14	holiday	108:3 125:8	130:17 133:10 145:7
51:17 62:3 90:4	174:13	household	145:14,19,23 146:2
91:10,17 92:21 101:6	holidays	69:22	149:22 153:23 154:5
103:20 104:15,24	174:12,14	households	157:18,19 158:3,7,10
114:18 115:5,18	home	69:15,23	158:14,20,24 159:3,6
117:9	5:6 30:1 34:19 35:6	houses	159:11,22 160:7
hinged	62:8,8 93:15 123:21	30:23,23,24 34:22	161:2 162:14 167:7,8
130:3	homeowner	35:4 86:13	168:7,22 169:10,17
hire	31:18 34:7 35:1	housing	170:4,10 171:8
150:22	homeowners	65:21 67:10,24,24	170.4,10 171.8
hired	22:8 34:1 90:21	68:10,11,14,20,24	174:2,8,13,22,23
15:8 35:17 118:7	homes	69:6,7,9,12 70:17,18	174.2,8,13,22,23
hires	19:7 22:7 26:3 30:4	70:19,20 72:7,13	Hussey's
36:7	31:6 33:4 34:20 35:5	73:2 78:22 79:2	161:23
historic	42:7 64:9 75:2 76:7	80:20 84:19 85:3	hybrid
75:24 76:24 77:3	76:20 108:16 109:3	86:19 87:7 91:2,11	151:1
88:22,24 89:16,22	124:11	91:19,21,21 92:3,8,9	hypothetical
90:12 94:2,21 98:4	honest	92:17 93:14,17 104:4	34:11
98:22 99:16 100:15	163:22	104:21 105:2,5	Hypothetically
100:17,20 111:10	honestly	117:14,15 119:2	34:7
116:6 153:21	168:4	138:10	J+.1
historical	hope	huge	I
62:14 71:15 81:6	94:1 103:4,7,19 114:9	45:1 51:6 112:2 116:5	idea
89:21 90:2,11 94:16	127:18	118:4 124:13 151:8	7:4 10:17 16:1 31:15
95:6 99:9,17,19	hopefully	humanly	31:23 32:18 78:1
105:16 113:21	4:20 121:21 176:8	151:24	155:13,16 160:6
152:13,16,24 153:1,2	hoping	humans	ideal
152.15,10,24 155.1,2	131:24 163:12	19:6 118:18	110:21
historically	horns	hundred	ideally
88:20 93:11 100:9	24:1	109:2,3 147:12	54:22
68.20 93.11 100.9 history	horse	hundreds	ideas
21:7	115:8	19:17 123:20,22	99:1,4
hit	horses	hundredth	identified
118:15 119:4	40:15	29:19	46:12 67:12 77:1
H <sub>0</sub>	hose	husband	identify
97:14	48:24	61:6	46:9
hold	hospital	Hussey	ignored
18:12 95:14	21:19,20,23	2:5 4:6 9:9,15,18	96:7 100:8 121:17
hole	hours	13:12,14 14:3 26:24	II
16:1,12,13,20,20,20	5:3 23:22 63:13	27:1,5,15 28:9 29:2	93:14
19:15,21,23,24 20:6	106:21	49:15 57:18 103:10	imagine
21:2 94:13,15,21	house	103:24 125:15,18	155:12,14
holes	21:13,15 26:9 29:16	126:5,22 127:1,5,9	immediate
16:10,10,16,18,19	31:20 34:21 44:11	120:3,22 127:1,3,9	27:7
10.10,10,10,10,17	J1.40 J7.41 44.11	127.13,10 120.1,0,12	
		<u> </u>	

	I	I	I
immediately	145:8,11	incur	injury
17:10 61:16 95:4,13	inability	39:7	20:14
165:9	113:17	Independence	input
impact	inaccurate	45:5,17,22 57:7 61:1	55:15,19 71:10 120:18
75:23 76:2 79:4 80:12	125:8	63:3 75:13 100:3	151:19 155:23,23
89:16,23 130:15,21	inadequacy	119:13 140:13 141:2	inside
150:8,11,11 151:5,8	82:4	141:13 144:1 146:22	21:11,16 100:7
153:2	inadequate	independent	insist
impacts	20:20	13:2	49:9
15:10 16:3,23 21:23	Inaudible	independently	inspections
23:23 86:9 90:12	128:20 145:17	91:18	109:4
149:16 156:13,15	inch	index	inspector
161:11	18:11 29:20	69:9	71:17
impervious	inches	indicate	installation
77:22	18:12 19:1,4,17,17	158:16 168:9	82:6,11
implicit	incident	indicated	installed
128:17	43:23 44:9	11:6 30:9 133:11	31:22 49:3
implied	inclined	individual	instance
88:23	159:10	102:14	18:20 26:6 131:5
important	include	industry	Institute
16:3 17:13 20:8,10,21	55:16 79:1	37:2	94:15,22
22:21,23 26:2,12	included	infeasible	insufficient
*	38:9 80:23		20:18
28:2 56:2 84:13		7:4	
98:22 99:4 100:16	including	infill	insurance
102:8,10,21 103:16	14:3,4 71:13 76:5 78:8	6:10 14:1 76:14 110:6	22:18 41:8,10 93:13
104:23 106:4 109:23	78:22 81:14 139:5	110:7,7,8 135:5	95:21
113:21,22,22 115:13	inclusion	136:2 159:4	integral
115:16 139:6 141:1	79:12 91:12	influenced	76:15
142:15 143:9 174:1	income	104:2	integrity
impose	68:11,12 69:7,12,13	influx	76:21
157:5	69:14,16,17,19,24	65:22	intended
imposed	70:1,20 88:4	information	30:18 77:15 110:9,10
68:5	inconceivable	5:10 12:4 22:1,9 103:2	intends
imposing	67:2	120:17 121:2 144:12	54:8
93:20	incorporated	informative	intent
impossible	55:8 79:17	36:20	30:4 165:19,20
142:14	increase	infrastructure	intention
improve	64:2 66:12 91:1,12	82:5	98:11
163:23	125:3 140:16	initial	interest
improved	increased	41:2,3 58:23 88:13	36:22 103:21 139:9
125:12	5:19	158:3	interested
improvement	incredibly	initially	86:8 177:10
77:11 125:2 145:21	112:16	9:23 76:10 88:18 95:8	interesting
162:9 163:20	incremental	injure	69:4,18 103:5 164:17
improvements	147:24	17:15	interior

	I	ı	1
133:21 134:7	13:1 39:24 51:20,20	116:1 117:20 120:9	104:13
internal	67:2 69:2 72:16	120:11 122:5,7 124:3	jump
8:17 38:12,13 55:16	73:22 77:8 86:12	124:17 126:4 127:23	25:1
85:24 134:1 162:24	94:20 97:1 102:12	130:8,13,18 131:21	jurisdiction
interpreted	108:19 113:21	132:7,16 133:3 134:8	91:15
73:9	116:16 118:11,11,11	137:3,7,12,18 138:18	justification
interrupt	138:8,10,12 142:17	138:24 139:9,13,16	136:24
45:10	143:17,18 144:2	144:10 145:1,6,22	
intersection	145:4 146:3 147:20	146:3 147:1,13 149:3	K
6:14 132:10 170:5	149:8,11,13 150:5,6	149:13 150:2 152:10	K
invalid	150:10,17 154:17,18	152:17 157:17,23	61:7,16
86:2,2	159:22 160:24	158:5,12 159:6	Kathryn
invasive	166:11 169:10 172:9	160:23 161:6 163:17	2:9
151:3	issues	164:14,18 166:6,10	keep
inventory	4:16 16:3 21:24 26:13	166:19 167:7 169:14	4:23 13:15 26:14
34:1	26:16 27:13 28:17	169:18,22 170:8,16	103:16 112:22 169:5
invisibility	39:20 52:10 96:15	171:10,12,17 172:5,8	kept
165:2	97:17 102:1,11,22	174:20,22 175:17,20	22:24 24:3
invisible	108:1 111:7 113:7,8	176:10	key
162:18 163:12,13,15	121:7,7,8 123:3	Jim	21:24 25:19
164:13,15,22 166:15	142:19 149:2 156:12	3:5 97:19	keys
168:17	items	job	115:7
invite	53:13	74:13 96:21 144:15	kid
53:24	iteration	147:21 150:23	118:15 119:4
invited	148:2,10	jobs	kids
102:20	it'd	66:8	44:4 116:14 119:6
inviting	43:22 59:16	Joe	155:1,4
87:6		57:24,24 97:6 104:14	killed
invoke	<u>J</u>	143:13 165:4 166:1	63:9,12 97:10
87:20	January	168:8,20	Kilmister
involve	49:8 88:14 92:22 97:5	John	97:7
52:3 146:21	127:6 168:3	93:12	kind
involved	Jay	joints	17:20 21:5 22:1,5 32:2
51:2,8 72:19 152:7	3:8 112:20	19:24 20:1,17 28:1,6	32:16 83:8,8 87:22
involving	Jesse	Jonas	98:10 128:6 131:9
8:6 99:17	2:3 4:3,5 9:19 13:12	107:2,2,23 109:13	136:24 140:17 151:2
in-house	13:15 14:7,9,13 15:5	Jonathan	156:10 157:3,11,11
128:24	24:24 25:7,20 26:17	2:4 4:6 167:9	157:13
irrelevant	26:23 36:19 37:9	Joseph	kinds
87:3,9	38:4 39:12,15,18	2:13 104:10	28:10 88:5 108:1
ISO	52:14 53:20,22 54:2	Journal	161:12
41:8	60:13,16 65:7,9	104:13	knock
issuance	66:17 86:6 100:23	judge	176:8
84:17	105:11 107:1,8,19	85:1,8,15	knocked
issue	109:10 112:10,14	July	108:11

	1	ı	1
know	Krokidas	47:9 49:5	left
10:6 25:13 27:24	2:10	latest	4:5 7:23 11:12 13:21
28:13 29:19 30:15		44:20 165:14	18:7 19:9 97:10
32:2 34:9,10 37:19	L	lath	102:24 121:22
42:6 43:21 51:20,21	lack	26:4	160:12 169:22
52:16,20 56:8,12	10:15 20:21 90:15	launch	legal
60:7,18 62:2,5,7	ladder	97:4	62:14 122:5,6 160:14
64:23 65:13,15,18,19	40:23,24 41:16,17,19	law	LegaLink
65:24,24 66:1,2,12	42:11 58:22,23 60:8	49:3 84:12 87:21 88:6	1:15
73:13,21 74:1 82:24	106:5 114:6	lawn	legally
87:24 95:19 96:3,12	ladders	46:11	63:14
96:17 97:15 101:2	60:6	- ·	
	ladies	lawsuit	legitimate
102:3,4,6,7,15,16,24	14:19 60:23 62:19	81:7,8	46:23 93:10 149:20
103:7,10,12,16,18,19	Lagrange	lawyers	length
106:18 107:19	140:3	62:2 63:18 128:8	48:23 95:15 169:11
110:21,23 111:9,15	laid	lay	lengthy
113:3,11,12 114:1,11	29:10 56:9 58:19	52:22	4:22
115:10 117:2 118:15		layout	lesson
119:3,5,9 121:18	land	98:23,24 99:23 133:21	170:11
123:4,24 132:24	77:18,19 80:9	133:24	letter
134:4,16 138:1,12	landscape	layouts	81:9 84:18,20 85:23
139:1,20 141:11	99:2	8:4	89:8 91:9,13 92:13
143:12 144:16 145:7	landscaping	leading	144:19
146:7 148:13,22	109:21	169:4 170:14	letters
152:1,6,6 153:14	lanes	leaks	85:18 121:20 144:17
154:16,16,23 155:8	75:13,13,17	32:14 108:2	let's
155:15 156:5,21	language	learn	14:17 43:2 122:8
157:12 158:14 161:7	87:4	50:4	127:18 159:17
161:11 163:10,14	laps	learned	169:12 174:19
166:12,13 167:19,20	124:23	113:9	level
167:21 168:3,12,23	large	learning	7:2,21 8:1 11:14 12:18
169:4 170:1,10,18	27:14 28:20 48:20,22	64:6	12:18 13:2 24:8,16
171:10,23,24 172:6	60:3 65:22 74:8 80:1	leases	56:4 95:2 105:17
173:20	105:20 106:9 129:17	92:4	106:16 123:2 126:8
knowledge	130:3 132:13 135:18	leave	147:5 148:19 150:16
57:23 135:13	136:9 162:11	39:1 143:7 149:18	151:6,8,20 154:9
knows	larger	158:19	157:21 158:18
96:13 151:19,19	47:15,18 48:1 100:2,5	leaves	levels
<b>Koocher</b>	106:3 116:19 137:18	6:15 120:6	8:5 24:8,17 33:14
3:7 100:24,24 101:10	146:5 148:3 150:9	leaving	126:10 151:4 159:7
101:21 121:5	largest	151:14	Levin
	48:1		
Krakofsky	large-scope	led	2:14 5:12,13 9:12,17
1:21 177:1,15	121:7	76:22	9:19,23 10:19 11:17
Kristen	lastly	ledge	11:22 12:10,21 13:7
1:21 177:1,15	iastiy	77:20 165:11,11,17,19	13:22 14:1,4,10

36:22 37:20 54:1	line	128:6 129:10 132:11	locations
106:13 158:15 163:2	6:23 10:9 18:10 54:16	147:15 163:14	23:21 24:17 31:14
163:21 166:20	59:2,4,14 96:2 108:8	167:18	55:23 56:11 63:5
168:15 169:7 171:2	124:22 156:5 168:18	live	loft
liability	168:19,22	60:24 62:18 64:15	147:22
22:17	linear	65:8 69:15 94:4	logic
Library	131:14 169:23	118:23 129:16,18	98:6,8 100:4,13,21
21:8	lines	150:4 154:23 155:1	124:24 125:21
license	6:17 32:5,5 51:5 95:23	173:24	logical
15:17	95:24 96:10 152:11	lived	99:18 163:22
licenses	linked	61:5,12 102:18 104:21	logs
90:9	13:6	104:22	24:3 28:22
life	Lis	lives	long
48:6 64:3,8 65:5 77:6	4:6	40:7 47:12,14 63:2	26:14 76:6 87:1 92:15
113:22 119:4	Liss	112:12 118:9	122:22 147:6
light	2:7 33:22 34:3,7 35:2	living	longer
5:22 68:6	35:14 45:10,14 51:15	23:24 31:13 65:14	40:23 42:4 47:14
lighter	52:13 126:24 127:4,8	86:13,14,20 87:1,8	100:11
20:7	140:16,23 141:11,15	146:14 147:12	look
lightly	141:21 142:1,10	LLC	9:23 10:12,12 15:8
24:21	143:5 146:7 150:1,3	3:8	16:17 18:10 19:9
lights	174:16,19,24	load	20:4 33:12,13 34:17
44:6	list	44:17 50:21	34:19 46:11 47:20
liked	38:8 107:23 111:9	loaded	57:10 87:22 96:11,21
125:4 131:16 132:20	171:22 172:3 175:19	16:10 24:21	102:19 105:16 118:7
likelihood	176:1,6	loan	125:24 131:9,13
50:19,19 53:14	listed	87:23	142:11 156:24 157:9
limb	153:11 173:7	lobby	161:18,19 163:16,23
113:22	listen	12:17	169:8
limit	103:5 119:8 167:12	local	looked
18:17,18,20 19:11	listened	67:6,12,18,20 68:4,6,7	28:22 69:22 108:13
30:10 98:15 160:13	67:1 112:17	68:8,17 70:7,12,13	131:5 132:20 134:18
160:21	listening	70:24 71:11,12,21	134:18
limitation	101:22 106:13 112:16	70:24 71:11,12,21	looking
82:22	132:7 133:14	80:16 82:7 83:12	5:1 10:7 34:22 51:23
limited	listing	88:24 89:5,14 93:8	53:6,7,7 71:6 84:10
14:16 23:22 71:13	80:16 153:18	93:10 152:18,19	84:11 85:11 98:17
106:20	lists	located	111:1 129:15 147:9
limits	126:19	56:13,17 75:22 76:14	150:24 160:16
18:15 20:8 22:11,13	120.19   lit	locating	looks
30:2,2 35:12 151:14	117:4	22:7	35:1 103:11 141:16
100.2,2 35.12 151.14 Lincoln	little	location	150:12 161:10
1:16	8:14 16:2 17:3 21:1	8:11 12:8 40:6 57:11	loose
Linda	48:7 78:9 86:4 96:20	89:10,18 111:19	121:5,10,15
119:14	102:21 119:24 125:9	138:21	loss
117.17	102.21 117.24 123.9	130.41	1033
	l	1	l

		1	
11:13 80:3	39:5 157:20	Mary	9:24 85:2 86:19
lost	maintained	2:21 60:24 119:14	147:10,11 163:24
14:11 72:21 102:23	92:15	mask	matters
lot	maintaining 93:3	135:11,15 masks	70:5
12:4 15:21,22,23			maximum 24:8
18:22 21:4 24:12,13 26:8 30:1 34:16 44:4	<b>maintenance</b> 73:20,22 74:3	10:17,21,22 mass	24:8 ma'am
44:5 66:1 68:22	73.20,22 74.3 major	21:19,20 37:5 81:6	175:15
94:10 96:6,13 112:9	90:24	87:16 90:7 99:17,19	McKown
115:12,21 116:13	making	116:4 125:3 130:7,21	2:18,18 14:14,19 15:6
148:20,20 154:7	11:17 25:22 93:24	135:6 152:24 153:1	25:5,14 26:2,21 27:3
155:2,21,24	119:1 136:21 145:5	153:16,17,22	27:9,23 28:16 29:3
loud	147:6,15 149:1	Massachusetts	29:12 30:11,14 31:2
108:15	151:20 164:12,14	1:12,17 15:17 41:13	31:23 32:7,18 33:1,8
love	manage	50:7 54:5 77:2 88:22	33:20 34:2,5,13 35:8
106:12 111:14,16	66:11	88:23 89:21,24 90:1	35:19 36:2,6,11,15
118:21 155:23	management	90:11 94:6,14 177:3	36:21,24 37:13,15,23
low	81:10	MassDevelopment	38:7
68:11,12 69:7,11,13	mandated	76:10,12 84:16 90:3	McKown's
69:14 70:20 73:16	26:18 122:15 152:3	91:15 92:24 112:6	39:4,9
lower	mandatory	152:23	MDM
5:22 12:10,18 13:1	34:1	MassDevelopment's	2:16 54:4
69:19,24 70:2,13,14	maneuverability	85:18	Mead
150:6,7,16	55:21	MassHousing	3:8
lowest	manner	92:8	mean
95:1	17:3	massing	7:8 28:10 29:8 54:22
Lupini	map	81:13 106:8 125:11	58:17 66:2 77:12
83:1	45:12	128:3 133:24	101:5,10 102:11
luxury	Marc	massive	103:14 106:12
93:17	2:14 5:13 9:9 158:15	18:18,19 27:24	108:18 109:17
	March	master	121:18 122:1 126:16
$\frac{M}{M}$	168:3	49:10	129:18 132:14 138:5
2:11,12	<b>Marion</b> 84:24 85:1	master's 15:14	139:8 141:15 150:20
magic	84:24 83:1 <b>Mark</b>	matches	152:17 153:12 155:6 155:13 164:12
132:1 162:2 163:11	2:6 4:6 114:11,22	112:3	169:20 174:24
169:14,15	125:23 126:4	material	meaning
magnifies	marked	29:23 46:10 72:9 96:1	92:4
76:9	63:14	materially	means
main	market	88:12	43:18 47:18 49:15
39:4 44:24 47:12 48:1	92:4	materials	84:20 86:23 103:14
48:20 110:18	market-rate	6:8,18 18:23 38:22	114:7 143:9 148:20
Maine	61:11	mats	151:2 153:20,21
21:13 37:17,23	Marlborough	20:21,21,23 24:3	163:13 164:21
maintain	54:4	matter	meant
		I	I

84:22	Memorial	144:14	model
measure	47:3	Mines	134:18 159:12
17:22,24 18:1 24:16	men	18:6 22:12 23:4	modeling
70:20 74:2	40:10 51:1	mini	168:15
measurements	mention	61:14	moderate
24:9	14:22 42:9 58:5 61:20	minimize	68:11 69:11 70:20
measures	69:4 72:15,16 103:11	15:10 19:10 23:23	modified
146:20	103:24 124:3	24:21	55:14,19
median	mentioned	minimized	moment
69:17 70:1,1	11:12,14,14 12:6 31:9	81:15	11:6 108:7
medical	42:21 50:1 54:15	minimizes	Monday
52:10 106:2	56:2 58:6,8 77:20	6:4	119:16 174:18
medium	80:4,20 86:13 104:1	minimum	money
112:1 165:21	105:23 123:23 124:2	22:15 54:24 75:6	66:1 88:1 118:20
meet	142:21	80:18 91:12 137:15	monitor
70:11 82:7 91:2	mentioning	minivan	20:5 31:21
113:18,19 137:20	81:20	120:4	monitoring
138:19 143:14,14	Merrill	minute	22:22,24 23:1,20
146:1 151:23 164:4	1:15	39:16 56:22 60:8	30:22
173:21	met	114:7 134:9 168:9	monitors
meeting	145:22	minutes	30:24 32:2
66:19 70:7 73:5 79:11	meters	42:1,5 92:22 106:1,6	month
79:15,18 92:22	24:16	138:20	73:24
104:20 107:2 125:23	method	mirrors	months
127:21 129:24	51:9	120:5	6:13,15,24 66:24
143:21	metrics	misinformation	71:20 108:23
meetings	81:11	40:4	moratorium
6:1 22:1,3 40:2 65:12	metro	missed	138:3
102:4 104:1	42:16 58:20 69:23	126:18	MORELLI
meets	70:10	mission	126:19 127:15 175:18
167:20	Michaud	67:16	morning
melded	2:16 54:3,3 57:18,21	misstating	62:22 119:22
50:5	middle	11:8	mornings
member	106:24 160:9	misunderstanding	75:1
2:6,7 3:6 54:15 66:19	miles	13:1 164:6	mothers
73:5 88:9 97:21	41:17,21 110:1	misunderstood	63:10
105:8 107:2 124:15	million	140:7	mouth
170:23	22:17 87:23	MIT	130:10
members	milliseconds	15:14	move
2:2,20 5:13 32:13	16:18	mitigating	7:13 12:8 18:22 29:17
101:5 130:3 162:6,10	<b>mimic</b> 6:9	56:24 57:15	38:10 87:6 173:18
169:13 171:1,2,3,3	mind	mitigation 80:12	<b>moved</b> 61:1,5 110:13
<b>memo</b> 85:24 96:19,23 97:3	<b>mina</b> 12:2 13:15 64:11	Mittleman	movement
97:12,13,14	103:16 122:10,20	3:9 116:2,2	20:2 55:3
71.14,13,14	103.10 122.10,20	3.9 110.2,2	40.4 33.3
		l	l

	I		I
movements	105:8	173:10,16 174:3,6	2:11,12 35:21 36:4,9
29:18	narrow	needed	36:13,18 46:16,20
moves	74:8 103:18 110:18	61:3 77:9 79:13 80:6	49:23 50:10,13
17:19,23 18:1,8,14	111:11	82:11	121:18 124:5 127:12
29:18,21	narrowed	needs	128:5,9,16,21 129:10
moving	74:9	19:18 22:19,20 28:24	129:14,21 142:12,24
12:11 17:2 86:23 87:3	national	61:5 68:4 78:22 82:7	144:13,24 148:6
87:10 159:9	76:23 89:22 90:5	99:19 126:9 133:1	155:6 156:10 160:5
<b>MTI</b> 96:23 97:4	99:15 108:4,4 153:15 153:18	135:17 136:9 140:23	164:5,16 166:3,8,17 167:10 168:5 169:12
90:23 97:4 muck	natural	162:18,19 165:18 166:22 167:20	171:11 172:12,20
24:15	76:17 80:14	172:18,23	171.11 172.12,20
Mufflers	nature	negative	175:7,10,22
24:7	31:9 48:15	111:21	never
multifamily	NCD	negligible	102:18 108:9 113:24
5:21 76:19 92:16	97:21 144:2	102:8	139:18 150:4,9
multiple	97.21 144.2 near	neighbor	new
16:1 112:13 116:8	28:18 46:23	120:3	6:13 41:2 45:19,21,24
122:1,1,1 148:9	nearby	neighborhood	49:18 50:1,2,4 61:16
149:15 164:2 169:9	23:1 25:19 30:24	3:6 61:14 62:4 63:6	63:24 84:17 87:6,6,9
multistory	89:12 90:22	64:4,10,12 65:17,19	90:8 104:12 121:1
89:19	nearest	65:20 79:19 97:21	130:4 135:12 140:19
multi-unit	78:15	103:22 104:23	141:3,6 154:14 159:2
89:11	nearly	105:18 108:11	164:21,24
municipal	76:6 77:13	110:17 111:3,12	newly
79:7 82:4 89:3	necessarily	112:3 116:7 117:24	29:10
municipalities	67:3 162:2	123:15 126:3 134:24	Newton
68:13 113:4	necessary	135:2 136:5 147:7	42:17 43:5
municipality	46:8 56:15 83:9 84:7	154:21,24 155:4	NFPA
69:20 74:17	86:17 87:20 106:17	neighborhoods	41:4,23 48:2,17 50:6
Murphy	146:20,21	65:5 76:5 80:13	50:14 58:6
2:9 35:15 101:8,13	necessitate	neighboring	nice
121:23 122:6 152:21	11:13 12:11	108:16	136:14 142:5 157:8
153:5,10,14,21	need	neighbors	night
mutual	7:6,16 11:19 20:3,3,4	15:11 22:4 27:6 35:23	117:4
39:22 42:9,12,15,19	22:6 42:20 57:1	51:6,6 62:18 65:15	nighttime
56:9	58:22 59:19 67:20	66:20 110:10 155:18	30:15
N	68:11,14 69:21 70:3	161:17 169:21	nine
name	70:6,11,14,20 72:20	Neil 3:2 87:13	13:23 16:10,16,17,18
4:5 60:24 66:18 88:8	85:11 87:9 96:15 102:1 105:1 117:5		106:6 nitrate/fuel
94:3 97:19	119:17,17 121:9	nests 27:7 102:13	21:3 24:4
named	136:23 159:15 162:2	net	nitty-gritty
88:21	166:21 170:24,24	80:3	114:16
Nancy	171:18 172:22	Netter	noise
	1/1.10 1/2.22	1101101	110150
	I	l	I

19:10 24:7,8,8,13 177:13,16 occupants 144:22 145:6 154:8 78:22 79:1 168:7 169:16 171:14 30:9,13 108:13 Now's 115:15 occupied 173:16 175:17 non 64:18 number 124:7 old nonexistence 1:6 7:3 9:5 41:12,13 occur 29:8,8 62:15 95:9 96:1 13:3 46:24 51:9 57:8,16 20:17 32:3 122:22 96:20 nonexistent 66:20 68:12 69:7,19 occurrence older 29:14 46:5 69:22 70:2,23 74:22 73:24 non-elastic 75:3 77:14 81:12 Olmstead occurs 17:11 103:6 126:1 134:16 75:1 109:21,24 **Oceanographic** non-40B 135:24 146:13,13 once 77:15 78:6 89:4 147:18 148:2 156:6 94:15,22 8:24 128:3 166:20 160:13 161:13 October norm ones 50:18 163:11 89:8 114:12,23 126:17 107:23 123:6 north numbered offer one's 7:7 12:13,22,22 45:17 4:18 36:23 91:23 68:20 numbers offered one-and-a-half-mile notary 177:2,15 122:14 56:18 150:7 note numerous offering ongoing 6:9 8:10,14 14:15 63:9 154:19 23:12 73:19 101:9 104:23 office 120:14 0 noted 1:10 41:8 91:6 96:20 open objected 77:24 87:6 9:4,10 20:17 27:9 official 89:9.15 notes 71:12,17 79:9,18 80:5 62:13 72:15,21 78:7 objection 31:5 118:5 177:7 113:2 78:10.11.13.14.15.21 92:14 159:7,20 officially nother 79:3,7,9,12,14,23,24 objections 102:5 79:8 80:2,3,6,10 93:9 99:1 89:7 notice offs 99:23 158:20 objective 90:13 114:8 155:3 opening 81:11 oftentimes 23:2 31:17 noticeable observe 28:18 29:13 84:11 163:14 opinion 20:24 noticed 17:14 21:5 25:3 30:3 obtain 117:2 11:4 57:3 105:6 127:1 33:16 40:1 97:18 83:20 84:6 109:5 127:16 135:18 143:7 157:13 notification obtained 49:5,20,24 50:13,20 oil 162:12,12 84:2 92:17 90:1 152:23 21:3 24:4 opinions obvious notion 170:20.21 okav 51:16 166:10 170:19 13:8,9 56:9 113:9 9:18 11:21 12:2 14:9 opportunity obviously 4:14 6:1 71:20 141:4 163:18 15:4 26:23 33:3 25:16 33:24 112:2 novel 36:13 37:21 39:18 opposed 120:14 138:12 143:6 112:4.5 135:20,22 136:1 46:21 60:16 88:1 150:11 163:17 November 98:19 109:13,19 option 167:11 1:9 79:19 126:20 112:18 120:13 8:20 36:3 occasion 127:19 167:5 171:4 121:17 127:1,5,16 options 59:1 83:11 171:18 176:11 83:2 128:21 138:23

opts	owner	111:23 116:10 126:8	149:15 169:9 177:9
121:23	124:7 154:1	126:10,11,11 141:5	partner
order	owners	147:6,18 148:19	114:11
7:7 12:22 14:14 31:16	62:3 63:18,23 75:23	150:16 151:3,5,6	partnership
72:12 93:16 160:14		156:22 157:21 158:2	91:19 93:12
orderly	P	158:6,7,17,18,19,21	parts
157:9	pact	159:3,8,18,20 162:13	68:2 98:7,12,13,18
original	43:2	parkway	109:22
7:13 8:21 134:15	pacts	47:3,6 52:20,22,23,24	party
146:10	42:15	53:4 54:16,23 81:3	49:21 109:12 152:7
originally	page	115:4 137:14 139:4	pass
46:3	104:8	139:19,20,23,24	51:18 74:9
orphaned	pages	140:2,3,10,12,15	passage
97:11	1:2 122:1,2	149:24 170:9	55:8
outcome	paper	part	passerby
54:10	18:12	11:5 29:11 35:5 39:4	59:14
outcropping	papers	45:5 48:21 49:14	path
7:9 77:24 89:13	15:18	50:2 51:5 58:11	171:7
outdoor	paradigm	76:15 82:2 83:22	patrons
78:8 79:8	170:1	88:9 98:14 99:11	66:5
outlined	paragraph	121:8 123:4,13 131:9	Patsy
90:22	73:12,12 74:14 75:20	132:13 137:24 138:3	3:9 116:2
outside	77:10 78:3,8,14,20	149:8 154:17 157:1	Paul
41:19 105:18	79:3,6 80:8	158:11	2:17 14:21
outskirts	parcel	participate	pave
40:8 43:14	80:1 81:1 98:7	32:12 35:24	52:23
outstanding	parcels	participated	pay
102:1	98:9	66:24	43:10 63:23 85:11
overall	parent	particle	87:24,24
89:15 128:3 140:17	117:23	17:22 18:7	paying
156:9,24	parents	particular	117:9,13
overbreak	61:8 62:24 119:18	15:11 25:2 28:5,13	PE
24:22	154:21,24	31:18,20 39:21 81:24	97:14
overcrowded	Park	82:1,20 83:7 98:6,9	peak
61:17 64:5	21:18	106:10 108:20	17:22 18:7
overloaded	parked	120:15 123:6 125:10	peel
20:18	74:10 120:2	135:23 142:17	11:7
overly	parking	particularly	peeled
30:19	5:18 7:2,24 8:1 9:4,9	6:2 13:17 28:7,24	10:1
overpressure	11:13,15,20,24 12:11	33:20 35:11 67:7,9	peeling
17:5,9 19:9	12:18,19 13:2,10	105:16 122:9,10,12	9:20 11:9
overrides	24:19 44:1 45:6	129:8 131:6,13	peer
82:15 93:8 117:12,13	68:22 77:12,13,14	161:10	55:22 73:16,17 97:12
overrule	78:3,4 81:12 89:10	parties	133:17 136:17
167:11	93:16 96:6 106:11,15	112:13 143:12 148:9	146:16,17
	1	1	I

PEL	24:9	physical	5:15 8:9 13:20 22:19
85:16 86:2	perjury	54:22 77:17 82:10	23:11,19 43:7 44:20
penalty	177:11	83:6	48:9,18 55:14 57:10
177:11		pick	66:14 76:5 79:8,24
	permanent	<del>-</del>	· ·
pending	17:11 19:15 130:2	138:6	80:3 81:11 90:16
81:6,8 85:3 153:8,13	permanently	picked	96:11,18 100:7 104:2
people	91:8 92:11 93:5	123:9 159:5	104:7,10 134:7
5:9 17:8,15 19:2,6,10	permit	pick-up	155:10 160:17
23:23 30:18,19 31:16	71:6 83:17 89:1 102:5	7:21	165:14 167:19 174:4
34:17 42:6 47:13	102:23	picture	176:1
49:21 50:24 51:17	permits	45:16 73:23 119:24	plane
52:4,11 60:18 65:14	71:7 90:10	141:19	10:24
69:6,15 72:22 83:22	permitting	pictures	planned
86:14,19,22,24 87:3	71:4 75:9 149:9	31:5 110:4 139:21	88:18 93:11 99:24
87:6,8,9 95:16 97:11	perpetuity	piece	109:20
97:23 103:12 105:23	80:21 93:4,6	18:12 123:21	planning
106:2 110:13 112:17	perplexed	pieces	5:13 57:5 71:14 79:9
118:10 119:8 121:19	66:10	43:24 121:1	79:14 89:9 91:6 93:9
123:20,22 146:13	person	pike	100:13,18,21 101:5
147:12 148:17 150:7	34:24 60:20 61:19	125:24	109:11,19 110:14
150:20 159:13	105:6 135:9	pipe	128:15 129:20
people's	personal	29:10,14 32:5	155:20 166:8 172:17
47:12	138:24	pipelines	plans
perceive	Personally	18:20,21,21 26:6,6,11	47:21 48:19 58:19
121:9	170:8	26:14,16,16 32:8,11	96:10
		32:17,20	plant
percent	persons	· ·	_
67:23 69:16,24 70:1,2	68:12 69:7,13,14,19	pipes	165:19
70:9,10,23,23 75:16	person's	25:21 29:5,6,6,7,12,13	planted
91:4,7,13 92:3,10	167:15	32:2,2 95:22 108:5	165:10,12
163:15	perspective	piping	planting
perceptible	45:11,12 65:14	53:12	164:21 165:9,15,17,21
19:5	petitioned	place	plantings
perfect	73:17	15:2 41:5 47:8 50:2	164:21
84:15	petitioners	83:6 85:21 89:2	plaster
performance	39:6	95:16 98:22 99:7,15	18:10,16 26:3 29:16
81:11	phenomenon	100:17 118:22 134:2	30:1,23 31:1
perimeter	27:8	151:17 156:23 177:5	plastic
20:6 22:21 24:20 35:3	philosophy	placed	26:9
35:4	88:17	78:5 80:24	play
period	photographed	placement	66:23 114:23
4:17 14:16 93:1	119:21	23:11 75:20 76:8 77:4	player
108:24 138:20	photographs	77:11,14	164:24
periodic	25:9 32:8	places	playing
22:3	photos	76:1,24 89:22 99:8	120:14
periodically	119:21	plan	please
F	/	F	F-300
	I	I	I

6:9 8:9 104:19	65:23 83:3 116:21	28:11 83:8	presenting
109:11 142:13	117:11 124:1	practice	13:4
144:21 153:19	populations	50:10,16	presents
pleased	65:22	preblast	51:8
145:15 150:4	portion	22:1,2,6 23:14 31:4	preservation
plenty	39:10 75:22 134:22	33:9,20,23 34:14	3:5 77:2 88:22 89:14
70:15,16 95:19	141:1 158:1,3 159:9	35:10 36:8,16	97:20 99:14 152:13
plot	160:8,9	preblasting	preserve
18:24	position	35:16 109:4	7:9,10 79:9,14 80:6
plumbing	7:14 54:19 126:6	precarious	preserved
120:3	157:24 161:7,22,23	65:2	79:21
point	168:6	precedence	president
8:22 11:17 13:16	positioned	62:15	97:7 118:1 119:12
50:16 53:1 54:18	85:14	precheck	pressure
55:5 56:10 57:4 69:3	positive	32:4	17:6 57:19 58:3,4
69:4 72:17 76:8	111:24	Precinct	presume
82:14,24 84:9 85:10	possibility	66:19 78:16 83:7	34:4
86:11 87:5 95:22	55:13	107:3	presumption
97:13 106:18 133:12	possible	precisely	79:13 80:6
134:10 144:4 147:10	39:11 46:1 48:12	67:9	presupposing
151:2,21 162:21	49:22 52:21 53:1	precluded	115:11
163:6 167:15 171:22	68:9 107:10 126:9	144:3	pretty
pointed	127:19 133:20 152:1	preexisting	41:14 46:4 57:3 65:13
29:19 56:21 64:7	156:4 167:6	33:12 86:14	65:15 66:14,15 71:19
96:14	possibly	preferred	71:22 108:15 121:21
points	55:2 95:24 110:8	138:19 145:9	prevent
54:6 80:15 97:2 98:1	post	prefers	17:13 18:15 20:10,23
105:14 141:10	107:13	137:22	23:5 25:24 80:24
167:12	postpone	preliminary	prevented
poles	66:11	84:18,20	19:18 23:7
41:3	potential	prepare	previous
police	20:15 127:20 128:13	167:3	32:15
51:21 52:1 61:15	141:12 150:17	prepared	previously
63:24 71:16	potentially	37:2 106:2 173:9	5:19 7:14 87:9 88:11
policies	52:19 53:5 68:23	presence	pre-survey
38:13	152:5	61:15 64:1	33:4
Policy	poured	present	primarily
89:24	21:3	16:4 27:10 40:3 83:3	68:7 71:1
pond	power	presentation	primary
17:17,19	94:1	4:9 5:2,2,3 11:6 15:20	151:12,12
poor	powerless	36:23 37:4,8 38:7	primer
75:22 76:11,13	66:13	70:24 94:8,9 158:16	16:5
poorly	practical	presented	principle
77:19 78:5 88:16	11:22	12:4 97:24 125:7	100:8
population	practically	132:13	principles
1 - 1			_ ^
	ı	1	ı

100:18	24:12	156:24 158:13 167:2	79:10,12 80:8,9
printed	produces	projected	86:15,18 88:15 89:7
126:22	21:4	78:21	115:1 124:19 140:19
prior	professional	projects	145:12
13:5 22:8 24:1 31:7	15:16 97:16	25:10,12 32:9 40:13	proposes
54:9 79:11	profile	66:12,22 91:3 109:17	138:7
priorities	96:8	113:7,10,11 137:24	proposing
4:8	profitable	project's	4:24 68:21 123:12
priority	93:17	81:21	138:2,13,14 156:3,4
80:1	program	project-based	165:10
private	6:4 10:8 61:5 97:7	91:20	protect
90:3	progress	promise	21:24 30:3 34:6 40:8
pro	22:3 147:15,24 148:8	75:8	43:11 94:2 100:20
87:21 93:22 115:7,20	148:11,12	prone	109:23 116:11
115:20	prohibitively	28:2	118:13
probably	82:12	proof	protected
10:3 22:24 30:15	project	72:5,11 81:19	48:11 50:22 51:11
53:14 66:7 101:8	5:20,22 19:19 20:22	proper	56:5 102:17 110:15
136:15	21:13 22:12,13,23	15:1 46:9	protecting
problem	25:14,19 27:1,2	properties	26:3 30:6
7:15 42:7,8 47:10	31:19 32:12,23 35:3	89:12 90:13	protection
77:21 82:15 83:4	35:22 36:14 38:1,10	property	24:2 74:5 80:2
86:23 136:18 143:19	39:6,10,10 40:1,7,20	6:23 40:8 51:5 54:16	protocols
160:11 166:15	40:24 41:2 43:15	57:5,9,13 75:23 76:8	36:24 37:3
167:16	44:24 45:6 66:22	78:24 89:16 90:5	prototype
problematic	67:14,15,18 68:5,18	92:15 103:17 116:17	133:22
87:11	73:3 74:18 75:6,21	116:22,23,24 117:1	prove
problems	75:21 76:1,21 77:1,7	117:11,15,16,18	72:20
21:1 47:18 51:3,8	77:8 78:9,10 79:2,22	123:22 124:6	proved
64:21 65:21	80:17 81:6,8,13	proponent	126:9
procedural	82:17 83:11,14,20	80:20 90:3 93:2	provide
72:12	84:3,8 85:17,19,20	proportion	20:4 46:6 55:20 56:14
procedures	86:9,15 88:12 90:4,8	69:23 70:9,22	57:11 83:8 86:3
15:1 24:20	91:2,6,12 93:21	proposal	93:13,22 154:10
proceed	94:17 95:5,6 96:14	74:21 75:12 76:11	provided
97:4	101:15 103:15	93:7 98:12 110:20	72:12 83:24 85:24
proceedings	108:20 112:2,3	111:6 120:16 157:3	provides
4:1 152:14 166:21	113:16 115:14	proposals	22:9 80:10 83:16
176:13 177:4	121:13 124:14	98:14	117:10
process	136:19,22,22 138:5,6	propose	providing
67:13 84:19 92:18	138:7,9,14,15,17	111:15,16,22	76:16 82:16
99:17,19,21 101:12	139:1 140:22 147:7	proposed	proving
113:2 114:19 122:16	147:12 148:2,21,24	15:9 45:20 57:6 66:22	34:10 82:6
148:16 163:3	151:9,15 152:6,15	73:19 74:15,23 75:21	provision
produce	153:2 154:12 156:7,9	75:21 78:9,22 79:2,7	72:6 79:2
P-outer	100.2 10 1.12 100.7,9		, ,
	I	I	I

	İ	I	I
provisions	24:15 30:5 31:11,13	172:12,14 173:21	raising
72:5	46:17 64:16 72:23	questions	117:17 121:2
proximate	76:1 82:21 94:20	9:7 13:17 24:23 25:1	raking
56:14	95:16 97:14 102:16	30:21 37:11 39:13	120:6
proximity	110:17 111:19	51:14 52:14 60:14	ramps
56:10	118:22 122:2 130:9	73:14 107:4,6,11,15	40:18
PTO	138:7 141:4 142:7	107:18,22 109:8	rare
117:24 119:12	152:4 165:12,20	122:19 133:7 152:9	59:1 99:10
Pu	puts	156:11,12	rat
2:24 73:4,4	138:9	quick	27:7 64:21 102:18
public	putting	49:14,22 51:15 114:5	rate
2:20 4:15,18 5:3,5	124:10 137:17 157:12	117:1	38:20 92:5
32:14 39:20 52:3	P.C	quickest	rating
61:3,4 76:16 123:3	2:12	49:20	41:11,11,15 94:23
177:2,15	p.m	quickly	ratings
puddingstone	1:9 4:2 5:4,4 23:23	15:23 45:10 52:5	41:9
27:16,20 28:5,10,14	171:18 176:13	114:6 149:18	ratio
77:4,24 151:3		quiet	8:1 11:24 126:11
puddingstones	Q	62:8,9,10	158:6
28:11	qualifications	quite	rats
pull	15:12 22:14	47:11 48:12 74:20	102:13
48:24	qualified	87:4 136:5	rattling
pulled	57:21	quote	19:11
59:16	quality	104:14	reach
pumper	64:8 65:4 73:16 77:6	quote/unquote	43:14
105:23,24 106:1	100:17,20	168:17	reaches
pumps	quals	quoting	40:9
58:4	23:10	90:7	reaction
purporting	quarter		27:17
105:1	16:19	R	read
purpose	quarterback	radiant	96:18,19,22 97:3
91:1	165:6	51:8	135:17 155:12
purposely	quarters	radical	reading
76:18	44:11 87:10	134:21	70:21
purposes	question	radius	real
49:4 55:21,24 154:5	11:5 27:15 30:8,14	40:18 41:17,20 56:18	19:16 20:14 45:10
pursue	35:2 38:2 52:15	raise	93:17 96:15 104:13
54:8 55:2	57:19,22,22 58:13	77:6 136:3,10 156:16	119:19
push	101:2,24 121:4	166:10	reality
7:6	122:14 126:14	raised	120:6
pushed	127:10 136:3,4,10	39:20 67:7 73:23 89:6	realize
7:9	137:9,12,17,19,23	102:12 120:24 123:3	111:4 119:7 135:21
pushing	138:11 142:12,16	133:8 170:16	really
87:16 120:5	152:12 156:16 160:1	raises	10:7 17:14 36:9,10
put	164:8,11 170:17	137:23	42:22 59:15 66:6,8

66:19 71:2 99:5	134:12	82:23	93:10 115:19 155:12
103:16 106:6 111:2	recommend	referring	regulatory
112:24 114:13	36:24 86:5 99:20	28:18 128:24	79:3 122:16
117:18 118:6 119:6	recommendation	refers	reimbursed
127:21 129:5,12	36:14 91:11 97:3	69:8	38:20
131:8 133:23 146:5	156:14	refinancing	reiterate
160:4 169:24 172:22	recommendations	92:6	55:14 56:1 88:10
Realty	15:10,24 16:4 25:9,23	reflected	132:23
1:7 2:14 5:14 51:18	26:17 30:5,9 39:9	128:23	reject
62:3 90:4 91:10,17	46:17 61:8 120:19	reflecting	85:17
92:21 101:7 103:20	recommended	67:18	rejected
104:15,24 114:18	32:15 35:4,11 99:14	reflects	76:10 85:19,22 104:24
115:5,18 117:9	133:17	5:21	relate
rear	recommending	regard	123:6
46:3,4	23:9,15 30:22 31:21	52:18	related
reason	32:1	regarding	9:11 54:6 97:1
49:11 67:21 83:5 87:2	recommends	67:2 81:20 83:15	relates
98:16 118:8 122:21	97:4	86:12 126:8 136:6	55:6 86:11 135:3,4
138:1 149:4 150:14	record	regardless	relationship
151:10	55:1 113:9 121:21	151:6	79:6
reasonable	157:19	region	relative
5:21 22:11 68:6 112:3	recorded	70:10	177:8
157:15	92:21	regional	relatively
reasonably	recreation	68:11 69:21 70:23	20:5
129:9	54:12 79:8	register	relay
reasons	recreational	76:24 89:22 99:15	144:12
20:16 29:16 67:14	78:8,15	153:15,18	relayed
76:10 170:20	red	registered	162:21
rebuild	44:6,6	90:5 94:5	release
95:17	redesign	regrets	44:10
recall	134:6	118:3	released
10:20 28:9	reduce	regrettable	17:1
received	66:22 67:15 75:15	87:2	releases
93:24 120:21,23	reduced	regular	43:23
receptacle	7:8 44:16,17 130:22	42:18 74:10	relevant
64:20	145:20 148:1	regulation	67:2,3 73:12 77:7 87:4
Reches	reducing	70:4,21 71:3,9,23 72:3	94:11 96:23 129:19
2:22 65:8,11,11	24:6 75:12 147:16	81:24 82:1 83:4,15	163:8
reclaim	reduction	84:13,23 85:6,13	relief
61:23	81:12 90:18	155:8	20:4 92:17
recognize	reference	regulations	rely
154:13	69:5,6,20	26:18,20,22 30:4 50:7	42:10 43:7,8 53:10
recognized	referenced	67:8,9 68:5,9 69:14	135:14,15 164:21
99:2,9	79:24	73:2,7 80:4 81:17	relying
recollection	referred	84:10,17 89:2 90:1,7	58:24
		,	
	I	I	I

remain	reports	6:20 10:11 18:4,16	rest
134:23	121:20	48:4,5 61:13 65:20	130:1,1 149:16
remaining	represent	76:7 100:4 119:24	restored
92:10 148:4	112:21 113:14 114:4	residents	132:13
remains	representations	24:1 40:13 61:22,24	restrict
24:22 104:16	67:3 83:13	64:18 66:5 73:17	148:24
remedy	representative	80:11 86:18 110:11	restricted
84:21 85:5,7	19:20 37:17 92:21	112:21 136:14,22	51:24 92:11 93:5
remember	request	139:20	restricted-use
50:23 170:11	109:4,7 144:16	resistant	46:7
removal	requested	29:15	restriction
11:7 145:24	5:17 145:17	resolution	52:6 80:24
remove	requests	81:7 144:8	restrictions
16:7	23:18 128:7 164:4	resolved	15:22 157:16
removed	require	34:12 97:17	result
5:19 16:22 38:23	11:9 12:7 16:8 29:1	resources	34:16 72:21
removing	38:16,18,22 44:19	77:3 88:22 153:3	resulting
134:21 147:5	48:18 50:20 56:12	respect	81:11
render	61:15 77:4 81:10	4:15 25:21 29:5 72:11	results
7:4	89:5 90:1,9 93:4	79:10 83:19 113:7	162:9
rendering	required	123:3,10 134:3	retained
11:3	9:5 21:6,14 23:4 24:7	162:16 167:17	14:24 37:14,16
renditions	47:22 49:2 93:22	respected	returned
165:14	requirement	37:1	5:16
renovations	8:18 20:24 22:15	respond	revenue
90:8	24:14,19 35:8 41:24	43:3 47:4 54:1 107:7	66:13
rental	49:2 67:23 72:20	107:24 112:10 121:4	revert
65:19 78:24 124:5,6,8	92:14 93:23 145:5	171:3	92:4
124:10	146:1 153:4,5	responds	review
renters	requirements	59:24	23:10,10,12,19 32:24
79:4	6:4 24:6 38:8 50:1,2,4	response	48:20 55:23 67:6
repaired	68:5,8 72:12 83:18	14:8 39:14,22 40:10	72:7 73:3,16,18
108:2	91:14 112:4 122:23	40:10 41:18 47:10	85:16 87:21 88:4
repeat	requires	53:21 56:12,14,19,23	90:13 115:7,20,21
159:19	44:8 58:16 73:19	57:8,16 58:16 59:7,8	153:22
repeatedly	92:24 153:24 155:9	59:10 60:15 91:10	reviewed
81:22	requiring	105:22 109:9 120:10	24:3 90:11
replace	50:14	122:22,24 123:2,11	reviewer
5:18	rescue	123:14 139:13 163:1	133:17 136:18 146:16
replacing	47:17	responses	reviewers
77:22	residences	107:12 133:9	97:12 146:17
report	1:8 4:4 26:10,15	responsibility	reviewing
134:13 144:22	resident	118:4	67:11 72:9 121:12
reporter	86:8 117:22	responsible	reviews
1:21 145:18 177:1	residential	39:7	89:23

	Ī		Ī
revised	River	role	S
4:9 5:15 8:9,20,20	21:18	71:8	safe
76:5 120:16 167:19	road	roll	18:15,17,18,19 20:13
172:3	2:22,23,24 3:3,4,7	107:10	22:12 25:3,5 26:15
rewritten	6:14,23 7:7,10 8:12	roof	39:11 48:12 86:20,20
84:11	9:22 12:12 44:21	6:7,17,19 10:9,16	86:21 87:10 151:24
ride	49:13,22 54:15 65:8	124:21 125:14 131:7	safely
133:5	73:5 74:7,23 75:4	131:12 161:9 163:18	90:24 122:24 148:18
ridiculous	94:4 101:1 108:3	room	148:18
110:19 111:5,11	111:11 116:11	31:13 104:19 105:3	safer
right	117:22 119:23	133:5	27:22 119:19 122:15
10:3 12:14,15 13:11	130:15,20 131:23	root	safety
16:17 21:8,16,18	132:1 134:10 137:10	135:12	4:15 5:3 15:2 21:5
29:22 40:1,11 45:13	154:22 161:17 162:2	rotated	25:21,24 28:2 29:5
45:14 47:6 49:13	162:19 169:17,18	95:10	39:20 48:6 52:1
50:18 72:1 82:14	170:6	Roughly	60:14 72:14,17,19,19
83:10 85:7,15,16	roads	158:7	72:21 73:10 86:12,18
94:16 96:5,10 100:16	75:2 77:13 98:24	round	90:23 93:8 106:7
105:2,18 107:5 108:3	100:3,5,5,13 116:15	20:12	111:7,7,8 116:16,22
109:12,24 118:22	119:24 137:11 149:1	rounds	116:23 120:17,20
119:6 126:4 128:9,12	roadway	21:1	122:19 123:3 136:7
128:15 129:4 131:1	52:23 55:16 57:11	Route	143:6,9 146:14
135:6,7 139:16	99:24	40:17	148:12 149:11,13
140:20 141:17,18,23	roadways	rows	151:13
142:22 143:16 145:2	57:6 89:17 90:17	105:4	Saint
146:14 154:3,4,9	93:16 100:1	Roxbury	91:5
156:13 164:12	Robert	62:7	sandstone
169:14,22 172:7,11	2:16 54:3	ruins	27:19
173:8,19,23	Robin	94:24	sanitary
rightly	3:7 100:24 121:5	ruling	95:24
54:19	rock	153:1 154:6	sat
right-hand	15:19 16:7,7,21 17:2	run	142:20
8:22	17:17 19:24 20:11,12	15:23 60:8 63:13	satisfactory
rigs	21:10,19 22:20 24:11	87:17	162:13
24:14	24:12,18,22 27:17,19	running	satisfy
risk	27:24 28:3,18,19,21	124:22 154:20 155:3	130:5
27:4 63:1 89:12	28:24 77:20 148:21	168:23	save
113:20 123:17	rocks	rupture	107:14
128:18	28:6,8 119:5	19:15,22,22 20:2	saw
riskless	rodent	Russett	45:20 76:6 120:1
27:2	27:13	2:23 6:14 63:3 74:7,22	135:8 150:9
risks	rodents	75:11 103:18 108:3	saying
25:24 28:3	27:10,11	116:11 119:12,23	36:10 95:8 110:24
risky	Rodriguez-Hudson	132:10 146:22 170:7	114:4,16 116:9
27:21	119:15		119:16 125:22 126:8
	-	-	-

128:17,18 142:11       Schwartz       163:13,13,13,16 164:3       separate         157:7 160:6,15 162:4       166:15 167:16       165:5 166:14 168:18       16:17 128:16         169:11 174:2       166:15 167:16       170:14       sequence         says       171:20 172:7,11       seeds       14:12         34:7 41:23 68:3 69:10       173:9 175:2,13,15,24       seeds       17:15 73:14 89:7         69:18 70:4 72:3       science       15:13       seeing       17:15 73:14 89:7         78:20 79:1,6 82:2       15:13       95:9,11 143:10       serve         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       seek       78:5 158:19         8cale       seeking       74:22 75:4         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       149:4       service         89:11 125:3,10,12       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,11         scales       18:11 19:1,4 21:21       165:15 168:16 170:9       82:16 117:10
157:7 160:6,15 162:4       2:15 92:20 101:18       165:5 166:14 168:18       16:17 128:16         169:11 174:2       166:15 167:16       170:14       sequence         14:12       14:12       serious         34:7 41:23 68:3 69:10       173:9 175:2,13,15,24       115:12       serious         69:18 70:4 72:3       science       seeing       17:15 73:14 89:7         78:20 79:1,6 82:2       5cience       seeing       17:15 73:14 89:7         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       seek       78:5 158:19         115:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       seeking       74:22 75:4         6:5 77:8 81:13 87:17       seams       149:4       service         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       25:8 36:23 44:20       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       services         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,11
169:11 174:2       166:15 167:16       170:14       sequence         34:7 41:23 68:3 69:10       171:20 172:7,11       15:12       serious         69:18 70:4 72:3       science       15:13       95:9,11 143:10       serve         78:20 79:1,6 82:2       15:13       95:9,11 143:10       serve         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       seek       78:5 158:19         15:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       seeking       74:22 75:4         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       149:4       service         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,13
says       171:20 172:7,11       seeds       14:12         34:7 41:23 68:3 69:10       173:9 175:2,13,15,24       seeds       15:12         69:18 70:4 72:3       science       15:13       seeing       17:15 73:14 89:7         78:20 79:1,6 82:2       15:13       scope       seek       78:5 158:19         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       seek       78:5 158:19         115:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       seeking       74:22 75:4         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       14:12         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
34:7 41:23 68:3 69:10       173:9 175:2,13,15,24       115:12       serious         69:18 70:4 72:3       78:20 79:1,6 82:2       15:13       95:9,11 143:10       serve         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       seeking       74:22 75:4         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       149:4       service         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
69:18 70:4 72:3       science       seeing       17:15 73:14 89:7         78:20 79:1,6 82:2       15:13       95:9,11 143:10       serve         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       78:5 158:19         115:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       seeking       74:22 75:4         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       149:4       service         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
78:20 79:1,6 82:2       15:13       95:9,11 143:10       serve         84:17,23 110:7 115:5       5cope       78:5 158:19         115:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       5eeking       74:22 75:4       service         89:11 125:3,10,12       5eams       149:4       service         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
84:17,23 110:7 115:5       scope       seek       78:5 158:19         115:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       seeking       74:22 75:4         6:5 77:8 81:13 87:17       34:8 154:17       149:4       service         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       services         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
115:19       66:22 72:1 138:21       47:1 91:18 164:5       served         scale       se       seeking       74:22 75:4         6:5 77:8 81:13 87:17       34:8 154:17       149:4       service         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       services         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
scale         se         seeking         74:22 75:4           6:5 77:8 81:13 87:17         34:8 154:17         149:4         service           89:11 125:3,10,12         seams         41:8 44:9,13 82:12           135:7 157:13         20:17         25:8 36:23 44:20         140:4 154:10           scaled         47:21 63:8,12 103:17         services           132:24 133:1 135:23         4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19         131:10 150:20         42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
6:5 77:8 81:13 87:17       34:8 154:17       149:4       service         89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       services         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
89:11 125:3,10,12       seams       seen       41:8 44:9,13 82:12         135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       services         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
135:7 157:13       20:17       25:8 36:23 44:20       140:4 154:10         scaled       47:21 63:8,12 103:17       services         132:24 133:1 135:23       4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19       131:10 150:20       42:11 81:21 82:5,6,12
132:24 133:1 135:23   4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19   131:10 150:20   42:11 81:21 82:5,6,1
132:24 133:1 135:23   4:10 7:15 11:19 16:19   131:10 150:20   42:11 81:21 82:5,6,1
, ,
113:3 79:18 96:12 98:5 <b>seismograph serving</b>
scaling 106:11,15 115:17 23:11 158:22,24
147:22 134:15 145:24 151:6 seismographs session
scenario 158:1 94:20,23 102:5 106:21 167:3,1
98:10 <b>secondly selected</b> 167:24 171:9 173:2
scene 47:14 95:22 89:3 sessions
42:1 149:14 <b>section selectman</b> 101:11 170:17,19
SCHARF 16:7 61:11 75:18 104:11 172:23
107:17,21 125:6 140:1 155:9 <b>Selectmen</b> set
<b>schedule sections</b> 71:18 87:14 88:9,11 6:8 7:10,13 8:13 10:5
4:22,23 5:8 106:23   170:12   105:9   30:2 59:13 62:15
126:14,15,16,17,18 <b>securing selfish</b> 72:5,10 73:6 76:18
127:17 172:18,19
173:12 174:1 see send 98:14 125:11 168:1
<b>scheduled</b> 5:16,16 6:6,16,18 10:1 42:10 58:23 59:18 172:2 175:19 176:2
5:5 171:18 10:4,5 11:1 12:24 <b>sense</b> 177:5
scheduling 13:11,20 15:1 18:9 112:22 122:21,21 setbacks
171:14 19:1 21:1,15 28:5,7 123:11 124:19 170:2
school 32:5 33:4,13 45:2,21 129:22,24 130:4,19 setting
56:11 59:22,23 60:5 48:9,16 49:1 50:22 158:4 159:15 161:8 22:11 62:11 80:14
61:3,4,7,16,17 62:10 51:15 59:14 63:16 162:21 163:18 167:5 seven
63:4,5,24 64:5 65:18
75:1 78:17,19 82:21
90:22 97:10 105:24
106:21,23,24 116:13
116:14 117:7 154:15
154:15,17,20 155:1,4
schools 156:7,7,24 157:14 sentence shaking
83:1 160:2 161:16,16,17 92:19 34:22

share	7:7,16,18 12:13,22,22	79:7,10,12,13 80:6,8	6:19
94:11 170:18	57:7 59:15 64:18	80:9,9 89:9 90:24	slow
sharp	98:15 106:19 137:5	95:4 98:3,8,13,13,18	146:23
40:18	145:16 160:18 167:4	109:20 113:18 116:6	slowing
sheets	169:5,22	122:20 123:1 152:16	146:21
94:19	signage	153:9	small
SHI	46:9	sited	17:19 18:14 29:18
67:24 69:8 70:16	signed	147:17	42:23,24 43:1,20
shift	97:6,12,13,14	sites	49:16 63:10 100:13
12:23 39:19	significant	27:14 111:10	110:17 116:11
shooting	25:10,12,15,16 80:1	siting	137:10 147:9
167:18	88:20 90:16 93:11	147:21	smaller
short	99:7 122:11 156:13	sitting	10:11,12 47:23 48:1,3
12:4 15:20 19:8	156:15,19 165:16	107:17 109:18 112:15	50:18 100:5 106:3
166:18 174:16	significantly	118:16 130:10	121:12 130:24
shorter	8:2 66:21 97:5 162:20	situation	135:24
15:21	signing	34:11 37:5 44:7 46:2	smallest
shorthand	97:15	51:12 53:17 63:17	108:5
177:7	signs	65:2 87:7 112:5,6	snow
shot	102:16	142:22	74:9
60:17 123:20	silence	situations	Society
shots	130:11	35:22 64:2	81:6 95:6
21:10	similar	six	soil
show	17:17,20 55:23 71:17	13:22 66:23 71:20	77:19
16:10 23:2 31:16	99:8 119:24 120:24	size	somebody
43:18 60:1 96:8	simply	5:19 42:13 49:6 87:16	31:5 33:17 36:7 124:3
110:5 120:1 141:14	57:13 98:14 121:11,16	89:10,18 111:11	172:16
142:10 176:4	146:1	125:3 137:24 147:16	somebody's
showed	single	sized	114:7
125:11 161:8,9	19:21 23:5 154:24	138:10	someone's
showing	single-family	skeleton	30:16 31:13
43:19	76:20 124:11	95:14	Somerville
shown	single-student	skip	42:16
55:20 96:6 139:21	155:3	74:13	somewhat
165:13,14,22	sir	skunk	29:24 146:18 148:24
shows	175:16	64:20	son
18:24 37:4,6	sister	sky	61:6
shrubs	99:8	62:15	sonic
165:22	sit	sleep	17:5
shuttle	109:14 119:17 172:18	30:16	sorry
97:9 154:10,19,20	site	slides	50:12 131:18 140:6
155:3	5:15 9:13 24:10,12,16	15:22 19:13 30:8	148:7
sic	25:2,6 27:9 38:17,17	slightly	sort
104:14	39:1 54:14 72:14	130:18	17:5 18:3 48:21 52:6
side	73:14 75:19,21 78:9	sloped	107:10 124:18
		- r	
	1	I	I

130:24 131:7,15	136:2	116:10	standpipe
156:21 159:11,14	speaker	spread	48:21 50:14 58:8
161:6 163:18	74:19	64:19	standpipes
sorts	speaking	sprinkler	49:3
33:15	38:6 39:9,21 46:13	47:9,23 48:2,4,5 51:11	
	*		standpoint
<b>sought</b> 155:10	87:14 92:20 102:14	56:1 57:20	25:15
	112:13 118:1 131:19	sprinklered	stands
sound	135:22 148:9 149:15	48:17	89:2 153:14
32:5 157:19	155:19 169:9	square	Stanley
sounding	speaks	9:1	3:1 86:7
119:5	60:20	SRM	Stantec
sounds	special	96:24 97:5,6	2:13 57:24
87:11 108:15 155:13	23:8 61:4	stability	start
sources	specific	24:18	101:2
91:22	50:7 67:7 73:11 74:24	staff	started
south	122:17 138:9 164:11	5:13 101:19 122:3	9:23 95:7 131:8
1:8 3:9 7:16,18 61:13	170:3	128:14 150:19	148:11
116:3,12 123:13,14	specifically	155:20,24 166:8	starting
124:13 138:3 169:4	51:19 55:15,19 57:7	stage	62:22 114:15 167:1
so-called	76:11 78:13 79:1,23	47:22	starts
169:4	86:21	stair	27:12 131:12
space	specifications	10:20,23 11:1 160:12	state
7:15,19,20 9:4,11	22:5	160:14,20	21:13,15 23:17 32:14
12:17 61:23 62:13	specifics	staircase	35:8 40:16 41:13
72:15,21 76:15,17,18	46:18	48:24	83:14,19 84:2,4,6
77:14,22 78:11,13,15	speculate	stairs	89:24 90:2,10 92:9
79:3,7,12,15,16,21	168:19	160:19	94:5 99:16 149:10
79:23,24 80:2,4,7,10	speed	stairway	151:19 152:17,20
80:13,14 81:14 85:22	17:23 18:8	21:17 160:19	stated
93:9 97:7,9 99:1,23	speeds	stairways	40:11 81:22 101:11
110:8,15 142:6 144:5	117:2	133:13 134:16	statement
156:22 158:21	spending	stairwell	29:11 92:13,20
spaced	44:12	8:15 160:22	states
20:7 24:21	spent	stairwells	81:24 91:13 103:20
spaces	112:11	8:17,17	104:13
7:24 9:4,9,12 11:15	Spiegel Spiegel	stand	stating
78:15,21 79:10,14	3:1 86:7,7	165:3	151:11
81:12 106:15 126:12	spinal	standard	station
147:19,23 158:7,19	21:21	38:19 55:17 56:4 91:3	49:11 56:17 82:21
158:21 159:20	spoke	95:1,2 137:20	stations
spacing	114:12	95.1,2 157.20 standards	56:14,16,22
20:6,20 21:2	spoken	41:5 89:5 94:22 95:2	statistics
20.0,20 21.2 speak	39:24 108:4 132:5	113:18	123:24
14:24 39:19,23 60:21	139:21		statute
122:8 130:15 132:4		standing	71:3
122.0 130:13 132:4	spots	119:8 135:17	/1.3
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

steel	stories	structure	successes
26:5 95:13,22,23	6:9 10:13,13 28:10	31:10 125:9 130:21,23	95:20
steer	103:7,11,11 114:24	131:6 161:11	successful
171:5	114:24 115:1 125:6	structures	76:22
STEINFELD	131:8 157:21 161:18	18:4,16,19 22:3 23:1	sudden
171:15 174:11,18	162:12	31:4,15 43:13 49:18	131:12,15
175:11,14,16	Storrs	77:11 161:20	suffices
stemming	2:15	struggle	37:3
16:12 20:19	story	61:23	sufficient
step	132:15 158:16 166:18	STS	57:19 93:21 165:21
33:10 124:19,20 125:1	172:2	97:4	suggest
150:14	stove	stuck	85:16,23 107:8 167:1
stepping	43:21	141:20 142:3	suggested
16:11	straight	stuff	7:2 122:13 156:18
steps	45:8,23	22:6 84:10,14 113:21	suggesting
15:10	straining	113:23	50:11 70:12 106:19
Steve	146:18	stunned	suggestion
2:23 66:18	street	130:10	142:24 144:14 167:10
Steven	1:11,16 2:21 3:9 40:23	style	suitable
2:15	51:18 56:17 59:3,5	80:15	165:1
stick	62:21 63:2,3 110:18	subject	Suite
174:17	116:3,12,19 118:10	35:6,7 83:17 89:23	1:16
stipulate	streets	92:16	summarize
80:5	30:6 57:20 61:1 62:6	submit	98:1 159:13
stipulated	63:23 64:16,19 74:18	107:11 109:10 171:22	summary
46:14 48:9	116:11,12 139:22	172:3 175:24 176:5	8:20 23:3
stipulates	stress	submitted	Superintendent
71:9	57:14	88:13 90:14	83:1
stipulation	stretch	subsequent	support
48:16	63:6	40:2 152:14	78:1 83:9 113:9
stipulations	strip	subsidized	suppose
47:7	76:15	61:11 68:10,19,23	29:9
stood	strollers	69:6,12 70:17	supposed
105:4	63:10	subsidizing	70:6 72:9 114:19,20
stop	strong	91:15	sure
44:22 52:8 53:4 134:4	95:23 101:23	subsidy	14:16 38:14 46:14
152:15	stronger	91:18 92:5	47:7 53:3 58:2 59:22
stopped	18:23 26:8 29:24 30:1	substantial	64:9 66:23 70:8
144:4	strongly	55:15	74:11 80:22 87:5
stopping	114:23 115:1,3 152:4	substantially	93:24 94:10 95:19
39:2	struck	67:15 85:19	103:17 109:11
stops	112:24	suburban	113:13 115:23 124:1
63:4 154:19 169:2	structural	103:15	130:13 138:14
stores	8:6 18:17 94:5,12 95:3	success	143:20 152:10
66:5	95:17	113:5	156:10 168:22

	1	1	1
170:24 173:13 174:8		163:24	87:19
surely	T	Taunton	testify
93:19	154:19	40:17	71:20
surface	take	taxes	testimony
19:16	8:7 10:2 15:8,10 18:12	117:8,8,14,17	4:18 5:5 54:9 67:1
surfaces	42:4 43:6 47:11,15	teacher	71:1
77:23	60:16 61:24 69:20	61:3 97:10	testing
surgery	70:4 71:24 77:12	teachers	31:1
21:21	108:22 109:2 110:3	64:6	tests
surprised	114:7 118:8 119:13	technical	58:2
132:11		94:17 97:1,2	thank
surrounding	142:11 148:19 155:4	technically	11:4 13:11 14:9 15:5,6
76:5,17 77:11,18,19	162:3 163:15 169:8	82:7 133:12 134:4	24:24 27:5 29:2 30:7
survey	171:15	161:24	30:20 35:14 36:19
32:16 33:9,23 34:14	taken	technology	37:9 38:2,4 39:12
34:24 35:1,5 36:1,17	14:23 24:9 71:23	56:7	52:13,17 53:22 60:12
71:13 96:6,7	73:18,23 79:5,10	Ted	60:13 61:19 65:3,6,7
surveys	131:16 145:15	133:18	66:17 73:4 86:5.6
22:2,7 23:14 31:4	147:23 166:22 177:4	teenagers	87:11 88:7 94:2
32:10 33:21 35:10,16	177:7	62:24	97:18 100:21,23
36:8	takes	tell	105:7 106:24 107:1
survival	31:5 44:3 118:14	61:21 65:9 83:1,23	112:20 115:24 116:1
165:2	125:2 147:19	111:17 131:24	117:20 120:8 124:15
survive	Talerman	144:15 171:1	124:17 129:4 144:24
135:12 164:24	3:8 101:18 112:19,20	telling	145:1 157:17 169:18
susceptible	112:20	70:21	175:16 176:10
26:5	talk		Thanks
suspect	15:24 16:2 47:9 65:22	<b>temperature</b> 96:24 97:1	117:19
29:9	102:8 103:10,13		theater
suspended	114:10 136:11	ten 13:23 77:3 147:10,11	109:18
176:13	171:21	tenants	theory
	talked	110:9	59:12
system	8:14 142:19 173:24		
48:5,15,22 49:5,24	talking	tend	thing
50:13,14 51:11 58:10	45:18 46:18 49:24	125:19,22	17:13,21 27:3 31:2
61:4 73:19 74:3	62:6 66:20 117:12	term	58:5 74:6 83:10 84:9
160:14	123:20,21,22 152:19	10:16 71:11 125:8	96:22 108:5 109:13
systems	158:10 160:2 169:24	termed	111:14 114:10
40:16 47:10,23 48:3,4	170:5	44:2	118:14,23 120:2
48:21 49:8,9 55:16	talks	terms	139:18 140:24 150:8
56:1 57:20 160:12	46:5 47:6 72:6	54:14 65:16 69:11	162:3 165:5 168:2,4
S7	tall	100:1 101:23 106:11	173:5
5:23 105:15 135:5,21	90:18 105:17	134:21 135:5 147:15	things
145:8 150:3,5 161:21	taller	163:9 170:1,2 171:20	8:23 18:18 20:10 22:2
176:4	131:2 145:10	173:10	25:24 38:11 40:3
	taste	tested	48:15 54:13 65:23
F			

74:2 95:9,11 98:2	175:20,22	17:17	told
100:12 102:7,21	thinking	tied	46:13 110:14
107:21 118:8 119:1	173:10	42:14 49:9,19 60:6,6	Tom
120:24 121:3,9 125:4	third	60:10,10 121:9	77:23 135:9 164:23
125:16 126:2 130:23	4:14 7:23 11:18 41:2	ties	tonight
150:21 161:12	49:21 69:3 95:6,9	6:20 45:4	58:21 65:6 120:15
173:18	152:7	tight	127:24 129:5 130:5
think	third-and-a-half	40:18	132:13 143:18
26:2 28:17 30:9 31:23	151:7	tilted	155:21
		113:3	
32:18 33:1 45:1	third-party 49:11	time	Tonight's
46:20 54:9,16,22			4:7
55:1,4,12 57:3 58:1	Thornton	4:17 8:15 10:8 12:5	top
65:3 73:18,20,22	51:16 55:9 141:18	13:14 14:5,16 15:21	16:11 28:18 96:10
74:4,12,20 75:6,12	144:2	16:15,18 36:22 38:18	165:17
83:22 93:22 96:14,22	thought	39:22 41:4,7 42:3,18	topics
97:22 98:2,21 100:16	13:1 22:19 86:4 94:11	44:3,8,12 45:21 48:7	129:18
101:13 103:16 106:9	100:1 125:10 157:23	52:9,9,10,12 56:12	topographical
107:12 109:23 110:1	158:15 159:8 175:23	56:12,19,23 57:16	82:10
110:16 111:2,18	thoughtful	58:16 60:5,17,21	total
112:8,16 113:12	118:5	65:6 84:22 87:1	9:1 148:7
114:14 117:18 122:8	thoughts	90:13 91:17 92:7	totally
122:9,24 124:20	104:3 144:21 163:1	97:18 105:22 107:9,9	66:10
125:2,2,13,14,18,19	threat	107:14 109:18	touch
126:6,9,12,22 128:5	23:6 72:22	111:17 113:18	68:15 69:3
128:17 129:10 130:8	three	115:15 117:7 123:11	Touloukian
130:9,14,16 133:17	8:10 9:3 10:13,13 42:4	123:15 129:24	133:18 134:11
133:23 134:14,17	68:2 72:18 73:1	139:13 145:20	Touloukian's
135:3,4,8,10,14,16	103:10 108:14,18	149:18 156:15 157:8	162:20 163:7
136:4,10,12,20,23,23	109:1,3 112:17	157:23 163:5 166:12	tower
139:3,14 140:23	114:24 117:23 125:6	168:21 170:12 177:5	10:23 11:1
143:1,5,17 144:8	125:23 131:8 151:1,4	timer	town
145:3,4,14 147:8,14	157:21 161:4,18	16:14	1:10 14:24 15:7 35:23
147:20,23 148:13,14	170:20,21 171:3	times	36:3 37:1 38:24 39:2
148:14,17,18 149:11	three-and-a-half	19:2,4 40:14 63:9	39:7 49:14 51:20
149:22 150:4,12,19	132:15	116:8,13 138:19	54:8,21 63:1,14 66:3
151:10,16,17 152:2	three-floor	timewise	66:15,19 71:2 73:5
153:23 155:6,7 157:7	150:15	172:4	79:11,15,18 82:18
159:12,14,16 161:4,6	three-story	timing	83:6 86:9 91:23
161:22,24 162:5,6,6	7:1 125:21 135:1,17	166:11 170:18	93:19 101:19 103:22
162:16,22,24 163:11	158:17 169:16	today	106:19 107:2 110:11
163:20,21,22 164:18	threshold	15:3 22:2 45:20 76:6	114:4 117:12 135:8
164:20 165:2,6,18	70:7,12,13	101:22 102:2 105:3	149:16 153:16 154:2
167:13,19 168:4,22	throw	113:1 142:22	164:23
169:7 172:8,15,16,20	20:11,12	today's	townhouses
172:22,23 174:16	throwing	148:10	6:10
112.22,23 117.10	unowing	170.10	0.10
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		1	
towns	17:18 18:2,7,9 41:7	5:9 97:24 106:22	two-and-a-half-story
113:2,5,14	tray	125:18 129:5 133:19	95:14
town's	11:19 12:10 13:10	139:4 163:5 168:18	two-mile
4:11,12 35:24 55:12	158:18	172:1 174:10	41:20
71:10 81:21 107:12	treated	trying	two-story
traction	99:10	11:15 13:8 44:12,13	6:10,10 7:20
113:2	treating	52:11 63:2 83:23	type
trading	68:19	117:14 133:5 163:23	18:4,16 28:14 42:21
115:8	treatments	164:1,7 172:3	46:10 107:21 133:24
traditionally	6:8	Tufts	types
124:7	tree	15:13	27:19,24 37:6 121:13
traffic	78:1	turn	133:14
63:7 71:16 74:16,18	trees	14:23 44:13,16 45:8	typical
74:20,23,24 75:1,14	6:16 62:16 78:2 135:9	47:5 134:14,15 140:4	32:22 33:2 35:3
81:10 83:15 100:2	135:11,12,15 164:9	turnaround	typically
117:5,6 118:7 119:11	165:16,22	142:4	26:19 32:9
126:3 136:13,17,18	tremendous	turned	
146:15,16,19,19,21	163:2	42:21	U
146:23,24 148:12	trick	turning	ultimately
154:11,18 155:2	132:2	46:1 61:13 100:7	54:11 128:22
training	tricks	145:2	unacceptable
50:9	130:23 131:4	turn-around	8:3
transcript	triggers	44:15 46:23	unanimous
177:6	153:22	turn-arounds	162:11
transcripts	troublesome	44:3	unanimously
121:19	28:7	Twelve	88:15
transfer	truck	13:24 14:4	unarguable
51:9	39:2 41:16,17,19	two	68:17
transient	42:11 53:2 58:22,23	6:9 8:5,16 13:4 18:10	unchecked
65:22,23 124:1,4	64:23 105:23 106:1,1	29:16 33:22 41:17	47:15
transitional	106:5 114:6 120:3	42:2 44:20 56:21	underground
131:9 161:20	133:6	61:2,2 63:1 67:21	18:19 106:11
transmission	trucking	68:16 72:18 75:13	underlying
27:18	148:21	76:6 81:16 95:5 98:2	88:17
transmissions	trucks	103:11 104:5 110:1	undermines
53:14	24:15 39:1 44:6 64:19	111:20 112:17,22	88:16 underneath
transmitted	105:10 137:11 140:8	114:24 120:2 124:10	26:13 53:12,12
59:7	true	125:23 137:20	20:13 53:12,12 understand
transportation	40:14 42:8 150:15	138:20 140:19	11:15 12:3 25:22 26:3
2:16 54:4 155:9,22	177:6,12	141:12,19,22 143:16	26:7 27:16 32:3
trapped	truly	144:17 159:7,20	39:21 40:5 42:9 53:9
149:17	62:13 98:3 99:7	160:11,12 162:12,13	56:8 66:2 73:8 84:14
trash	trust	168:10 169:13	85:12 90:20 98:5
64:19 73:22,23 74:1	91:22 110:10 113:13	170:24 171:2,8	113:10 119:7 123:16
travel	try	172:13 173:22 174:4	113.10 117./ 123.10

124:21,21,23 125:21	15:14	22:4 34:23 168:5	51:22 63:20 74:10
128:12 133:8 143:20	unlock	uses	123:7 137:4,15 139:3
143:21 149:6,9 154:8	52:8	6:8	139:5,6 149:5,17
156:2,18 159:11	unmitigated	ushering	151:16
160:15 162:3 163:19	65:16	113:6	vehicular
169:11 172:4 173:4	unpopular	usually	136:13 149:23
173:10	135:21	34:23	velocity
understanding	unsafe	utilities	17:22 18:8
35:16 40:2 101:20	86:22 87:1,8 138:13	30:6 96:5	versa
130:17 141:16 172:1	138:13,15,17	utility	51:19 59:16
understood	unsubsidized	96:10	version
12:20 38:14 100:9	68:10,19 69:1	utilization	132:12,20 176:3
133:15 164:9	untouched	78:21	versus
undertake	121:22	utilize	84:16 114:24 124:7,10
115:7	unusual	41:11	161:4
undesirable	25:8 82:9 122:20	utilized	vertical
20:11	123:1	20:22 42:22 58:21	16:9,9
undue	update	U.S	veterans
151:17	127:2	18:5 22:12 23:4	93:14
unfair	updated		Veteran's
43:9,11 112:16	126:15,17	V	47:2 93:15
unfortunately	Upland	value	VFW
161:8	3:3	64:9 118:9 155:24	52:19 53:3,7 54:6 75:8
UNIDENTIFIED	upper	values	81:3 110:20 115:3
124:15	7:21	88:24 93:8 143:6	116:18 136:6,8,19,21
unique	upside	variables	137:8,14 139:2,4
25:3	66:8	27:20,23	149:4,10,24 151:10
unit	urban	varies	152:2,3 170:9
10:21,22 91:7 126:12	91:7 99:3 103:15	6:7	viability
150:6 158:8 159:21	110:19	various	164:9
units	urge	27:19 55:17 71:3	viable
5:20 6:20 7:24 8:10	65:3 67:13 100:19	100:4	87:18
9:2 10:2,17,21,24	106:9 151:22 152:4	Varrell	vibration
11:12 57:9,16 74:22	urged	3:4 94:3,4	17:6,7 18:4,22 22:11
75:4 76:18 77:14,16	66:21	varying	22:22 23:12,20 27:18
78:6 91:4,19 92:2,3,7	usable	6:17	33:13,14
92:10,14,23 93:3,5	77:13	vast	vibrations
93:17 105:3,5 123:16	use	49:7	17:9,16,18 19:3 23:3
124:10 126:2 134:16	6:18 20:23 21:3 24:4	vastly	26:15 34:17
145:24 146:13 148:2	24:19 40:15 42:12	125:11	vibration-related
148:3 150:11,13,13	77:15 80:8 139:4,8	vegetation	29:15
158:17,19 159:2	162:20	165:9	vice
160:17 161:13 162:8	useable	vehicle	51:18 59:16 97:7
169:5,6	9:3 78:10	74:9,11 75:7	vicinity
University	useful	vehicles	107:5
	I	I	I

		1	
videotape	162:6 170:22	103:24 104:18 105:4	45:8 49:1,11 53:8
20:24	vouch	105:16,21 113:19	56:13 63:14 64:14,21
videotaped	61:22	115:22 118:3,12	67:9 70:8 85:14,14
24:5 110:5	vouchers	119:2,7,9 121:4	88:2,3 98:16 100:9
view	91:20	128:18 129:10,15	100:11 101:13
6:16 10:24 50:16	vulnerable	130:9 131:22 132:4	105:20 121:11,12
130:20 133:11,14	28:14,17 29:10,13	133:24 139:19	125:6,10,11 140:11
134:3 147:10 163:9	98:12,17	141:14,16 146:10	147:6,17 150:12
164:16 167:12,15		148:23 150:2 157:10	160:17 161:7 164:2,7
viewed	W	159:13 161:15,16,17	166:14 168:2 176:4,4
6:5	Wachowski	161:18,18,19 163:6	ways
views	2:21 60:23,24	163:12 167:14 168:9	32:7,12 67:21
125:16	wager	169:8,13 175:19	weaker
vigorously	62:7 64:13	176:10	47:16
88:14	wait	wanted	weathered
village	60:7 174:24	14:6 35:15 65:11 74:5	28:19,22
41:1,4 56:11 57:9,12	waiting	81:17 86:10 103:2,4	week
58:20 62:13,19 63:19	75:14 84:4 151:18	110:4 120:7	8:8 11:3 50:9 95:6,10
63:23 64:4,15 75:22	waiver	wanting	103:4 125:5 131:5,17
76:16,16,18,22 79:4	155:10 171:22 172:3	55:1	132:21 133:10
79:17,20 80:11,15	175:24 176:6	wants	135:19,20 159:8,19
88:16,18,20 89:20	waivers	78:24 133:22 134:5	172:13 173:22
92:2 97:22 104:4	127:19 128:2,5,7,11	173:11	weeks
105:19 135:4 136:14	174:5 175:18 176:9	War	95:5 108:22 173:22
137:21 140:22	walk	93:14	weight
162:24	116:14 117:2	warning	46:9
visible	walked	24:1	welcome
8:12 9:21 10:23	103:17	Washington	29:3 36:21 62:23
133:20 134:10 162:1	walking	1:11	63:15 102:16 105:7
visit	110:5	wasn't	welcoming
63:15	wall	10:23 40:3 94:18	93:13
visitors	31:14,20	118:2 143:15	went
102:20	walls	watch	95:5 97:9 100:18
visual	26:4,9 29:16 30:1	20:5 62:23 167:11	weren't
130:15 170:2	34:18,20 165:13	watched	13:5
visually	want	63:10	west
125:1 132:1,9 169:15	14:16,22 15:1 17:1	water	57:7 62:7 145:15
visuals	31:12 34:8 38:14,24	32:2 48:22 53:12	
10:20 98:20	38:24 42:8 43:5,7		160:8,20
	45:2 47:7 48:10,11	57:19 71:15 73:13,14	wet 24:14
Volume	48:13 49:21 52:2,7	waves	24:14   we'll
1:1	53:10 54:18 64:10,22	17:7,18 18:2,7,9	* * *
voted	69:4 72:16 73:21	way 7.5 9.12 10.4 11 16.5	4:11 22:6 43:8 98:19
79:19	86:16 87:5 94:7	7:5 8:12 10:4,11 16:5	102:8 109:9 112:7
voting	96:12 97:13 103:21	19:1 25:3,6 27:21	140:13 142:7 155:22
148:23 150:24 156:3	70.12 77.13 103.21	30:24 32:4 34:10	163:15,16 164:3
	l		l

		1	
168:18 176:5,8	110:12 157:12 167:2	works	6:15 89:9 104:12
we're	172:14 173:21,22	42:12 88:2,3	112:11,11 119:16
8:4 13:18 14:22 22:2	windows	world	years
26:3,15 39:15 43:6	19:11,12 95:7 108:11	27:4 93:14	15:15,18 22:16 29:8
47:11 49:13 50:6	108:12	worried	40:21 41:3 50:5
52:18 53:24 55:1,4	wing	74:1,7 110:24	59:12,22 61:2,6,13
55:22 56:20 60:10	10:16	worries	61:21 62:15 68:20
62:6 68:2,7,16 73:11	Winn	102:9	93:1 95:9 96:1
74:1,7 77:21 78:12	91:24 92:5	worse	117:13 125:24
82:15,23 102:24	winter	75:5 77:21 119:1	147:11,11
110:3 115:15 117:5	6:13,23	worst	young
117:11,14 118:16,18	Wishinsky	119:16	117:23
119:1 123:20,21,22	3:2 87:13,13	worth	youngest
127:21,23 131:11	withstand	119:4	61:3
135:5 139:19,23	18:4,21 32:6	worthy	01.3
140:4,11,12,14 144:7	women	136:4	$\mathbf{Z}$
145:2,4 148:3,10	51:1	wouldn't	ZBA
150:10 151:18	wonder	12:8,19 33:1 110:23	38:9 88:12 89:2,8
156:23 159:13,16	137:1	123:18 124:12	92:22 93:4,23
164:14 165:10 167:1	wondering	144:14 164:17	zero
167:17 169:24 170:4	107:6 108:7	write	27:4
	Woods	88:2	zone
172:1,8,10 174:6,10			20:2,13
174:11 175:24 176:4	94:13,15,21	writing	zoning
we've	word	46:17 86:3 107:11,16	1:5 9:6 92:17 155:8
5:16 6:3,19 8:11,16,24	61:20 131:19 149:7	109:9 144:21 166:4	Zuroff
9:2 14:15 32:13 54:9	wording	written	2:6 4:6 13:19,24 14:6
67:3 71:21 72:10	86:17	91:10 121:24	30:21 31:18 32:1,13
76:3 77:5,20 81:18	words	wrong	32:22 33:3,16 37:12
85:18,24 93:24 97:23	12:14 130:9,12	85:21 118:14,19,23	· ·
102:2,10 111:7,8,10	work	126:23 151:16 154:1	37:21 38:2 52:15
112:11 120:21 121:1	11:23 27:12 34:9	171:7	53:9,17 126:4 146:8
128:23 131:10	44:14 53:5 55:10		146:10 147:3,14
132:19 143:13	98:15 106:22 113:14	X	148:7,10 149:6,16
147:24 163:2 166:21	119:18 129:1 135:11	XVI	152:8,11,19 153:4,7
171:7 173:6 174:2	143:18 151:22 152:2	1:1	153:12,19 154:3,8
175:5,8	155:22 161:1 172:18	Y	156:2,17 161:12
who've	173:2,12 174:3	-	\$
86:24	worked	yards 16:8	\$5
widening	44:18 104:9 128:14		•
55:16	working	yeah	22:17
width	8:4 23:24 91:24 94:24	27:12 30:3 45:15	0
19:23 46:8	101:10 161:6 167:3	114:5 126:22 130:17	008
William	167:13,23 168:8	134:12 141:15 143:5	18:12
3:4 94:4	170:17,19 171:9,15	161:2 171:1 172:20	02
willing	172:23 173:1	175:14	19:1
_		year	17.1
	ı	1	1

02111	120	91:12 119:23	16:18 61:6,12 96:24
1:17	95:8	20th	97:5
02445	13	99:4 114:12,23	250
1:12	48:2,10,14,17 50:14	200	23:18 35:9
1.12	58:6,7	79:19 92:7 124:10	25.16 55.9 26
1	13R	170:14	2:22 65:8
1		2005	2:22 03:8 262
16:20 46:24 75:20	48:4 50:19 58:6,7		
1st	15	80:2 104:1,8,13	2:23
49:8 173:20 175:4,5	16:8 97:6 104:13	2007	27
176:11	16	84:24	9:2 88:14
1'0.11 1's	66:19 78:16 83:7	2008	27,000
41:13	92:22 107:3	67:8 84:11,12,15,17	8:24
	165	84:23 85:6,13	28th
1-15	117:22 148:11 150:13	2010	97:4
127:8,9	166	79:24	285
1-177	148:4,7	2011	3:7 100:24
1:2	17th	79:19	
1-19	173:24	20130094	3
127:9,15	175	1:6	3
1.5	133:18 134:11,13	2014	16:20 40:23 73:12
126:11 158:7	162:22 163:7,10	1:9 88:14 92:22	77:10 79:6,16 177:16
10	169:7,15	177:13	3.69
16:8 19:4 23:16 46:3,4	179	2015	41:20
67:23 88:21 97:2	1:16	80:2 104:8	30
111:8,9 119:23	19	2017	70:2,10,22 93:1 116:9
10:00	5:20	177:16	30-foot
120:11	192	2083	21:19
100	79:16 148:11 150:13	104:14	30-foot-high
19:1 29:8 92:3,10	1946	217	21:10
163:15	79:14 81:9	3:9 116:3	30-odd
100-year-old	1970s	224	25:18
29:12	92:1	9:13	300
107	1976	226	23:15 92:2
9:12	102:19 104:21	2:21 60:24 62:21	300-plus
11:12	1982	24	116:15
176:13	97:5	40:17 55:17 79:19	33
110	71.3	126:17	92:10
148:3	2	24th	331
12	${2}$	126:20 167:5 171:4,6	9:4
1:9 13:20 16:7 41:14	16:20 19:3 41:1,12,12	171:18 173:19	333
1.5 13.20 10.7 41.14 12th	41:14 78:20 135:24		1:11
127:19 173:24 175:12	2's	175:23 176:12	35
175:13,14	41:14	177:13	15:18 105:5
173:13,14 12-5	20	249	37
12-5 127:4,5,14		2:24 73:4	105:3
141.4,3,14	15:15,17 61:21 91:4	25	103.3

	1 10	05.1	
4	1:18	85-plus	
4	56	76:7	
16:20 77:17	158:21	86	
4:00	5607	30:10 108:13	
23:23 64:7 106:20	82:1	87	
40	6	7:24 11:12 158:17,19	
70:23 101:4 105:5	$\frac{6}{6}$	9	
127:5,14	-	9	
40A	45:17,22 78:8 6th	47:2	
113:10,16,24 114:2			
40B	1:11	9:00	
1:7 5:21 86:17,21 87:4	6:30	5:4,6 23:23 64:7	
89:1 91:1,5 92:17	62:22,23	106:20 120:11	
111:5 112:9 113:1,10	60	94	
114:1,2,20 118:22	68:20	3:3	
123:23 157:4	61		
400	91:7		
23:17 35:13	617		
401	1:18,18		
1:16	64		
45	9:2		
3:4 70:1,9 94:4 95:10	67		
459	16:8		
73:16	7	-	
		-	
5	7:00		
5	1:9 5:4 171:18		
45:17,22 74:14 78:3	7:05		
80:8	4:2		
5th	70		
126:21 172:10 173:23	7:24 11:14,15 96:1		
175:1,2	158:19		
5.9	72		
155:9	63:12		
50	8	-	
75:15		-	
500	8		
48:23	61:7,11,16		
51-L	8th		
97:4	174:18 175:8		
52	8:00		
9:14	5:4,4		
542-0039	8:30		
1:18	62:24		
542-2119	80		
	21:19 69:16,24		
	ı	I	